

Johns Hopkins 19

Talk: p -adic uniformization of unitary Shimura curves, I

jt. with S. Kudla, Th. Zink.

2 parts: global + local

p-adic uniformization of Shimura curves

Complex uniformization: Let X connected smooth projective alg. curve / \mathbb{C} of genus ≥ 2 .

Poincaré: ex. discrete co-compact subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t.

$$X^{\mathrm{an}} \cong \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}} / \Gamma = X_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{an}}$$

Here

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{H}^+ \cup \mathbb{H}^- = (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1)^{\mathrm{an}} \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$$

Complicated history (\rightarrow Gray): Klein, Koebe, Hilbert

Poincaré: Systematic way of constructing such Γ (he used SO_3 - but comes to the same). Let

F = totally real field

w = fixed archim. place

B = quaternion algebra / F with $B \otimes_F F_w = \begin{cases} M_2(\mathbb{R}) & w = w \\ \mathbb{H} & w' \neq w \end{cases}$

Get action of B^\times on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ via

$$B^\times \longrightarrow (B \otimes_F F_w)^\times = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_B \subset B$ order, then take $\Gamma = \nu \mathcal{O}_B^\times$, image of discrete subgroup

If B quaternion division algebra, then Γ co-compact.

(automatic if $(F:\mathbb{Q}) > 1$).

Shimura curve

p-adic uniformization! Cherednik, (1976)

Let B/F as above. Fix p and

$v = p$ -adic place of F such that $B \otimes_F F_v$ division alg.

Assume $O_B \otimes_{O_F} O_{F_v}$ unique maximal order.

Then

$$(X_{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \overline{F_v})^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \frac{(\Omega_{F_v}/\Gamma) \otimes_{F_v} \overline{F_v}}{(\Omega_{F_v}/\Gamma) \otimes_{F_v} \overline{F_v}}$$

where

$$\Gamma \subset PGL_2(F_v) \text{ discrete co-compact}$$

Here \mathbb{R}_{F_v} p-adic analogue of \mathbb{R} over F_v ,

$$\mathbb{R}_{F_v} = (P^1/F_v)^{\text{an}} \setminus P^1(F_v). \text{ Drinfeld half plane.}$$

~~Interpretation~~ LHS is algebraization of complex analytic co-compact (Drinfeld!)

with Drinfeld.

~~RHS is rigid-analytic~~

Very mysterious, even today - Cherednik gave heuristic argument. Vashartsky, RZ, Boulot-Zink,

(*) Drinfeld (1976) gave explanation + proof of Ch's theorem for $F = \mathbb{Q}$. Uses adelic version, i.e. finite union of X_{Γ} 's.

Let

$$G = B^{\times} \text{ as algebra. } \mathfrak{g} / \mathbb{Q}.$$

Let

K open compact subgroup of $G(A_f)$

$$X_K = G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash [G_{\mathbb{R}} \times G(A_f) / K]$$

Drinfeld: defines moduli pt \checkmark over \mathbb{Q} , see over $Z_{(p)}$ when $K = K^p K_p$

$\Rightarrow M_K$ over $\text{Spec } Z_{(p)}$.

Theorem: $M_K^{\wedge} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}} \checkmark \cong G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash [\hat{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}} \checkmark \times G(A_f) / K]$

Here $\hat{G}_F =$ integral model of G_F

Principle: Show that formal scheme $\hat{G}_F \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}} \checkmark$ represents a moduli

problem of p -divisible groups. Very difficult! \rightarrow Zink?

Concept. wo

~~Work of KRZ + Work of Scholze close to proving full theorem.~~

Let K/F p -M-field, $d = [F:\mathbb{Q}]$.

