Non-correlation between Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms and trace functions

Vignesh Arumugam Nadarajan

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

Vignesh Arumugam Nadarajan

Non-correlation

28-29 Mar. 2022 1 / 18

Cusp form – a holomorphic cusp or Maass cusp form of weight 0 of some fixed level.

Since f(z + 1) = f(z), f admits a Fourier expansion which we will write as

A (1) < A (1) < A (1) </p>

Cusp form – a holomorphic cusp or Maass cusp form of weight 0 of some fixed level.

Since f(z + 1) = f(z), f admits a Fourier expansion which we will write as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_f(n) n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e(nz)$$

for a holomorphic form of weight ${\sf k}$

Cusp form – a holomorphic cusp or Maass cusp form of weight 0 of some fixed level.

Since f(z + 1) = f(z), f admits a Fourier expansion which we will write as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_f(n) n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e(nz)$$

for a holomorphic form of weight k and

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_f(n) |n|^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{it_f}(4\pi |n| y) e(nx)$$

for a Maass cusp form of weight 0.

Cusp form – a holomorphic cusp or Maass cusp form of weight 0 of some fixed level.

Since f(z + 1) = f(z), f admits a Fourier expansion which we will write as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_f(n) n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e(nz)$$

for a holomorphic form of weight \boldsymbol{k} and

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_f(n) |n|^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{it_f}(4\pi |n| y) e(nx)$$

for a Maass cusp form of weight 0.

This normalization is chosen so that the terms are almost bounded on average. Here $e(nz) = e^{2\pi i n z}$ and W_{it_f} is a Whittaker function.

p - prime. Let $K : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

2

p - prime. Let $K : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function.

Question

What is the size of the sum

$$S_V(f, K, p) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \rho_f(n) K(n) V(n/p)$$

э

• • • • • • • • • • •

p - prime. Let $K : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function.

Question

What is the size of the sum

$$S_V(f, K, p) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \rho_f(n) K(n) V(n/p)$$

The trivial bound is

 $S(f, K, p) \ll_{f, V} ||K||_{\infty} p$

э

< A□ > < □ >

For trace functions, we can do much better! Here is the theorem of É. Fouvry, E. Kowalski and Ph. Michel, [GAFA15]

Theorem

Let f be a Hecke eigenform. Let K be an isotypic trace function of conductor cond(K).

There exists $s \ge 1$ absolute constant such that:

 $S_V(f, K; p) \ll_{f, V, \delta} cond(K)^s p^{1-\delta}$

holds for any $\delta < 1/8$.

What is a Trace function?

Trace function

A function $K : \mathbb{F}_{p} \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a trace function if there exists a constructible ℓ -adic sheaf \mathcal{F} on $\mathbb{A}^{1}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}$ (satisfying some technical conditions) s.t.

$$K(x) = \iota(tr\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{F}_p, x))$$

Examples

$$\mathcal{K}(n) = \begin{cases} e(\frac{\phi_1(n)}{p})\chi(\phi_2(n)) & S_1(n)S_2(n) \neq 0 \mod p\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $\phi_i(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p(X)$ and $S_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ the denominator of $\phi_i(X)$. We exclude the case

$$\mathcal{K}(x) = e\left(rac{ax+b}{p}
ight), a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$$

< 47 ▶ <

Example (due to Deligne, studied extensively by Katz)

Define the Hyper-kloostermann sum as the multiplicative convolution of additive characters

$$KI_m(a;p) = \frac{1}{p^{(m-1)/2}} \sum_{x_1 x_2 \dots x_m = a} e\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{p}\right)$$

where $x_1, ..., x_m \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$.

$$K(n) = \begin{cases} Kl_m(\phi(n); p) & S(n) \not\equiv 0 \mod p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $\phi(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p(X)$ and $S(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ its denominator.

We study the same question for automorphic forms over number fields. $\ensuremath{\textit{F}}$ - number field

- F number field
- $\mathfrak p$ prime ideal of $\mathcal O_F$

- F number field
- $\mathfrak p$ prime ideal of $\mathcal O_F$
- $K:\mathbb{F}_q\to\mathbb{C}$

- F number field
- \mathfrak{p} prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_F
- $K: \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C}$
- ϕ cuspidal GL2-automorphic form over F of level $\mathfrak{N}.$

- F number field
- \mathfrak{p} prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_F
- $K:\mathbb{F}_q\to\mathbb{C}$
- ϕ cuspidal GL₂-automorphic form over F of level \mathfrak{N} .

