

# An introduction to stable homotopy theory

---

“Abelian groups up to homotopy”  
spectra  $\iff$  generalized cohomology theories

**Examples:**

## 1. Ordinary cohomology:

For  $A$  any abelian group,  $H^n(X; A) = [X_+, K(A, n)]$ .

Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum, denoted  $HA$ .  
 $HA_n = K(A, n)$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

The coefficients of the theory are given by

$$HA^*(\text{pt}) = \begin{cases} A & * = 0 \\ 0 & * \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

## 2. Hypercohomology:

For  $C$ . any chain complex of abelian groups,

$$\mathbb{H}^s(X; C.) \cong \bigoplus_{q-p=s} H^p(X; H_q(C.)).$$

Just a direct sum of shifted ordinary cohomologies.

$$HC.*(pt) = H_*(C.).$$

## 3. Complex K-theory:

$K^*(X)$ ; associated spectrum denoted  $K$ .

$$K_n = \begin{cases} U & n = \text{odd} \\ BU \times \mathbb{Z} & n = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

$$K^*(pt) = \begin{cases} 0 & * = \text{odd} \\ \mathbb{Z} & * = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

## 4. Stable cohomotopy:

$\pi_S^*(X)$ ; associated spectrum denoted  $\mathbb{S}$ .

$\mathbb{S}_n = S^n$ ,  $\mathbb{S}$  is the *sphere spectrum*.

$\pi_S^*(pt) = \pi_{-*}^S(pt) =$  stable homotopy groups of spheres. These are only known in a range.

## “Rings up to homotopy”

ring spectra  $\iff$  gen. coh. theories with a product

1. For  $R$  a ring,  $HR$  is a ring spectrum.

The cup product gives a graded product:

$$HR^p(X) \otimes HR^q(X) \rightarrow HR^{p+q}(X)$$

Induced by  $K(R, p) \wedge K(R, q) \rightarrow K(R, p + q)$ .

2. For  $A$  a differential graded algebra (DGA),  
 $HA$  is a ring spectrum. Product induced by  
 $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ , or  $A_p \otimes A_q \rightarrow A_{p+q}$ .

The groups  $\mathbb{H}(X; A)$  are still determined by  $H_*(A)$ ,  
but the product structure is *not* determined  $H_*(A)$ .

3.  $K$  is a ring spectrum;

Product induced by tensor product of vector bundles.

4.  $\mathbb{S}$  is a commutative ring spectrum.

**Definition.** A “*ring spectrum*” is a sequence of pointed spaces  $R = (R_0, R_1, \dots, R_n, \dots)$  with compatibly associative and unital products  $R_p \wedge R_q \rightarrow R_{p+q}$ .

**Definition.** A “*spectrum*”  $F$  is a sequence of pointed spaces  $(F_0, F_1, \dots, F_n, \dots)$  with structure maps  $\Sigma F_n \rightarrow F_{n+1}$ . Equivalently, adjoint maps  $F_n \rightarrow \Omega F_{n+1}$ .

**Example:  $\mathbb{S}$  a commutative ring spectrum**

Structure maps:  $\Sigma S^n = S^1 \wedge S^n \xrightarrow{\cong} S^{n+1}$ .

Product maps:  $S^p \wedge S^q \xrightarrow{\cong} S^{p+q}$ .

Actually, must be more careful here. For example:  $S^1 \wedge S^1 \xrightarrow{\text{twist}} S^1 \wedge S^1$  is a degree  $-1$  map.

## History of spectra and $\wedge$

*Boardman in 1965 defined spectra and  $\wedge$ .  $\wedge$  is only commutative and associative up to homotopy.*

*$A_\infty$  ring spectrum = best approximation to associative ring spectrum.*

*$E_\infty$  ring spectrum = best approximation to commutative ring spectrum.*

*Lewis in 1991: No good  $\wedge$  exists.*

Five reasonable axioms  $\implies$  no such  $\wedge$ .

*Since 1997, lots of monoidal categories of spectra exist! (with  $\wedge$  that is commutative and associative.)*

1. 1997: Elmendorf, Kriz, Mandell, May

2. 2000: Hovey, S., Smith

3, 4 and 5 ... Lydakis, Schwede, ...

**Theorem.** (Mandell, May, Schwede, S. '01;  
Schwede '01)

All above models define the same homotopy theory.