$V = 2$ -dim. K -vs + hermit form, s.t. $\text{sgn}(V_w) = (1, 1)$

Then want V_w definite, $w' \neq w$

More precisely, fix $\tau: \text{Hom}(K, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$

s.t. $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}} + \tau_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} = 2$

and $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \mathfrak{p} | w \\ 0 \text{ or } 2 & \mathfrak{p} \nmid w, w' \neq w \end{cases}$

Then want $\text{sgn}(V_{\mathfrak{p}}) = (\tau_{\mathfrak{p}}, 2 - \tau_{\mathfrak{p}})$. $\Rightarrow E = E_{\tau} \supset F$

Let $G = \text{GU}(V)$ (multiples in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}) \Rightarrow Shimura variety

Choice of K :

Assume V non-split
Assume $p \neq 2$, if K_0/F_0 ramified.

Notation: Let K/F CM-field, $d = [F:\mathbb{Q}]$.

Fix $\tau: \text{Hom}(K, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$ s.t.

$$\tau_{\bar{y}} + \tau_{\bar{y}'} = 2, \forall \bar{y} \text{ and } \tau_{\bar{y}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \bar{y} | w \\ 0 \text{ or } 2 & \bar{y} | w', w'+w. \end{cases}$$

Fix $V = 2$ -dim. K -vs + anti-herm. form s.t.

$$\text{sgn}(V_{\bar{y}}) = (\tau_{\bar{y}}, 2 - \tau_{\bar{y}}), \forall \bar{y}.$$

$$\mapsto E = E_{\tau} \text{ and } F \xrightarrow{w} E.$$

Recall $v|p$. Assume: v non-split and V_v non-split.

Also assume $p \neq 2$ when K_v/F_v ramified.

Let $G = \text{GU}(V)$ (multipl. in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}) \mapsto Shimura var.

$\text{Sh}(G, \langle \rho \rangle)_{\mathbb{K}}$, with model over E .

The Shimura var represents foll. moduli pb. on (Sch/E) :

$S \mapsto (A, \iota, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\eta})$, where

- A abelian scheme of dim. $2d$, up to isog.
- $\iota: \hat{O}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. Lie A satisfies (KC_{τ}) .
- $\bar{\lambda} = \mathbb{Q}$ -class of polariz., compat. w. ι
- $\bar{\eta}: \hat{V}(A) \simeq V \otimes A_f \text{ mod } \mathbb{K}$ \mathbb{K} -lin. similitude.

For good choice of \mathbb{K} get integral model.

Write

$$V \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} V_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

oth., over F.

Choose lattices

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset V_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

Note: for one of them.

s.t.

$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ selfdual if \mathfrak{p} split, or ramified, or unram. + $\text{inv}_{\mathfrak{p}} V = 1$

$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ almost selfdual if \mathfrak{p} unram. + $\text{inv}_{\mathfrak{p}} V = -1$.

Let

$$K_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Stab}_{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} (\bigoplus \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Let

$$K' \subset G(A_f^p) \text{ arbit. and } K = K' \cdot K_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Let ν place of E inducing v of F. Define functor

A_K on $(\text{Sch}/\mathcal{O}_{E, (p_\nu)})$: assoc. to S quadruples

$(A, z, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\eta}')$, where of $\dim = 2d = 2[F:\mathbb{Q}]$

- A abelian scheme over S, up to isog. prime to p
- $z: \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ s.t. Lie A sat. Kottwitz cond. (KC_r) . $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} (T - p(a))^{f_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
- $\bar{\lambda} = \mathbb{Q}$ -class of polariz., comp. with z

for $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

- $\bar{\eta}^1 : V^1(A) \simeq V \otimes A_f^1$, a \mathbb{K}^1 -class of anti-hermit similitudes.

