

# 1 RHA, SS 13, Exercise Sheet 3

Due May 1, 2013.

## Exercise 1:

Prove Bernstein's inequality in the following form.

Assume  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and assume that the Fourier transform  $\widehat{f}$  is supported in the ball  $B(0, R)$  (of radius  $R$  about the origin 0).

Prove for any multi-index  $\alpha$

$$\|\partial^\alpha f\|_p \leq C_n R^{|\alpha|} \|f\|_p$$

with constant  $C - n$  depending only on  $n$ .

Hint: Convolve  $f$  with an appropriate function.

## Exercise 2 :

Let  $(M_N)_N$  be a family of sublinear operators. Assume  $M_N$  satisfies

$$|\{x : M_N f(x) \geq \lambda\}| \leq N \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_1$$

$$|\{x : M_N f(x) \geq \lambda\}| \leq \lambda^{-2} \|f\|_2$$

$$\|M_N f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$$

1. Prove for  $1 < p < 2$  an estimate of the form

$$\|f\|_p \leq C_p N^{\alpha(p)} \|f\|_p$$

(interpolation as in the last homework assignment) and discuss precisely the dependence of  $C_p$  and  $\alpha(p)$  on  $p$  in your proof.

2. Interpolate this result with the  $L^\infty$  bound and obtain an estimate

$$\|f\|_2 \leq C(\epsilon, N) \|f\|_2$$

Choose  $\epsilon$  depending on  $N$  so that you obtain a good bound (power of logarithm in  $N$ ) on  $C(\epsilon, N)$ .

## Exercise 3:

Let  $\phi : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a fixed function supported in  $[1 - \epsilon^2, 1 + \epsilon^2] \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$  for some  $\epsilon < 0.1$ .

Assume that the radial ( $r$  in polar coordinates) and angular ( $\theta$  in polar coordinates) partial derivatives satisfy

$$\|\partial_r^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta \phi\|_\infty \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \epsilon^{-2\alpha - \beta}$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  (such as a typical Fefferman piece of the Bochner Riesz multiplier would). Prove that the ordinary partial derivatives satisfy

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \phi\|_\infty \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \epsilon^{-2\alpha - \beta}$$

(As usual the constants  $C_{\alpha, \beta}$  here may be different from the previous ones here, the important thing is they are independent of  $\epsilon$ ) Using your calculation, explain why we have chosen the particular scaling of the two sides of the support of  $\phi$ .