## Spectral Algebra

Given the good categories of spectra with  $\wedge$ , one can easily do algebra with spectra.

### Definitions:

A *ring spectrum* is a spectrum  $R$  with an associative and unital multiplication  $\mu : R \wedge R \rightarrow R$  (with unit  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow R$ ).

An  *$R$ -module spectrum* is a spectrum  $M$  with an associative and unital action  $\alpha : R \wedge M \rightarrow M$ .

$\mathbb{S}$ -*modules* are spectra.

$S^1 \wedge F_n \rightarrow F_{n+1}$  iterated gives  $S^p \wedge F_q \rightarrow F_{p+q}$ .

Fits together to give  $\mathbb{S} \wedge F \rightarrow F$ .

$\mathbb{S}$ -*algebras* are ring spectra.

## Homological Algebra vs. Spectral Algebra

$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$ (d.g.)	$\mathbb{S}$
$\mathbb{Z}$ -Mod $= \mathcal{A}b$	d.g.-Mod $= \mathcal{C}h$	$\mathbb{S}$ -Mod $= \mathcal{S}pectra$
$\mathbb{Z}$ -Alg = $\mathcal{R}ings$	d.g.-Alg = $\mathcal{D}GAs$	$\mathbb{S}$ -Alg = $\mathcal{R}ing\ spectra$

$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$ (d.g.)	$H\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{S}$
$\mathbb{Z}$ -Mod	d.g.-Mod	$H\mathbb{Z}$ -Mod	$\mathbb{S}$ -Mod
$\mathbb{Z}$ -Alg	d.g.-Alg	$H\mathbb{Z}$ -Alg	$\mathbb{S}$ -Alg
$\cong$	quasi-iso	weak equiv.	weak equiv.

*Quasi-isomorphisms* are maps which induce isomorphisms in homology.

*Weak equivalences* are maps which induce isomorphisms on the coefficients.

$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$ (d.g.)	$H\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{S}$
$\mathbb{Z}$ -Mod	d.g.-Mod	$H\mathbb{Z}$ -Mod	$\mathbb{S}$ -Mod
$\mathbb{Z}$ -Alg	d.g.-Alg	$H\mathbb{Z}$ -Alg	$\mathbb{S}$ -Alg
$\cong$	quasi-iso	weak equiv.	weak equiv.
	$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}) =$ $\mathcal{C}h[\text{q-iso}]^{-1}$	$\mathcal{H}o(H\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod})$	$\mathcal{H}o(\mathbb{S}) =$ $\mathcal{S}pectra[\text{wk.eq.}]^{-1}$

**Theorem.** (Robinson '87; Schwede-S. '03; S. '07)  
Columns two and three are equivalent up to homotopy.

(1)  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq_{\Delta} \mathcal{H}o(H\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod})$ .

(2)  $\mathcal{C}h \simeq_{\text{Quillen}} H\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$ .

(3) Associative  $\mathcal{D}GA \simeq_{\text{Quillen}} \text{Assoc. } H\mathbb{Z}\text{-Alg}$ .

(4) For  $A$ . a DGA,  
d.g.  $A$ .-Mod  $\simeq_{\text{Quillen}} HA$ .-Mod  
and  $\mathcal{D}(A.) \simeq_{\Delta} \mathcal{H}o(HA$ .-Mod).

## Algebraic Models

**Thm.**(Gabriel)

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cocomplete, abelian category with a small projective generator  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}(G) = \mathcal{C}(G, G)$  be the endomorphism ring of  $G$ . Then

$$\mathcal{C} \cong \text{Mod-}\mathcal{E}(G)$$

Consider  $\mathcal{C}(G, -) : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G, X)$ .

## Differential graded categories

**Defn:**  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\text{Ch}_R$ -*model category* if it is enriched and tensored over  $\text{Ch}_R$  in a way that is compatible with the model structures.

**Example:** differential graded modules over a dga.

Note,  $\mathcal{E}(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$  is a dga.

**Defn:** An object  $X$  is *small* in  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $\bigoplus [X, A_i] \rightarrow [X, \coprod A_i]$  is an isomorphism.

An object  $X$  is a *generator* of  $\mathcal{C}$  (or  $\mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{C})$ ) if the only localizing subcategory containing  $X$  is  $\mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{C})$  itself. (A *localizing* subcategory is a triangulated subcategory which is closed under coproducts.)

