

Harvard, March 15

+ weakly accessible

Talk: Accessible $\checkmark$ period domains

Motivation: I asked Scholze: consider LSV and corresp. crystalline period maps

$$(M(G, b, \{\mu\})_K)_K \xrightarrow{\phi_K} \check{F}(G, \{\mu\}).$$

When is it surjective? (image described by Faltings/Hartl/Scholze/Weinstein)

Scholze: only in LT-case (surjectivity due to Gross/Hopkins).

Scholze sketched proof in e-mail; I tried to work out details —

but many things used in this proof^{of Scholze} are not written down properly.

Different motivation: In a construction of Scholze, he used

that Gross-Hopkins period map

$$(M(G, b, \{\mu\})_K) \xrightarrow{\phi_K} \mathbb{P}^{h-1}$$

is surjective. I asked: is this the only time this can happen?

Scholze's answer: yes — I tried to understand his answer;
(do not claim to understand all of it!)

§1 Filtered isocrystals

$F/\mathbb{Q}_p$. Fix $\bar{F}, F/\mathbb{Q}_p$, get $(\check{F}, \sigma)$ (e.g. $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$) and $\mathbb{Q}_p = \hat{\bar{F}}$

Isocrystal (relative to F) $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \check{F}$ -vs. $\check{V} + \sigma$ -lin. auto $\varphi: \check{V} \rightarrow \check{V}$

Filtration of isocrystal over $K/\bar{F}$ $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{F}$ monotone function

$$F^\bullet: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{ \text{subspaces of } \check{V}_K \}$$

s.t. $F^i = (0)$ for $i \gg 0$ and $F^i = \check{V}_K$ for $i \ll 0$

Newton, resp. Hodge vectors: $n = \dim \check{V}$

• $(\check{V}, \varphi) \mapsto$ Newton slope vector $\nu \in (\mathbb{Q}^n)_\succ$,

satisfies integrality property: $\nu = (\nu_1^{(m_1)}, \dots, \nu_r^{(m_r)})$

Then $m_i \cdot \nu_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

• $(\check{V}, F^\bullet) \mapsto$ Hodge slope vector $\mu \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)_\succ$: $\mu = (\mu_1^{(m_1)}, \dots, \mu_r^{(m_r)})$

then $\text{gr}_j(F^\bullet) \neq 0$ iff $j = \mu_i$ some i

$$\dim \text{gr}_{\mu_i}(F^\bullet) = m_i.$$

Numerical invariants of $(\check{V}, \varphi, F^\bullet)$: $\text{rk} = \dim \check{V}$, $\deg = \sum(\mu_i) - \sum(\nu_i)$

$(\check{V}, \varphi, F^\bullet) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ss-stable}$ $\iff \forall (\check{V}', \varphi) \subset (\check{V}, \varphi) \text{ slope}(\check{V}') \leq \text{slope}(\check{V})$
 $\text{weakly ad} \iff \text{ss} + \text{slope}(\check{V}) = 0.$

§ 3 Period domains

~~Adm~~

Fix reductive group G/F , $b \in G(\check{F})$, $\langle \mu \rangle$. (PD-triple)

$\hookrightarrow E = E(G, \langle \mu \rangle) \subset \check{F} +$ partial flag var. $\check{F} = \check{F}(G, \langle \mu \rangle) / E$.

Set $\check{\check{F}} = \check{F} \otimes_E E \cdot \check{F}$.

Definition: A point $x \in \check{\check{F}}(K)$ is called s-s $\check{\check{F}}$ the isocrystal

$(\check{g}, \text{Ad } b \circ \sigma)$ with filtration $F_x^\bullet$ on $\mathfrak{g}_K$ is semi-stable.

wa $\check{\check{F}}$ ss + "total degree" = 0, i.e. $\check{F}^{\text{wa}} = \check{F}^{\text{ss}}$ or $\emptyset$.

Fact: $\check{F}(G, b, \langle \mu \rangle)^{\text{ss}} \subset \check{\check{F}}(G, \langle \mu \rangle)$ is admis. open rigid/adic subset.

Examples: LT-case: $G = {}^V G L_n$, $\langle \mu \rangle = (1, 0^{(n-1)})$, resp. $(1^{(n-1)}, 0)$ and b

$E=F$

the $\check{F}^{\text{ss}} = \check{\check{F}} = \mathbb{P}_{\check{F}}^{n-1}$

Drinfeld case: $G = D_{\frac{n}{2}}^{\times}$, $\langle \mu \rangle$ as before, $\check{F}^{\text{ss}} = \Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{P}_{\check{F}}^{n-1}$

All this depends only on b up to σ -conjugacy.

accessible

§3 Weakly admissible period domains

Def.: A PD-triple $(G, b, \{\mu\})$ is weakly admissible $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

$$\mathcal{F}(G, b, \{\mu\})^{wa} = \check{\mathcal{F}}(G, \{\mu\}).$$

Theorem: $(G, b, \{\mu\})$ weakly accessible $\Leftrightarrow [b] \in A(G, \{\mu\})$ and

I_b inner form of G , anisotropic modulo center.

Explanation: Kottwitz theory of $B(G) = G(\bar{F}) / \text{mod } \sigma\text{-conjugacy}$.

2 invariants:

Newton map: $\nu: B(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G^+$ generalizes ν above

Kottwitz map: $\kappa: B(G) \rightarrow \pi_1(G)_F$ (not visible for GL_n)
dominant order

Acceptable set $A(G, \{\mu\}) = \{[b] \in B(G) \mid \nu_{[b]} \leq \bar{\mu}\}$ finite set

Neutral acceptable set $B(G, \{\mu\}) = \{[b] \in A(G, \{\mu\}) \mid \kappa([b]) = \mu^{\frac{1}{h}}\}$.

