

## Exercises, Algebraic Geometry I – Week 6

**Exercise 35.** *Proper and separated morphisms* (5 points)

Decide which of the following morphisms are proper and which are separated. Here  $k$  is any field.

- (i)  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ ,
- (ii)  $V(xy - 1) \subset \mathbb{A}_k^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$  (first projection).
- (iii)  $\mathbb{A}_k^n \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ ,
- (iv) Let  $X$  resp.  $Y$  be the schemes obtained by glueing  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$  with itself over the the open set  $D(t)$  via  $k[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow k[t, t^{-1}]$ ,  $t \mapsto t$  resp.  $t \mapsto t^{-1}$ . Consider the natural morphisms  $X, Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  and  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow X, Y$ .

**Exercise 36.** *A non-affine open subscheme of an affine scheme* (4 points)

Let  $k$  be a field and consider the affine plane  $\mathbb{A}_k^2 = \text{Spec } k[x, y]$ . Let  $0 \in \mathbb{A}_k^2$  be the closed point corresponding to the maximal ideal  $(x, y)$  and let  $U := \mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus 0$ .

- (i) Show that restriction of sections induces an isomorphism  $H^0(\mathbb{A}_k^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ .
- (ii) Deduce that  $U$  is not an affine scheme.
- (iii) Show that  $\check{H}^1(\{D(x), D(y)\}, \mathcal{O}_U) \cong \bigoplus_{i,j < 0} \langle x^i y^j \rangle$ .

**Exercise 37.** *Properties of morphisms* (4 points)

Verify the following assertions.

- (i) Show that a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of schemes which is surjective, of finite type, and quasi-finite, need not be finite.
- (ii) Show that ‘quasi-finite’ and ‘injective’ are not preserved under base-change.
- (iii) Show that ‘being an open/closed immersion’ is preserved under base-change.
- (iv) Show that ‘having reduced/integral/connected fibres’ is not preserved under base-change.

**Exercise 38.** *Intersections of affine open subschemes* (3 points)

Let  $U, V \subset X$  be two affine open subschemes of a scheme  $X$ .

- (i) Show that the intersection  $U \cap V$  need not be affine.
- (ii) Prove that  $U \cap V$  is affine if  $X$  is separated (i.e. if  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  is separated).  
(Hint: First, show that  $X \times_{X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} X} (U \times V) \cong U \cap V$ , where  $X$  is embedded into  $X \times X$  via the diagonal.)

**Please turn over**

**Exercise 39.** *The image of a proper scheme is proper* (3 points)

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $S$ -schemes. Suppose that  $Y \rightarrow S$  is separated.

- (i) Show that the graph  $\Gamma_f: X \rightarrow X \times_S Y$  is a closed immersion.
- (ii) Let  $Z \subset X$  be a closed subscheme that is proper over  $S$ . Show that  $f(Z) \subset Y$  is closed.

**Exercise 40.** *Morphisms into separated schemes* (4 points)

Consider schemes  $X$  and  $Y$  over a base scheme  $S$ , i.e. together with morphisms  $\pi_X: X \rightarrow S$  and  $\pi_Y: Y \rightarrow S$ . Assume that  $X$  is reduced (or even stronger integral) and that  $Y \rightarrow S$  is separated. Show that two morphisms

$$f_1, f_2: X \rightarrow Y$$

over  $S$  (i.e. such that  $\pi_X = \pi_Y \circ f_i$ ) that coincide on a dense open subset  $U \subset X$  are actually equal. (*Hint:* Consider the composition of the graph  $X \rightarrow X \times_S Y$  of  $f$  with  $(g, \text{id}): X \times_S Y \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$ .) Give counterexamples if one of the hypotheses is dropped.

The last exercise is not strictly necessary for the understanding of the lectures at this point.

**Exercise 41.** *Base change as a functor* (3 extra points)

Consider a morphism of schemes  $S \rightarrow T$ . Every  $S$ -scheme  $X$ , i.e. every morphism  $X \rightarrow S$ , yields by composition with  $S \rightarrow T$  a  $T$ -scheme  $X \rightarrow S \rightarrow T$  which we shall denote  ${}_T X$ . Conversely, to every  $T$ -scheme  $Y \rightarrow T$  base change defines an  $S$ -scheme  $Y_S := S \times_T Y \rightarrow S$ .

- (i) Show that this defines two functors

$${}_T(\ ): (\text{Sch}/S) \rightarrow (\text{Sch}/T), X \mapsto {}_T X \text{ and } (\ )_S: (\text{Sch}/T) \rightarrow (\text{Sch}/S), Y \mapsto Y_S$$

which are adjoint to each other. More precisely,  ${}_T(\ )$  is left adjoint to  $(\ )_S$ , i.e.  ${}_T(\ ) \dashv (\ )_S$ .

- (ii) For any  $S$ -scheme  $X$  consider the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Res}_{S/T}(X): (\text{Sch}/T)^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow (\text{Sets}) \\ Y &\mapsto \text{Mor}_S(Y_S, X). \end{aligned}$$

If this functor is representable by a  $T$ -scheme, which will be denoted by  $\text{Res}_{S/T}(X)$ , it is called the *Weil restriction* and satisfies  $h_{\text{Res}_{S/T}(X)} \cong \mathbf{Res}_{S/T}(X)$ .

One can show that the Weil restriction exists for finite field extensions  $S = \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow T = \text{Spec}(k)$  and  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ , where  $A$  is a finite type  $K$ -algebra. In this case, one writes  $\text{Res}_{K/k}(X)$  for the Weil restriction.

Set  $\mathbb{S} := \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \setminus 0)$ . Show that the rational points of  $\mathbb{S}$  can be described as

$$\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a^2 + b^2 \neq 0 \right\}.$$

(Remark: Usually, i.e. for general  $S \rightarrow T$  and  $X$ , the Weil restriction does not exist.)

### Information from the Fachschaft:

This year's Math Christmas party will take place at Thursday, the 17.12. starting 18 ct. online via zoom. All current information can be found on <https://fsmath.uni-bonn.de/events-detail/events/virtual-christmas-party.html>. Swing by!