

Errata for [LRS]

We use the notations of [LRS].

In [LRS] the authors constructed for an automorphic representation Π of $D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$ with $\Pi_{\infty} \simeq \text{St}_d$ the Steinberg representation a $m(\Pi)d$ -dimensional graded Galois representation $V_{\Pi\infty}^{\bullet}$ as part of the cohomology of some moduli space for \mathcal{D} -elliptic sheaves. They claimed that the cup product makes $V_{\Pi\infty}^{\bullet}$ selfdual. If Π^{∞} is not selfdual up to some character twist, this is wrong. This false claim was only used in (14.11) Corollary and (14.12) Theorem.

(14.11) Corollary becomes correct, if we substitute $L_x(V_{\Pi\infty}^{\bullet}, q_x^{-d}T^{-1})$ by $L_x(V_{\Pi\infty}^{\bullet\vee}, q_x^{-1}T^{-1})$, in the statement as well as in the proof. Here we denote by $V_{\Pi\infty}^{\bullet\vee}$ the dual Galois representation.

The proof of (14.12) Theorem (given in (14.19), p.307) is correct word by word, if we substitute (14.14) Lemma and (14.17) Proposition by the following modifications (with the same proof for the Proposition' and essentially the same proof for the Lemma'):

(14.14) Lemma': Let us fix non-negative integers m and m' such that m' divides m . Let V^{\bullet} be a pure graded Frob-semisimple l'adic representation of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_{\infty}|F_{\infty})$ (cf. (14.13)). We assume moreover, that

$$\frac{L_{\infty}(V^{\bullet}, T)}{L_{\infty}(V^{\bullet\vee}, q_{\infty}^{-1}T^{-1})} \sim \left(\frac{1 - q_{\infty}^{-d}T^{-1}}{1 - T} \right)^m,$$

and that

$$L_{\infty}(V^i, T)^{-1} \in (1 + T\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}[T])^{m'}$$

for each $i = 0, \dots, 2d - 2$. Then there exists a direct summand W^{\bullet} of V^{\bullet} of the form

$$W^{\bullet} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} W_{\alpha}^{\bullet},$$

with

$$W_{\alpha}^{\bullet} \simeq \left[\bigoplus_{j=0}^s \sigma^0(\text{St}_{i_j})(-i_0 - \dots - i_{j-1}) \right]^{m'}$$

($\text{St}_i(-j)$ in degree $i + 2j - 1$) for some tuple (i_0, \dots, i_s) of positive integers with $i_0 + \dots + i_s = d$, such that $m = |A|m'$.

(14.17) Proposition': Assumption as in (14.17) Proposition, but the claim is:

$$V^{\bullet} = (V_{\Pi\infty}^{\bullet})^{\text{Frob-ss}} \simeq \left[\bigoplus_{j=0}^s \sigma^0(\text{St}_{i_j})(-i_0 - \dots - i_{j-1}) \right]^{m(\Pi)}$$

for some tuple (i_0, \dots, i_s) of positive integers with $i_0 + \dots + i_s = d$.

Proof of (14.14) Lemma': We make induction on m . The only irreducible Frob-semisimple representations U of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_{\infty}|F_{\infty})$ with $1 - T$ dividing $L_{\infty}(U, T)^{-1}$ are of the form $\sigma^0(\text{St}_i)$ for some i . Hence $\sigma^0(\text{St}_{i_0})$ is a direct summand of V^{\bullet} for some i_0 . We remark that by purity the representation $\sigma^0(\text{St}_i)(-j)$ can appear in V^{\bullet} only in degree $i + 2j - 1$. Hence $\sigma^0(\text{St}_{i_0})$ is actually a direct summand of V^{i_0-1} . Then $(1 - T)^{m'}$ divides $L_{\infty}(V^{i_0-1}, T)^{-1}$, and by above, we see that $\sigma^0(\text{St}_{i_0})^{m'}$ is a direct summand of V^{i_0-1} . Choosing some graded complement $V^{\bullet'}$ for this direct summand in V^{\bullet} , we get

$$\frac{L_{\infty}(V^{\bullet'}, T)}{L_{\infty}(V^{\bullet'\vee}, q_{\infty}^{-1}T^{-1})} \sim \left(\frac{1 - q_{\infty}^{-d}T^{-1}}{1 - T} \right)^{m-m'} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - q_{\infty}^{-d}T^{-1}}{1 - q_{\infty}^{-i_0}T^{-1}} \right)^{m'}.$$

If $i_0 = d$, we finish the proof by induction. Otherwise we conclude as above, that there is some positive integer i_1 , such that $\sigma^0(\text{St}_{i_1})(-i_0)^{m'}$ is a direct summand of $V^{i_1+2i_0-1'}$. Choosing again a complement $V^{\bullet''}$, we get

$$\frac{L_\infty(V^{\bullet''}, T)}{L_\infty(V^{\bullet''\vee}, q_\infty^{-1}T^{-1})} \sim \left(\frac{1 - q_\infty^{-d}T^{-1}}{1 - T} \right)^{m-m'} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - q_\infty^{-d}T^{-1}}{1 - q_\infty^{-(i_0+i_1)}T^{-1}} \right)^{m'}.$$

Going on (and observing that this process has to stop), we get a direct summand W_α as in the claim. We finish the proof by induction.