Abstract

Given a smooth curve C and some points $p_i \in C$, one can ask if there exists a meromorphic kdifferential η on C with zeroes and poles of specified orders exactly at the points p_i . This condition cuts out a closed subset of $\mathcal{M}_{q,n}$. In [1], Farkas and Pandharipande propose a natural way to extend this to a closed subset of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. One can use deformation theory to compute the dimension of its components. Moreover, there is a conjectural formula of its (weighted) fundamental class in terms of a tautological cycle studied by Pixton.

The space $\mathcal{H}_a^k(\mu)$

Let $g, n, k \geq 0$ and $\mu = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ an integer partition of k(2g-2). Inside the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{q,n}$ of smooth genus g curves C with n distinct marked points $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in C$, define

$$\mathcal{H}_{g}^{k}(\mu) = \left\{ (C, p_{1}, \dots, p_{n}) : \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} p_{i}\right) \cong \omega_{C}^{\otimes k} \right\}$$

This equality of line bundles is equivalent to the existence of a meromorphic k-differential η on C with $\operatorname{div}(\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i p_i.$

Example: $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{2}(2,2)$

We are looking for the set of genus 2 curves C with markings p, q such that $\mathcal{O}(2p+2q) \cong \omega_C^{\otimes 2}$. But recall that every such C is hyperelliptic via its canonical map and for each $p \in C$ the hyperelliptic conjugate point \bar{p} satisfies $\mathcal{O}(p+\bar{p}) \cong \omega_C$.

Then $\mathcal{H}_2^2(2,2)$ is the disjoint union of the sets

$$\mathcal{H}_{2}^{1}(1,1) = \{(C, p, q) : \bar{p} = q\}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{2}^{2}(2,2)' := \{(C, p, q) : \bar{p} = p, \bar{q} = q\}$$

Note that the first set has codimension 1 in $\mathcal{M}_{2,2}$, while the second has codimension 2!

Exercise: Show that any $(C, p, q) \in \mathcal{H}_2^2(2, 2)$ is in the union of the two sets above by constructing a meromorphic differential ξ with $\operatorname{div}(\xi) = 2p + q - \bar{q}$ and using the Residue theorem.

Moduli spaces of twisted k-differentials Johannes Schmitt ETH Zurich

Compactifications of $\mathcal{H}_a^k(\mu)$

Taking the closure $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{a}^{k}(\mu) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}$ is one way to compactify $\mathcal{H}_{a}^{k}(\mu)$, but it lacks a simple modular interpretation.

Instead, Farkas and Pandharipande proposed in [1] a different compactification - called the moduli space of twisted k-differentials. It is given by a condition (*), which we describe below and on the right.

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{q}^{k}(\mu) = \{ (C, p_{1}, \dots, p_{n}) : (*) \text{ is satisfied} \}$

with

(*): There exists a twist I on Γ_C with

$$\nu_I^* \mathcal{O}_C(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i p_i) \cong \nu_I^* \omega_C^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_I}\left(\sum_{q \in N_I} I(q) \cdot (q' - q'')\right)$$

Theorem (Farkas-Pandharipande (k = 1, [1]), S. (k > 1, [2]))

For $k \geq 1$, the components of $\mathcal{H}_{a}^{k}(\mu)$ have dimension 2g - 3 + n (codimension g), except if μ is divisible by k and nonnegative, in which case $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{a}^{1}(\mu/k) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{a}^{k}(\mu)$ is a union of components of dimension 2g - 2 + n(codimension g-1), with all other components of dimension 2g-3+n. Moreover, the components of $\mathcal{H}_{q}^{k}(\mu) \subset \mathcal{M}_{q,n}$ are smooth of the dimensions given above.

Idea of proof

The components of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{q}^{k}(\mu)$ in the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ are parametrized by products of spaces $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{a'}^{k'}(\mu')$ (see on the right) so by induction the main difficulty lies in analyzing the open part $\mathcal{H}_{a}^{k}(\mu)$.

For $\pi: \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{M}_{q,n}$ the universal Jacobian of degree k(2g-2), the set $\mathcal{H}_{a}^{k}(\mu)$ is the intersection of the sections $s_1 = \omega_C^{\otimes k}$ and $s_2 = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i p_i)$. Thus its tangent space at a point $(C, p) = (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{q}^{k}(\mu)$ is given by

 $T_{(C,p)}\mathcal{H}_{g}^{k}(\mu) = \ker T_{(C,p)}\mathcal{M}_{g,n} \xrightarrow{ds_{1}-ds_{2}} T_{(C,p,\omega_{C}^{\otimes k})}\mathcal{J}$ By deformation theory, both tangent spaces have natural expressions in terms of cohomology groups of sheaves on C and the map $ds_1 - ds_2$ is induced from a map of these sheaves. By analyzing kernel and cokernel of the sheaf map separately, one can compute the dimension of the kernel in cohomology.

Let Z be a component of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_q^k(\mu)$ in the boundary, with generic dual graph Γ and generic twist I on Γ . From the known dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{a}^{\kappa}(\mu)$ and a general lower bound on the the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_{a}^{k}(\mu)$, it follows that Γ, I must be of a particular form:



Boundary components



Denote by $C_{\Gamma,I}$ the union of components Z with given dual graph Γ and twist I.

If $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{a}^{k}(\mu)$ has pure codimension g, it makes sense to ask for its fundamental class. If one weights the various components $C_{\Gamma,I}$ by combinatorially defined integers, there is a conjectural formula for this weighted sum in terms of Pixton's cycle.

 $[C_{\Gamma,I}] =$

this can be used to determine all the classes $[\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{a}^{\kappa}(\mu)].$

[1] G. Farkas and R. Pandharipande. The moduli space of twisted canonical divisors. ArXiv e-prints, August 2015. [2] J. Schmitt. Dimension theory of the moduli space of twisted k-differentials. ArXiv e-prints, July 2016.



Relation to Pixton's cycle

Conjecture A

For $k \geq 1$ and μ not of the form $\mu = k\mu'$ for a nonnegative partition μ' of 2g - 2, let $\tilde{\mu} =$ (m_1+k,\ldots,m_n+k) . Then we have $\sum_{\Gamma,I} \frac{\prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} I(e)}{k^{|V_{\text{out}}(\Gamma)|}} [C_{\Gamma,I}] = 2^{-g} P_g^{g,k}(\tilde{\mu}).$

Here $P_{q}^{g,k}(\tilde{\mu})$ is an explicit sum of pushforwards under gluing maps

$$\Gamma':\prod_{v\in V(\Gamma')}\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(v),n(v)}\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

of polynomials in κ and ψ -classes. It is defined purely combinatorially.

Using the fact that $[C_{\Gamma,I}]$ can itself be written as a gluing pushforward

$$= \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)|} (\xi_{\Gamma})_* \left[\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g(v_0)}^k (\mu[v_0], -I[v_0] - k) \right] \cdot \prod_{v \in V_{\text{out}}(\Gamma)} \left[\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g(v)}^1 \left(\frac{\mu[v]}{k}, \frac{I[v] - k}{k} \right) \right] \right]$$

References

Contact Information

• Web: https://people.math.ethz.ch/~schmittj/ Email: johannes.schmitt@math.ethz.ch