Conditions imposed:

- ex $\lambda \in \bar{\lambda}$ of degree μ mod \mathfrak{p} s.t. $|\text{Ker } \lambda| = \dots$
- Lie A satisfies $(EC_r) \quad \forall s \in S$
- $\text{inv}_{\mathfrak{p}}^+(A_{s_1}, \lambda) = \text{inv}_{\mathfrak{p}}(V) \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in S. \quad (*) \rightarrow$

next time \rightarrow

Main theorem: (i) $A_{\mathbb{K}}$ is representable by a projective flat $\mathcal{O}_{E, (p_v)}$ -scheme with semi-stable red. Its \mathbb{C} -fiber identified with $\text{Sh}(G, \{h, r\})_{\mathbb{K}}$.

(ii) Let $A_{\mathbb{K}}^{\wedge}$ = completion along special fiber. Then ex.

$$A_{\mathbb{K}}^{\wedge} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_v}} \mathcal{O}_{E_v}^{\vee} \simeq \bar{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \left[\left(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{F_v} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_v}} \mathcal{O}_{E_v}^{\vee} \right) \times G(A_f) / \mathbb{K} \right],$$

compat. with action of $G(A_f^1) +$ descent datum.

Remarks: a) if \mathfrak{p}_v only prime above p , then can drop inv.

b) In [RZ] consider case where v split - even in higher dimension, for higher rank unitary gps.

c) Really, do not replace Drinfeld but reduce to Drinfeld.

Main work is local.

d) Action of $\bar{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ on $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) / \mathbb{K}_p$ not from action of $\bar{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ on $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$!

(*) Explanation of $\text{inv}_p^+(A, z, \lambda)$, where $A/k = \bar{k}$.

• if $\text{char } k \neq p$, then

$$\text{inv}_p^+(A, z, \lambda) = \text{inv}_p V_p(A)$$

• if $\text{char } k = p$, then let $M = \bigwedge_k^{\text{Dind.-module of } A, \text{ with rational } p\text{-part}}$

action of K_p , via z . Set

$$P_p = \bigwedge_{K_p \otimes W(k)}^z M. \quad \text{free } K_p\text{-module, rank 1.}$$

Polarization λ induces hermitian form ψ on P_p . Then

ex generator z of P_p with

$$\forall z = pz.$$

Then

$$\psi(z, z) \in F_p^x.$$

Then

$$\text{inv}_p^+(A, z, \lambda) = \psi(z, z) \in F_p^x / \text{Nm } K_p^x \text{ well-def.}$$

Change by $\text{sgn}(\tau)$. Then $\begin{cases} (-1)^{d-1} & \text{if } p = p_0 \\ (-1)^d & \text{if } p \neq p_0. \end{cases}$

Prop.: $s \mapsto \text{inv}_p^+(A_{s, \tau_s, h_s})$ is loc. constant //

Still don't understand:

- How to compare coverings on LHS to RHS.
- Related to this: what happens if K_p made smaller at places $v' \neq v$?

For $f|p$, $f \neq p$, fix

$$K_f^* \subset K_f \text{ finite index, "=" for } f \neq p$$

and let

$$K_p^* = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \prod K_f^*, \quad K = K^p \cdot K_p^*$$

\Rightarrow ~~A_{K^*}~~ $E_v^* = \text{Galois ext. of } E_v^* \text{ — abelian !}$

$$+ A_{K^*} \text{ over } \mathcal{O}_{E_v^*} \text{ s.t. } A_{K^*} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \simeq \text{Sh}_{K^*} \otimes_{E_v^*} E_v^*$$

+ Analogue:

$$A_{K^*} \otimes_{E_v^*} \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Q}) \left[\left(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{F_v} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{F_v}} \mathcal{O}_{E_v^*} \right) \times \mathbb{C}(A_f^*) / K^* \right].$$

Not yet proved.

The p -adic uniformization of Shimura curves, II.

This part is local: F/\mathbb{Q}_p finite ^{$d=[F:\mathbb{Q}_p]$} , K/F quadratic. $p \neq 2$ if K/F ram.

