

O'fact, Feb. 08

PD + DL

$G_0 / \mathbb{F}_q$. Let $F = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$, and $G = G_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} F$.

Two classes of varieties / F :

① DL-varieties (DL, 1976): $X = \text{variety of Borel's over } F$

$w \in W \mapsto$

$$X(w) = X_{G_0}(w) = \{x \in X \mid \text{int}(x, Fx) = w\}.$$

($F = \text{Frobenius over } \mathbb{F}_q$). Then

$X(w)$ smooth quasi-projective var. of dimension $l(w)$,

$G_0(\mathbb{F}_q)$ acts. If $F^e(w) = w$, then $X(w)$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

② PD (Faltings, RZ, 1993):

$\mathcal{N} = \text{conj.-class of 1-ps of } G$. Let $X(\mathcal{N}) = G/P_\nu$ smooth proj. var.

$X(\mathcal{N})^{\text{ss}} = X_{G_0}(\mathcal{N})^{\text{ss}} = \text{open subset of semi-stable points} :$

explanation: $\nu \in \mathcal{N} \mapsto \mathbb{F}_\nu$, $\mathbb{Z}$ -filt. on $\text{Lie}(G_0) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} F$.

$\mathbb{F}_\nu$ semi-stable $\bar{\sigma}_f$. $\forall U \subset \text{Lie}(G_0)$ $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace, have

$$\frac{\deg(\mathbb{F}_\nu|U)}{\dim U} \leq 0 = \frac{\deg(\mathbb{F}_\nu)}{\dim \text{Lie } G_0}.$$

$G_0(\mathbb{F}_q)$ acts. If $F^e(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N}$, then $X(\mathcal{N})^{\text{ss}}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Examples: a) Drinfeld space: $G_0 = GL_n$.

- $w = s_1 \dots s_{n-1} = (12 \dots n)$. Then

$$X(w) = \Omega_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \cup H_{H/\mathbb{F}_q}$$

- $\mathcal{N} = (x, y^{(n-1)}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)_+$, where $x > y$. Then $X(\mathcal{N}) = \Omega_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$.

Similar for $(x^{(n-1)}, y)$: dual proj. space.

b) $G_0 = GL_3$ generic data.

- $w = w_0$. Then

$$X(w_0) = \{ \mathcal{U}_1 \subset \mathcal{U}_2 \subset V = \mathbb{F}^3 \mid F\mathcal{U}_1 + \mathcal{U}_2 = V, F\mathcal{U}_2 + \mathcal{U}_1 = V \}$$

- $\mathcal{N} = (x_1 > x_2 > x_3)$. Then

$$X(\mathcal{N}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{---} \mid \begin{array}{l} x_1 - x_2 > x_2 - x_3: \mathcal{U}_1 + F\mathcal{U}_1 + F^2\mathcal{U}_1 = V \\ x_1 - x_2 < x_2 - x_3: \mathcal{U}_2 \cap F\mathcal{U}_2 \cap F^2\mathcal{U}_2 = 0 \\ x_1 - x_2 = x_2 - x_3: \mathcal{U}_1 \cap F\mathcal{U}_1 = 0, \mathcal{U}_2 + F\mathcal{U}_2 = V \end{array} \end{array} \right\}$$

Motivation for these varieties:

- DL: construct $G_0(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -equiv. Galois cov. $\dot{X}(w) \rightarrow X(w)$, with Galois group $T_w(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Consider $\theta: T_w(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ on $X(w)$.
Interesting reps. of $G_0(\mathbb{F}_q)$ on $H^*(X(w), \overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$.

- PD: toy models for their p-adic big cousins.

We know surprisingly little about these varieties (coho., π_1 , etc.). My talk concerns the progress in the last year. May always assume G_0 adjoint, simple.

1. DL: Proposition (Lusztig⁷⁷, Bonnafé/Rouquier⁰⁶): $X(w)$ connected iff w elliptic.

Theorem (DL⁷⁶, Haastert⁸): For simplicity assume even that G_0

is absolutely simple. a) If $q \geq h-1$, then $X(w)$ is affine.

b) $X(w)$ is always quasi-affine.