 $\mathfrak N$ coprime to $\mathfrak p$ fixed.

The question that we consider is to bound

$$\sum_{m\in F^{\times}} K(m_{\mathfrak{p}}) W_{\phi}\Big(\begin{pmatrix} m\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\Big)$$

where W_{ϕ} is the global Whittaker function of ϕ .

The question that we consider is to bound

$$\sum_{m\in F^{\times}} K(m_{\mathfrak{p}}) W_{\phi}\Big(\begin{pmatrix} m\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\Big)$$

where W_{ϕ} is the global Whittaker function of ϕ . In fact $m \in \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$. Here $m_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the congruence class of $m \varpi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at \mathfrak{p} .

Assume ϕ is an automorphic form that is spherical at \mathfrak{p} (i.e. $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ invariant) and $||\phi||_2 = 1$.

3

Assume ϕ is an automorphic form that is spherical at \mathfrak{p} (i.e. $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ invariant) and $||\phi||_2 = 1$. We have the following trivial bound:

$$\left|\sum_{m\in F^{\times}} K(m_{\mathfrak{p}}) W_{\phi}\Big(\begin{pmatrix} m\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Big)\right| \ll_{\phi,F,K} \mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$$

where $\vartheta > \frac{7}{64}$ is the known approximation to the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture.

Theorem[N. 2022+]

Assume that F is a totally real field. If K a trace function s.t. its Fourier transform \widehat{K} has trivial automorphism group, then

$$\left|\sum_{m\in F^{\times}} \mathcal{K}(m_{\mathfrak{p}}) W_{\phi}\left(\begin{pmatrix} m\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)\right| \ll_{\phi,F,\mathcal{K},\delta} \mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$$

for any $\delta < \frac{1}{12}$.

The strategy of the proof is to estimate the amplified second moment using the relative trace formula.

The strategy of the proof is to estimate the amplified second moment using the relative trace formula.

Define a factorizable function $h \in C_c^{\infty}(\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))$ i.e. a smooth function that is compactly supported modulo the center. We will consider then a spectral average whose cuspidal part looks as follows and apply to it the relative trace formula:

The strategy of the proof is to estimate the amplified second moment using the relative trace formula.

Define a factorizable function $h \in C_c^{\infty}(\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))$ i.e. a smooth function that is compactly supported modulo the center. We will consider then a spectral average whose cuspidal part looks as follows and apply to it the relative trace formula:

$$\sum_{\pi} \sum_{\varphi \in \mathscr{B}(\pi, \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p})} \left| \sum_{m \in F^{\times}} W_{R(h)\varphi, f}\left(\begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right|^{2}$$

where the π varies over cuspidal representations of level $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathscr{B}(\pi, \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p})$ is an orthonormal basis of $\pi^{\mathcal{K}_0(\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p})}$

Assume

F a totally real field.

3

Assume

- F a totally real field.
- ϕ a cuspidal form that is $K_0(\mathfrak{N})$ invariant.

Assume

- F a totally real field.
- ϕ a cuspidal form that is $K_0(\mathfrak{N})$ invariant.
- ϕ a pure tensor i.e. $W_{\phi} = \prod_{\nu} W_{\phi,\nu}$.

Strategy of the proof- suite

$$\left|\sum_{m\in F^{\times}}W_{R(h)\phi,f}\begin{pmatrix}m&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right|^{2}$$

$$\left|\sum_{m\in F^{\times}} W_{R(h)\phi,f}\begin{pmatrix} m & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right|^{2}$$
$$= |w(\pi_{\infty})|^{2} \left|\sum_{\mathfrak{l}\in\Lambda} x_{\mathfrak{l}}\lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})\right|^{2} \left|\sum_{m\in F^{\times}} W_{\phi}\begin{pmatrix} m\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K(m_{p})\right|^{2}$$

Vignesh Arumugam Nadarajan

28-29 Mar. 2022 13/18

< □ > < //>
</

3

$$\left|\sum_{m\in F^{\times}} W_{R(h)\phi,f}\begin{pmatrix}m&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right|^{2}$$
$$= |w(\pi_{\infty})|^{2} \left|\sum_{\mathfrak{l}\in\Lambda} x_{\mathfrak{l}}\lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})\right|^{2} \left|\sum_{m\in F^{\times}} W_{\phi}\begin{pmatrix}m\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0\\0 & 1\end{pmatrix} K(m_{p})\right|^{2}$$

 $w(\pi_{\infty}) \in \mathbb{C}$ is the spectral weight and Λ is a set of prime ideals whose norm is of size *L*.