For $S \in (\text{Sch}/\mathbb{O}_{\overline{F}})$, consider triples (X, τ, λ) , where

- $X = p$ -div. gp over S , with strict \mathbb{O}_F -action, of
 $ht = [F:\mathbb{Q}_p] \cdot 4$ and $\dim = 2$ i.e. $ht_F(X) = 4$
- $\tau: \mathbb{O}_K \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{O}_F}(X)$ s.t.
 $\det(\tau(a) | \text{Lie } X) = (T-a)(T-\bar{a}), \forall a \in \mathbb{O}_K.$

- $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^\vee$ relative polarization s.t.

Rosati induces $a \mapsto \bar{a}$.

Impose:

- $|\text{Ker } \lambda| = \begin{cases} 1 & K/F \text{ unramified} \\ q_F^2 & K/F \text{ ramified} \end{cases}$

- $inv(X, \tau, \lambda) = -1$. (automatic when K/F unramified).

Then: if $S = \text{Spec } \overline{K}_F$, only one triple (X, τ_X, λ_X)

up to isogeny. Furthermore, is isoclinic of slope $1/2$, and

$$\text{Aut}^\circ(X) \simeq U(F).$$

[KR], [K], [KRZ]

⊂

Theorem: Consider the functor on Mod_{O_F} ,

$$\mathcal{M}: S \mapsto \{ \text{iso-cl. of } (X, \tau, \lambda, \alpha) \},$$

where (X, τ, λ) as above and where

$$\alpha: X \times_S \bar{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}^*_{\text{Spec } \bar{K}_F} \bar{S}$$

is quasi-is. of $\text{ht}=0$, respecting τ and λ .

There ex.! isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M} = \hat{\Omega}_F \hat{\otimes}_{O_F} O_{\bar{F}}^{\text{fixed}}$$

which is equivariant w.r.t. some isomorphism

$$\text{SU}(F) \simeq \text{SL}_2(F).$$

Remarks: (i) Invariant $\in \{\pm 1\}$, defined fiber-wise (then locally constant). If (X, τ, λ) over $k = \bar{k}$, then corresp. to relative Dieudonné module $(M, \tau, \langle, \rangle)$,

where

• M free $W_{O_F}(k)$ -module of $\text{rk } 4$, with F, V with $VF = FV = \pi_F$, with $\pi_F M \subset VM \subset M$

• $\tau: O_K \rightarrow \text{End}_{O_F}(M)$ s.t.

$$\text{char}(\tau(a) | M/VM) = (T-a) \cdot (T-\bar{a}), \quad a \in O_K$$

$$\langle , \rangle : M \times M \rightarrow W_{O_F}(k) \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\langle Vx, Vy \rangle = \pi_F \cdot \sigma^{-1}(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

$$\text{and } \langle r(a)x, y \rangle = \langle x, r(\bar{a})y \rangle.$$

Using relative Dieudonné module, the same procedure as last year k ,

this is a $K \otimes_{O_F}^{\psi} k$ -module free of rk 1, with restriction form ψ_P . And a relative Dieud.-module which is isoclinic: hence ex $z \in P$ with \longleftarrow generator

$$\wedge^2 V(z) = \pi_F z.$$

Then $\psi_P(z, z) \in F^*$. Then

$$\text{inv}(M, r, \lambda) = \psi_P(z, z) \in F^* / \text{Nm } K^* = \{\pm 1\}.$$

(ii) Theorem is proved by relating to Drinfeld's moduli pb. of s.f. O_B -modules.

(iii) $p=2 \Rightarrow$ Kirch's thesis.

Our moduli pb is different: Fix $\gamma_0: F \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

$$\tau: \text{Hom}(K, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\tau_\gamma + \tau_{\bar{\gamma}} = 2 \text{ and}$$

$$\tau_\gamma = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_0 \text{ or } \bar{\gamma}_0 \text{ (extensions)} \\ 0 \text{ or } 2 & \text{if } \gamma \notin \{\gamma_0, \bar{\gamma}_0\}. \end{cases}$$

Let $E = E_\tau \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. For $S \in (\text{Sch}/O_E)$ consider (X, ι, λ) , where

- X p -div. gp of $ht=4d$ and $\dim=2d$, where $d = [F:\mathbb{Q}_p]$.
- $\iota: O_K \rightarrow \text{End}(X)$ s.t. (K, C_τ) holds.
- $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^\vee$ polariz. s.t. Rosati indices $a \mapsto \bar{a}$.

