

# Homework 1 (optional)

- (1) (Exercise 2.11, McDuff–Salamon) Let  $A \in GL(2n; \mathbb{R})$  be a nondegenerate skew-symmetric matrix (i.e.  $\det A \neq 0$  and  $A = -A^T$ ) and set  $\omega(u, v) := u^T A v$ . Prove that a symplectic basis for  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$  can be constructed in terms of the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvectors of  $A$ . (Recall that self-adjoint matrices can be diagonalized.)
- (2) (Exercises 2.13 and 2.15, McDuff–Salamon)
- Show that if  $\beta$  is a skew-symmetric bilinear form on a real vector space  $W$ , there exists a basis  $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_p$  of  $W$  such that  $\beta(u_i, v_j) = \delta_{ij}$  and all other pairings vanish. The integer  $2n$  is called the *rank* of  $\beta$ .
  - Show that any hyperplane  $W$  in a symplectic vector space  $(V, \omega)$  is coisotropic. (Use the previous part to find a nonzero  $w \in W \cap W^\omega$ . What can you say about the span of  $w$ ?)
- (3) Recall that we gave two definitions of the canonical 1-form  $\lambda_{can}$  on a cotangent bundle  $T^*L$ :
- The first definition was in local coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  on  $L$ . We defined  $\lambda_{can} := \sum_{j=1}^n y_j dx_j$ , where  $y_j$  is the fiber coordinate corresponding to  $x_j$ .
  - The second definition was coordinate-independent. We denoted by  $\pi$  the projection  $T^*L \rightarrow L$ , which induces a map

$$\pi^* : T^*(T^*L) \rightarrow T^*L, \quad \pi_{(p,\xi)}^* : T_{(p,\xi)}^*(T^*L) \rightarrow T_p^*L.$$

We defined  $\lambda_{can}$  by

$$\lambda_{can,(p,\xi)} := \pi_{(p,\xi)}^* \xi.$$

That is, for  $V \in T_{(p,\xi)} T^*L$ ,

$$\lambda_{can,(p,\xi)}(V) := \xi_p(\pi_{*,(p,\xi)}(V)).$$

Prove that the first definition of  $\lambda_{can}$  is well-defined. You can do this in one of two ways (or both ways, if you have energy to spare):

- Consider how the first definition of  $\lambda_{can}$  behaves under coordinate transformations.
- Show that the two definitions agree locally.