

Bonn, 16.7.26

Talk: Faltings and the fate of the Verlinde formula.

(*) Let $k = \bar{k}$, $\text{char } k = 0$. Let X/k proj. smooth curve.

Classical Verlinde formula: Let G/k be simple, simply conn., semi-simple group $\Rightarrow \text{Bun}_G = \text{stack of } G\text{-bundles on } X$.

Verlinde formula: Let L / Bun_G dominant line bundle. Then

$\dim H^0(\text{Bun}_G, L) = \text{concrete formula.}$

(proved by Faltings for G of classical type or of type G_2). (**)

Concrete formula is in terms of numerical invariants of L through uniformization.

1a

(*) Convers. between Faltings and Scholze: what F.

is working on - commissioned by me.

Disclaimer: I'm an outsider and didn't spend enough time on literature. Also: less no historical remarks.

(**)

Important special case: $G = SL_n$. Then $Bun_G =$
moduli stack of v.b. of rank n w. trivial determ.

On Bun_G , have $L_0 =$ "det of coho". Then for
 $c > 0$, $L_0^{\otimes c}$ is dominant, and $\dim H^0(Bun_G, L_0^c)$

is "classical" $\forall F_i \longrightarrow$

1b

VF for $G = SL_r$, $B_{\text{ad}} = \emptyset$.

$$\dim = \left(\frac{r}{r+c} \right)^g \cdot \sum_{\substack{T \subset [1, r+c] \\ |T|=r}} \prod_{\substack{t \in T \\ u \notin T}} \left| 2 \sin \frac{t-u}{r+c} \right|^{g-1} \quad (\text{Zagier}).$$

In many contexts, need to replace Bun_G [2]
by Bun_g (Pappas-Rapoport), e.g. unitary gps.

Def.: A parahoric BT-gp scheme over $X \stackrel{=}{=} \overline{\mathbb{A}^1}$
a smooth gp scheme g/X with connected fibers s.t.

- g_K is abs. simple, simply conn., semi-simple

$$g_K / K = k(X)$$

- $g_x = g \times_X \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_x)$ is a BT-gp scheme $/\mathcal{O}_x$, $\forall x$

Example: $g = \text{smooth gp scheme} / X$ s.t.

$g(x)$ is simply conn., semi-simple gp over k .

may Bun_g (e.g. $g = \text{SL}(\mathcal{O}_{/X})$).

Thm 1 (Kleinloth, conj. by PR): (i) Let $x \in X$. Set

$$F_x = L g_x / L^+ g_x$$

13

be partial affine flag variety. Then have uniform
morphism

$$p_x: \mathcal{F}_x \longrightarrow \text{Bun}_g$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \text{Bun}_g = \mathcal{F}_x / \Gamma_{X-\{x\}}(g).$$

Here $\Gamma_{X-\{x\}}(g)$ is ind- g scheme / k with

$$\Gamma_{X-\{x\}}(g)(k) = \Gamma(X - \{x\}, g).$$

(ii) There is a SES

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X(k)} X^*(g(x)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\text{Bun}_g) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

Here ch is given by $L \mapsto \text{ch}(p_x^*(L))$,

where $\text{ch}: \text{Pic}(\mathcal{F}_x) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the central charge

map (indep't of x).

Numerical invariants of $L \in \text{Pic}(B_{\text{unf}})$: 4

For x have degree map

$$\deg_x: \text{Pic}(\mathbb{F}_x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\text{Vert}/\alpha_x} \mathbb{Z}$$

If $f(x)$ is semi-simple, then $\text{RHS} = \mathbb{Z}$.

Then L dominant $\iff \deg_x(L) \in \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Thm 2 (Darnolani - Hong - Kumar (?), conj. by PR). Let

$\emptyset \neq S \supset \text{Bad}$. Let $L \mid B_{\text{unf}}$ dominant.

$$H^0(B_{\text{unf}}, L) = \left[\bigotimes_{x \in S} H^0(\mathbb{F}_x, p_x^* L) \right]^{H^0(X, S, \text{Lie } f)}.$$

Remarks: i) The fact that $\text{Lie } f$ acts is non-trivial,
lifts the action of $\bigoplus_{x \in S} \text{Lie}(f_{K_x})$.

ii) RHS does not change when S replaced by $S' \supset S$.
(propagation of vacua)

The problem is: what is $\dim RKS$?

If $g_K = h_0 \otimes_K K$ is constant, this is given by the Verlinde formula ($\rightarrow$ Beauville's paper). Uses

that $H^0(\mathcal{F}_x, \mathcal{L}_x) = \text{dual of } \int^{\text{integrals}} \text{highest wt rep'n of}$
 central charge c_x of central extension of $g \otimes K_x$.

Hence get formula in terms of $(h_0, \deg_x(L), x \in S)$

Generalisation to non-constant g :

$\rightarrow$ (*)
 next page

Thm 3 (Damiolini-Hong, PR): Let g/X parabolic BT

Then ex. Galois cover X'/X w. Galois gp Γ and

a semi-simple g'/X' with lift of action of Γ s.t.

$$g = \pi_* (g')^\Gamma.$$

Now want VF in terms of g' and Γ -action.

5a

(*)

Thm (Faltings): For $g_K = G_0 \otimes_K K$, with G_0 of type A, B, C, D or G_2 , have

$$\dim = |T_c|^{g-1} \sum_{\mu \in P_c} \prod_{\lambda} \text{Tr}_{V_{\lambda}} \left(\exp 2\pi i \frac{\mu+Q}{c+h^v} \right) \cdot \prod_{\alpha > 0} \left| 2 \sin \pi \frac{\langle \alpha, \mu+Q \rangle}{c+h^v} \right|^{2-2g}$$

Here $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$
corresp. to $p_x^*(\mathcal{L})$.

Such a formula is given by Deshpande-Mukhopadhyay and by Hong-Kumar, under some hypothesis on action of Γ on g' . Form of formula is

$$\dim = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_0^{\Gamma}} \frac{S_{\lambda_1, \mu}^{m_1} \cdots S_{\lambda_n, \mu}^{m_n}}{(S_{0, \mu})^{k+2g-2}}.$$

Here $\pi^{-1}(S) = \{x_1', \dots, x_n'\}$, $m_i = e_{x_i'}(\pi)$,

and " S " are the S -matrices (depend on roots/weights)

Want: "Simple formula".

Conjecture (Damiolini-Hong): $\dim \neq 0$.

Zn sum: Falls g_x has. relate $\deg(L_x) \in \mathbb{Z}$

to central charge: Via $(a_0^v, \dots, a_r^v)$.

6a

$$c(\epsilon_i) = a_i^v, \quad i = 0, \dots, \ell.$$