Comments: DL-crit.: Let $D(C, w) = \{x \in X_\alpha(T)_F \mid \alpha(x) > 0, \forall \alpha > 0 \text{ with } w(\alpha) < 0\}$. $\exists x \in D(C, w)$ s.t. $F(x) \cdot w(x) \in G$.

Theorem (OR, He, Bonnafé/Rouquier 07): Same assumptions. If

w is of minimal length in its F -conjugacy class, then

$X(w)$ is affine.

→ Comments: OR for split classical gps: list, check DL-crit., He for remaining cases (computer), BR: prove this for larger set, but to prove larger need computer probably both solve the same

Example: Let G_0 be split of type G_2 . Then $X(w)$ is always

affine, except when $q = 2$ and $w = s_1 s_2 s_1$ or $w = s_2 s_1 s_2$.

I suspect that in the last two cases $X(w)$ not affine!

The previous theorem can be used to prove the following vanishing theorem.

Theorem (OR 07): Let $\theta : T_w(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_e^*$ with corresponding lisse sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ on $X(w)$. Then

$$H_c^i(X/w, \mathcal{F}_\theta) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < l(w).$$

DL $\rightarrow$

If furthermore θ is non-singular (i.e. $\text{Stk}_W(\theta)$ trivial).

then $H_c^i(X/w, \mathcal{F}_\theta) = 0$ for $i \neq l(w)$. + Poincaré.

Comments: Last statement follows from first, because $H_c^i(\mathcal{F}_\theta) \simeq H^i(\mathcal{F}_\theta)$ if θ non-sig. (DL)
 For $g \geq h$, this is in original paper of DL.

Last statement is due to Hauskerr (86). First statement for

$\theta = \text{trivial}$ is due to Digne/Michel/Rouquier (07).

Proof:

2. PD: Here the cohomology has been determined completely by Orlik.
 (only involves $\text{Ind}_p^h 1$.)

Complicated formulae! An important consequence is

Theorem (Orlik 01): Let $r_0 = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G_0)$. If N is non-trivial (i.e. $X(N) \neq \text{pt}$), then

$$H_c^i(X/w)^{\text{ss}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq i < r_0 \\ \text{St}_{G_0} & \text{for } i = r_0. \end{cases}$$

Comparison between rk and $\dim G/P$ gives.

Corollary: Same assumptions. Let N be non-trivial. Then

$X(N)^{\text{ss}}$ is never affine, unless $G_0 = \text{PGL}_n$ and $N = (x, y^{(n-1)})$

or $N = (x^{(n-1)}, y)$, in which case $X(N)^{\text{ss}} \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$.

Orlik has also recently "determined" π_1 .

Theorem (Orlik 07): Same assumptions. We have

$$\pi_1(X(\mathcal{W})^{\text{ds}}) = \langle 15 \rangle,$$

unless $G_0 = \text{PGL}_n$, and $\mathcal{W} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)_+$ with $\sum x_i = 0$

and either $x_2 < 0$ or $x_{n-1} > 0$. In this last case

$$\pi_1(X(\mathcal{W})^{\text{ds}}) = \pi_1(\Omega_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n).$$

In all other cases $\text{codim complex} \geq 2$.

Comments: What is RHS? Part of problem $\pi_1(\text{DL}) = ?$.

(3) DL $\Leftrightarrow$ PD Comparison of vanishing of DL and non-vanishing for PD gives.

Proposition (OR 07) Same assumptions. A DL-variety $X_{G_0}(w)$

is never homeomorphic to a PD $X_{G_0}(\mathcal{W})^{\text{ds}}$, unless

$G_0 = \text{PGL}_n$, $w = \text{Coxeter element}$, and $\mathcal{W} = (x, y^{(n-1)})$ or $(x^{(n-1)}, y)$,

in which case both are univ. homeomorphic to $\Omega_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$.

So, even though these varieties look quite similar at first glance, they are radically different!

Example: Let $x_2 < 0$. Then

$$X(\mathcal{W})^{\text{ds}} = \{ V_i \mid V_i \text{ not contained in } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-rat. hyperplane} \}$$

Hence $X(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ induces is

$$X(\mathcal{W})^{\text{ds}} = \pi^{-1}(\Omega_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n), \quad \text{fibers are fib. var. (simply connected)}$$