**Example:**  $A$  is a small generator of  $A\text{-Mod}$ .

**Thm:** If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\text{Ch}_R$ -model category with a (cofibrant and fibrant) small generator  $G$  then  $\mathcal{C}$  is Quillen equivalent to (right) d.g. modules over  $\mathcal{E}(G)$ .

$$\mathcal{C} \simeq_Q \text{Mod-}\mathcal{E}(G)$$

## Example: Koszul duality

Consider the graded ring  $P_{\mathbb{Q}}[c]$  with  $|c| = -2$ .  
Let  $\text{tor } P\text{-Mod}$  be d.g. torsion  $P_{\mathbb{Q}}[c]$ -modules.

$\mathbb{Q}[0]$  is a small generator of  $\text{tor } P\text{-Mod}$ .

Let  $\tilde{Q}$  be a cofibrant and fibrant replacement.

**Corollary:** There are Quillen equivalences:

$$\text{tor } P\text{-Mod} \simeq_Q \text{Mod-}\mathcal{E}(\tilde{Q}) \simeq_Q \text{Mod-}\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}[x]$$

$$-\otimes_{\mathcal{E}(\tilde{Q})}\tilde{Q} : \text{Mod-}\mathcal{E}(\tilde{Q}) \rightleftarrows \text{tor } P\text{-Mod} : \text{Hom}_{P[c]}(\tilde{Q}, -)$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\tilde{Q}) \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\tilde{Q}) \leftarrow \tilde{Q}$$

Note  $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{Q}) = \text{Hom}_{P[c]}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q})$   
 $\simeq \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}[x]$  with  $|x| = 1$ .

**Corollary:** Extension and restriction of scalars induce another Quillen equivalence:

$$-\otimes_{\mathcal{E}(\tilde{Q})}\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}[x] : \text{Mod-}\mathcal{E}(\tilde{Q}) \rightleftarrows \text{Mod-}\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}[x] : \text{res.}$$

## Spectral model categories

**Defn:** Let  $\mathrm{Sp}$  denote a monoidal model category of spectra.  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *Sp-model category* if it is compatibly enriched and tensored over  $\mathrm{Sp}$ .  $\mathcal{E}(X) = F_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$  is a ring spectrum.

**Thm:** (Schwede-S.) If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\mathrm{Sp}$ -model category with a (cofibrant and fibrant) small generator  $G$  then  $\mathcal{C}$  is Quillen equivalent to (right) module spectra over  $\mathcal{E}(G) = F_{\mathcal{C}}(G, G)$ .

$$\mathcal{C} \simeq_Q \mathrm{Mod}\text{-}\mathcal{E}(G)$$

$$- \otimes_{\mathcal{E}(G)} G : \mathrm{Mod}\text{-}\mathcal{E}(G) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C} : F_{\mathcal{C}}(G, -)$$

## Rational stable model categories

**Defn:** A Sp-model category is rational if  $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}$  is a rational vector space for all  $X, Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . In this case  $\mathcal{E}(X) = F_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X) \simeq H\mathbb{Q} \wedge cF_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$ .

## Rational spectral algebra $\simeq$ d.g. algebra:

- There are composite Quillen equivalences

$$\Theta : H\mathbb{Q}\text{-Alg} \rightleftarrows \text{DGA}_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{H}.$$

- For any  $H\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra spectrum  $B$ ,

$$\text{Mod-} B \rightleftarrows \text{Mod-} \Theta B.$$

**Thm:** If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a rational Sp-model category with a (cofibrant and fibrant) small generator  $G$  then there are Quillen equivalences:

$$\mathcal{C} \simeq_Q \text{Mod-} \mathcal{E}(G)$$

$$\simeq_Q \text{Mod-}(H\mathbb{Q} \wedge c\mathcal{E}(G))$$

$$\simeq_Q d.g. \text{Mod-} \Theta(H\mathbb{Q} \wedge c\mathcal{E}(G)).$$

$\Theta(H\mathbb{Q} \wedge c\mathcal{E}(G))$  is a rational dga with

$$H_*\Theta(H\mathbb{Q} \wedge c\mathcal{E}(G)) \cong \pi_*c\mathcal{E}(G).$$