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## Cusp forms

Cusp form - a holomorphic cusp or Maass cusp form of weight 0 of some fixed level.
Since $f(z+1)=f(z), f$ admits a Fourier expansion which we will write as

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_{f}(n) n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e(n z)
$$

for a holomorphic form of weight $k$ and

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_{f}(n)|n|^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{i t_{f}}(4 \pi|n| y) e(n x)
$$

for a Maass cusp form of weight 0 .
This normalization is chosen so that the terms are almost bounded on average. Here $e(n z)=e^{2 \pi i n z}$ and $W_{i t_{f}}$ is a Whittaker function.
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## Question

What is the size of the sum

$$
S_{V}(f, K, p)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \rho_{f}(n) K(n) V(n / p)
$$

The trivial bound is

$$
S(f, K, p)<_{f, V}\|K\|_{\infty} p
$$

## Trace functions vs. modular forms

For trace functions, we can do much better! Here is the theorem of É. Fouvry, E. Kowalski and Ph. Michel, [GAFA15]

## Theorem

Let $f$ be a Hecke eigenform. Let K be an isotypic trace function of conductor cond(K).
There exists $s \geq 1$ absolute constant such that:

$$
S_{V}(f, K ; p) \ll_{f, V, \delta} \operatorname{cond}(K)^{s} p^{1-\delta}
$$

holds for any $\delta<1 / 8$.

## What is a Trace function?

## Trace function

A function $K: \mathbb{F}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a trace function if there exists a constructible $\ell$-adic sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}^{1}$ (satisfying some technical conditions) s.t.

$$
K(x)=\iota\left(\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}, x\right)\right)
$$

## Examples

$$
K(n)= \begin{cases}e\left(\frac{\phi_{1}(n)}{p}\right) \chi\left(\phi_{2}(n)\right) & S_{1}(n) S_{2}(n) \not \equiv 0 \bmod p \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for $\phi_{i}(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}(X)$ and $S_{i}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$ the denominator of $\phi_{i}(X)$. We exclude the case

$$
K(x)=e\left(\frac{a x+b}{p}\right), a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}
$$

## Trace functions

## Example (due to Deligne, studied extensively by Katz)

Define the Hyper-kloostermann sum as the multiplicative convolution of additive characters

$$
K I_{m}(a ; p)=\frac{1}{p^{(m-1) / 2}} \sum_{x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{m}=a} e\left(\frac{x_{1}+\ldots+x_{m}}{p}\right)
$$

where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$.

$$
K(n)= \begin{cases}K I_{m}(\phi(n) ; p) & S(n) \not \equiv 0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for $\phi(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}(X)$ and $S(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$ its denominator.
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## Trivial bound

Assume $\phi$ is an automorphic form that is spherical at $\mathfrak{p}$ (i.e. $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ invariant) and $\|\phi\|_{2}=1$. We have the following trivial bound:

$$
\left|\sum_{m \in F^{\times}} K\left(m_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) W_{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)\right|<_{\phi, F, K} \operatorname{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})^{\frac{1}{2}+\vartheta}
$$

where $\vartheta>\frac{7}{64}$ is the known approximation to the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture.

## Our result

## Theorem[N. 2022+]

Assume that $F$ is a totally real field. If $K$ a trace function s.t. its Fourier transform $\widehat{K}$ has trivial automorphism group, then

$$
\left|\sum_{m \in F^{\times}} K\left(m_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) W_{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)\right|<_{\phi, F, K, \delta} \mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}
$$

for any $\delta<\frac{1}{12}$.
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Define a factorizable function $h \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)\right)$ i.e. a smooth function that is compactly supported modulo the center. We will consider then a spectral average whose cuspidal part looks as follows and apply to it the relative trace formula:
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where the $\pi$ varies over cuspidal representations of level $\mathfrak{N p}$ and $\mathscr{B}(\pi, \mathfrak{N p})$ is an orthonormal basis of $\pi^{K_{0}(\mathfrak{N p})}$
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$w\left(\pi_{\infty}\right) \in \mathbb{C}$ is the spectral weight and $\Lambda$ is a set of prime ideals whose norm is of size $L$.
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Recall that $L$ is the length of the amplifier.

## Strategy of the proof- suite

Now for $\phi$ a cusp form that is $K_{0}(\mathfrak{N})$ invariant in a representation $\pi$, with $\phi$ a pure tensor, by positivity:

$$
\left|\sum_{m \in F^{\times}} W_{R(h) \phi, f}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
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\end{array}\right)\right|^{2} \ll f_{\infty}, F, K(N m(\mathfrak{p}))^{1+\epsilon} \cdot L^{1+\epsilon}+\sqrt{\operatorname{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})} \cdot L^{4+\epsilon}
$$

## Strategy of the proof- suite

Using our previous calculation,

$$
\begin{gathered}
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This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{l} \in \Lambda}\left|\lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})\right|\right)^{2}\left|\sum_{m \in F^{\times}} W_{\phi}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m \varpi_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) K\left(m_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \\
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Since

$$
\sum_{\mathfrak{l} \in \Lambda}\left|\lambda_{\pi}(\mathfrak{l})\right| \ggg L^{1-\epsilon}
$$

we may conclude by setting $L=(N m(\mathfrak{p}))^{\frac{1}{6}}$.
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