By applying the relative trace formula to the operator R(h) and using positivity the cuspidal contribution satisfies

$$\sum_{\pi} \sum_{\varphi \in \mathscr{B}(\pi, \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p})} \left| \sum_{m \in F^{\times}} W_{R(h)\varphi, f} \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right|^{2} \\ \ll_{f_{\infty}, F, K} (\mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p}))^{1+\epsilon} . \mathcal{L}^{1+\epsilon} + \sqrt{\mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})} . \mathcal{L}^{4+\epsilon}$$

By applying the relative trace formula to the operator R(h) and using positivity the cuspidal contribution satisfies

$$\sum_{\pi} \sum_{\varphi \in \mathscr{B}(\pi, \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p})} \left| \sum_{m \in F^{\times}} W_{R(h)\varphi, f} \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right|^{2} \\ \ll_{f_{\infty}, F, K} (\mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p}))^{1+\epsilon} . \mathcal{L}^{1+\epsilon} + \sqrt{\mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})} . \mathcal{L}^{4+\epsilon}$$

Recall that L is the length of the amplifier.

Now for ϕ a cusp form that is $K_0(\mathfrak{N})$ invariant in a representation π , with ϕ a pure tensor, by positivity:

$$\left|\sum_{m\in F^{\times}} W_{\mathcal{R}(h)\phi,f}\begin{pmatrix} m & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right|^2 \ll_{f_{\infty},F,K} (\mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p}))^{1+\epsilon} L^{1+\epsilon} + \sqrt{\mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})} L^{4+\epsilon}$$

Using our previous calculation,

$$|w(\pi_{\infty})|^{2} \left| \sum_{\mathfrak{l}\in\Lambda} x_{\mathfrak{l}}\lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l}) \right|^{2} \left| \sum_{m\in F^{\times}} W_{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} m\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K(m_{\rho}) \right|^{2}$$
$$\ll_{f_{\infty},F,K} (\mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p}))^{1+\epsilon} L^{1+\epsilon} + \sqrt{\mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})} L^{4+\epsilon}$$

э

Strategy of proof- fin

With ϕ and π as above, we will choose *h* s.t. $|w(\pi_{\infty})| > 0$ and using the amplifier due to A.Venkatesh, we choose:

$$x_{\mathfrak{l}} = egin{cases} {\mathsf{sign}}(\lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})) & ext{if } \mathfrak{l} \in \Lambda ext{ and } \lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})
eq 0 \\ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Strategy of proof- fin

With ϕ and π as above, we will choose h s.t. $|w(\pi_{\infty})| > 0$ and using the amplifier due to A.Venkatesh, we choose:

$$x_{\mathfrak{l}} = egin{cases} {\mathsf{sign}}(\lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})) & ext{if } \mathfrak{l} \in \Lambda ext{ and } \lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})
eq 0 \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This gives

$$\left(\sum_{\mathfrak{l}\in\Lambda}|\lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})|\right)^{2}\left|\sum_{m\in F^{\times}}W_{\phi}\begin{pmatrix}m\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}K(m_{p})\right|^{2} \ll_{f_{\infty},F,K}(\mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p}))^{1+\epsilon}.L^{1+\epsilon}+\sqrt{\mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})}.L^{4+\epsilon}$$

Vignesh Arumugam Nadarajan

Strategy of proof- fin

With ϕ and π as above, we will choose h s.t. $|w(\pi_{\infty})| > 0$ and using the amplifier due to A.Venkatesh, we choose:

$$x_{\mathfrak{l}} = egin{cases} {\mathsf{sign}}(\lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})) & ext{if } \mathfrak{l} \in \Lambda ext{ and } \lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})
eq 0 \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This gives

$$\left(\sum_{\mathfrak{l}\in\Lambda}|\lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})|\right)^{2}\left|\sum_{m\in F^{\times}}W_{\phi}\begin{pmatrix}m\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}K(m_{p})\right|^{2} \ll_{f_{\infty},F,K}(\mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p}))^{1+\epsilon}.L^{1+\epsilon}+\sqrt{\mathsf{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})}.L^{4+\epsilon}$$

Since

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{l}\in \Lambda} |\lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})| \gg_{\pi} L^{1-\epsilon}$$

we may conclude by setting $L = (Nm(\mathfrak{p}))^{\frac{1}{6}}$.

< 口 > < 凸 >

Thank you for your kind attention!