I_b σ -centralizer of b .

Further, κ has natural section (image $(\kappa) = B(G)_{\text{basic}}$) and

b basic $\Leftrightarrow I_b$ inner form of G .

Note: $\mathcal{F}(G, b, \{\mu\})^{wa} \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow [b] \in A(G, \{\mu\})$. (Fontaine inequ.)

§ 4 B(G) after Fargues + Scholze

The FF-curve X_F is a 1-dimensional, noeth., regular scheme over $\text{Spec } F$,

$$X_F = \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} (B_{\text{cris}}^+)^{\phi = \pi^d} \right)$$

It comes with a point $\infty \in X_F(\mathbb{C}_p)$, corresp. to $B_{\text{cris}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$.

Theorem (Fargues): Let G/F . Have a functor of groupoid categories

$$G(\check{F}) \longrightarrow (G\text{-bundles on } X_F)$$

$$\left(\text{for } G = GL_n, \quad b \mapsto \text{graded module } \bigoplus (B_{\text{cris}}^+ \otimes \check{F}^n)^{\phi \otimes \sigma = \pi^d} \right).$$

Induces

$$a) \text{ bijection } B(G) \longrightarrow \{ \text{iso-cl. of } G\text{-bundles on } X_F \}$$

$$b) \text{ equiv. of categories } G(\check{F})_{\text{basic}} \longrightarrow \{ \text{semi-stable } G\text{-bundles} \}.$$

Here semi-stable = Mumford-ss (deg + rank of vb on X_F are defined, extend to G -bols via Ad [Atiyah-Bott]).

Remark: The interpret. of Fargues/Scholze is dual to Groth. Kottwitz,

$$\text{e.g. } i \text{ families locus where basic is } \begin{cases} \text{open in F-S} \\ \text{closed in R-R.} \end{cases}$$

§ 5 The admissible set

Fix $(G, b, \{\mu\})$.

Construction (Scholze): To any $C/\mathbb{F}$ and $x \in \check{F}(G, \{\mu\})(C) \mapsto G$ -balle

$E_{b,x}$ on $X_F \otimes_F C$: modification of E_b at ∞ along x .

Example: $G = GL_n$, E_b ob. of rank n . Let $\{\mu\} = (1^{(r)}, 0^{(n-r)})$ minuscule. Then

$$E_b \subset E_{b,x} \subset E_b \otimes O(\infty_{\text{an}}).$$

The general definition uses B_{dR}^+ -grassm. of Scholze.

Definition: A point $x \in \check{F}(C)$ is admissible (wrt. b) $\iff E_{b,x}$ is semi-stable.

Fact: (a) The admissible points form open adic subset $\check{F}(G, b, \{\mu\})^a$ of $\check{F}(G, b, \{\mu\})$,
 (b) induces bijection on classical points.

Proposition: Bijection of last § induces a bijection

$$\{\overline{G\text{-balls of the form } E_{1,x} \mid x \in \check{F}(C)}\}^{\text{iso-cl.}} \cong B(G, \{\mu^{-1}\}).$$

Proof: a) Let $[b] \in \text{image}$. Then $\kappa([b]) = (\mu^{-1})^\vee$ (direct calculation).

b) Let $b \in G(\check{F})$. Then $E_b \simeq E_{1,x}$ some $x \iff \exists x^* \in \check{F}(G, \{\mu^{-1}\})$ s.t.

$$E_{b,x^*} \text{ semi-stable} \iff \check{F}(G, \{\mu^{-1}\})^a \neq \emptyset \iff \check{F}(G, \{\mu^{-1}\})^{\text{wa}} \neq \emptyset \iff [b] \in A(G, \{\mu^{-1}\})$$

see § 3 //

Corollary: Let $b \in G(\bar{F})_{\text{basic}}$. Then bijection

$$\{\text{iso-d. of } G\text{-balls of form } E_{b,x} \mid x \in \bar{F}(G, \mu)\} \cong B(I_b, \{\mu^{-1} \cdot v_b\}).$$

Proof: use translation by b . //

§ 7 Accessible period domains.

Def. $(G, b, \{\mu\})$ accessible $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F(G, b, \{\mu\})^a = \bigcup F(G, \{\mu\})$.

Theorem (Scholze): accessible $\Leftrightarrow b$ basic and $(J_b, \{\bar{\mu}^{-1}\})$ is uniform, i.e.

$B(J_b, \{\bar{\mu}^{-1}\})$ has a single element.

Proof: First assertion follows from (ii) in § 3, because access $\Rightarrow$ weakly access.

Second assertion: accessible $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in F(G, \{\mu\})$, $E_{b,x}$ is semi-stable

$\Leftrightarrow B(J_b, \{\bar{\mu}^{-1} \nu_b\})$ singleton $\Leftrightarrow B(J_b, \{\bar{\mu}^{-1}\})$ singleton.

Uniform classified by Kottwitz. In particular,

Corollary: Assume G abs. simple + adjoint, $\{\mu\}$ non-trivial and $[b] \in B(G, \{\mu\})$

Then $(G, b, \{\mu\})$ accessible iff. $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$, $\mu = (1, 0^{(n-1)})$ or $(1^{(n-1)}, 0)$,

and b basic (unique).

uses Faltings/Hartl/Scholze/Weinstein.

Corollary: Assume that $(G, b, \{\mu\})$ comes from RZ-data. Then period

map is surjective iff LT case or its dual.

Open question: When is $F(G, b, \{\mu\})^a = F(G, b, \{\mu\})^{\mathrm{ss}}$?