Impose:

- $|\text{Ker } \lambda|$ as before: $\begin{matrix} \text{twice } |K_F|^2 \\ \text{---} \\ 1 \end{matrix}$
- $\text{inv}^\tau(X, \iota, \lambda) = -1$. (again autom. if K/F unram.).

Again: if $S = \text{Spec } \bar{K}_E$, only one (X, ι_X, λ_X) up to isogeny, and isoclinic of slope $1/2$ and

$$\text{Aut}^0(X, \iota_X, \lambda_X) \simeq U(F).$$

- Lie X satisfies Eisenstein (EC_τ) . - see below.

$$\text{Exhd } \varphi_0 \text{ to } \check{\varphi}_0: \mathcal{O}_{\check{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\check{E}}$$

15

Theorem: Consider the functor $M_r: \text{Nilp}_{\mathcal{O}_{\check{E}}} \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$, analogue.
Then ex! isom. (contracting functor)

$$M_r \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{\check{F}}, \check{\varphi}_0} \mathcal{O}_{\check{E}}}$$

equiv. wrt. $U(F)$. In partic., M_r flat + semi-st. reduction.

Remarks: (i) Instead of working with p -divisible gps, work with displays. Over $k = \bar{k}$, this means

Drinfeld-module \mapsto relative Dieud.-module.

(ii) Special case of general pt. about local Shimura varieties:

Let $(G, \{\mu\}, b)$ be local Shim.-datum s.t. b basic.

Modify μ into $\mu' = \mu \cdot c$, by a central character, and b' !

Then $M(G, \{\mu'\}, b')$ should be twist of $M(G, \{\mu\}, b)$.

(iii) In previous paper, R+Z considered the original

Drinfeld moduli pb. (no polariz., but for $D_{1/n}^x$).

Could construct contracting functor only in special fiber.

Scholze extended this, using

- Scholze-Weinstein descr. of p -div. gps
- integral p -adic Hodge theory
- RZ-local models.

(iv) In the present case, Scholze has variant of this proof (uses unpublished early version of our paper).
But our proof is more direct and less eclectic.

[7/15]

Explanation of (EC_r) : Let $K^t \subset K$ and let $\Psi = \text{Hom}(K^t, \bar{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Let, for $\psi \in \Psi$,

$$A_\psi = \{ \gamma: K \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \gamma|_{K^t} = \psi, \quad r_\gamma = 2 \}$$

$$B_\psi = \{ \text{-----} \quad r_\gamma = 0 \}.$$

Fix uniformizer π of K , with Eisenstein poly.

$$E(T) = \prod_{\psi} (T - \psi(\pi)) \in \mathcal{O}_{K^t}[T].$$

For $\psi \in \Psi$, let

$$E_\psi(T) = \prod_{\gamma|_{K^t} = \psi} (T - \gamma(\pi))$$

$$E_{A_\psi}(T), \quad E_{B_\psi}(T).$$

Also, let

$$S_\psi(T) = \begin{cases} 1 & \psi \neq \psi_0, \bar{\psi}_0 \\ (T - \psi_0(\pi)) \cdot (T - \bar{\psi}_0(\pi)), & \psi = \psi_0, \quad K/F \text{ s.c.m.} \\ (T - \psi_0(\pi)), & \psi = \psi_0, \quad K/F \text{ un.} \\ (T - \bar{\psi}_0(\pi)) & \psi = \bar{\psi}_0, \quad K/F \text{ un.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$E_\psi = S_\psi \cdot E_{A_\psi} \cdot E_{B_\psi}.$$

Then all factors $\in \mathcal{O}_{E'}[T]$.

Let $E' = K^t \cdot E \cdot \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume $S \in (\text{Sch}/\mathcal{O}_{E'})$, then

$$\text{Lie } X = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Psi} \text{Lie}_\psi(X).$$

8

$$(EC_r): S_{\gamma} \cdot E_{A_{\gamma}} (\mathcal{L}(\Pi) | \mathcal{L}ie_{\gamma} X) = 0, \quad \forall \gamma$$

$$\wedge_{\gamma \in [K:F]} (E_{A_{\gamma}} (\mathcal{L}(\Pi) | \mathcal{L}ie_{\gamma} X) = 0, \text{ d.h.}$$

If $S \in (\text{Sch}/\mathcal{O}_E)$ define (EC_r) via base change $\mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E'}$.

$\gamma \leq \begin{cases} 1 & K/F \text{ ram.} \\ 2 & K/F \text{ unram.} \end{cases}$

Lemma: (i) (EC_r) is indep't of choice of Π .

(ii) When S is E -scheme, then (KC_r) implies (EC_r) .

(iii) When $S \in (\text{Sch}/\text{Spf } \mathcal{O}_E)$, then

- if K/F unramif., then $(EC_r) \Rightarrow (KC_r)$

- if K/F ramif., then ^{assume} if (EC_r) holds, then also

$$(KC_r) \text{ iff } \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}(\Pi) | \text{Im}(E_{A_{\gamma_0}}(\Pi) | \mathcal{L}ie_{\gamma_0} X)) = 0.$$

Remark: The Kottwitz cond. (KC_r) has become standard. But

if S not in char p , then very difficult to understand: this is why in (iii) had to restrict to special fiber.

Here is the contracting functor when $S = \text{Spec } k$, $k = \bar{k}$:

Proceeds in 2 steps

Step 1: Let (M, ι) Dieudonné module of X . Then construct a new Dieudonné module (M', ι') s.t. $\iota'|_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ strict, via

$$\mathcal{O}_F \xrightarrow{Y_0} \mathcal{O}_E \longrightarrow k, \text{ as follows: } \quad \text{and } \dim = 2$$

Decompose

$$M = \bigoplus M_{\gamma}, \text{ with}$$

$$F_{\gamma}: M_{\gamma} \rightarrow M_{\gamma\sigma}, \text{ resp. } V_{\gamma}: M_{\gamma\sigma} \rightarrow M_{\gamma}.$$

$$\text{Set } M' = M, \text{ with}$$

$$F'_{\gamma} = \pi^{a_{\gamma}} \cdot F_{\gamma}, \quad V'_{\gamma} = \pi^{-a_{\gamma}} \cdot V_{\gamma}. \quad + \text{ polarization}$$

Remark: This even works for displays, via

$$F'_{\gamma} = \tilde{E}_{A_{\gamma}}(\pi) \cdot F_{\gamma},$$

where

$$\tilde{E}_{A_{\gamma}}(T) = \prod_{\rho \in A_{\gamma}} (T - [\rho(\pi)]).$$

Step 2: Let (M', ι') Dieudonné module s.t. $\iota'|_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ strict. Then construct a relative Dieudonné module $(M'', \iota'')^F$.

Decompose

$$M' = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/f} M'_i$$

Then M'_0 is $\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F^t} W(k) = W_{\mathcal{O}_F}(k)$ -module. Structure \Rightarrow 10

$$\pi_F M'_0 \subset V^t M'_0 \subset M'_0.$$

Now set

$$M'' = (M'_0, \pi_F V^{-t}, V^t).$$

Main point: polariz. induces relative polarization!

Remark: Again, this works for displays (\rightarrow Ashmurof functor, analogue of Drinfeld functor for Cartier modules).