

## Exercises to „Algebraic geometry I“, 11

EXERCISE 1 (3 points). Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring which has a homogenous element of degree  $\neq 0$  which is a unit in  $R$ . Show that we have a bijection between  $\text{Spec}R_0$  and the set of homogenous prime ideals in  $R$ . sending  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}R_0$  to  $\mathfrak{q} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{p} \cdot R}$  and the homogenous prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq R$  to  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap R_0$ .

EXERCISE 2 (6 points). Let  $R$  be an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded ring. Let  $\text{Proj}(R)$  denote the set of homogenous prime ideals of  $R$  not containing  $R_+$ . For a homogenous ideal  $I \subseteq R$ , let  $V(I)$  denote the set of all elements of  $\text{Proj}(R)$  containing  $I$ . For a homogenous element  $f$  of  $R$ , let  $V(f) = V(fR)$ .

- Show that there is a topology (called the Zariski topology) on  $\text{Proj}(R)$  whose closed subsets are precisely the sets  $V(I)$ , for homogenous prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$ .
- For  $f \in R_d$  with positive  $d$ , construct a homeomorphism between  $\text{Proj}(R) \setminus V(f)$  and  $\text{Spec}((R_f)_0)$ .
- Show that the open subsets of the form  $\text{Proj}(R) \setminus V(f)$ , with  $f$  as in the previous point, form a topology base of  $\text{Proj}(R)$  and that  $V(f) \supseteq V(g)$  if and only if some power of  $f$  is divisible by  $g$ .

REMARK 1.      • The fact that prime ideals containing  $R_+$  are excluded corresponds to the fact that in classical projective algebraic geometry we have  $V(\mathfrak{k}[X_0, \dots, X_n]_+) = \emptyset$ . It is easy to see that the prime ideals containing  $R_+$  are automatically homogenous and that they are in canonical bijection with  $\text{Spec}(R_0)$ .

• Note that the fact that  $f$  has positive degree is essential for the last claim, as (e. g.)  $\text{Proj}(R)$  is empty when  $R_+ = 0$ , such that  $V(g)$  may be empty for non-units  $g$ . However,  $g$  is allowed to be of degree 0.

It follows from the last point that the localization  $(M.)_f$  of a graded  $R$ -module  $M$ . up to canonical isomorphism only depends on  $f$ . Let  $\widetilde{M}$  be the sheafification of the presheaf

$$(\text{Proj}(R) \setminus V(f)) \Rightarrow ((M.)_f)_0$$

on the topology base of the last point of the previous exercise. In the case where  $M. = R$ . this has the structure of a sheaf of rings, as  $((R.)_f)_0$  is a ring. We denote this sheaf of rings by  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}R}$ .

EXERCISE 3 (6 points).      • Show that under the homeomorphism of the second point, the restriction of  $\widetilde{M}$ . to  $\text{Proj}(R) \setminus$

$V(f)$  is isomorphic to the presheaf  $(\widetilde{(M.)}_f)_0$  on  $\text{Spec}((R.)_f)_0$ , where in the case  $M. = R.$  this isomorphism is an isomorphism of sheaves of rings. It follows that  $\text{Proj}(R.)$  is a prescheme and  $\widetilde{M.}$  a quasi-coherent sheaf of modules on it.

- Show that  $\text{Proj}(R.)$  is a scheme.
- Decide whether  $\text{Proj}(R.)$  is always quasi-compact.

REMARK 2. • One easily derives that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}R.})_{\mathfrak{p}} &\cong ((R.)_{\mathfrak{p}})_0 \\ (\widetilde{M.})_{\mathfrak{p}} &\cong ((M.)_f)_0 \end{aligned}$$

where by convention in the graded case the localization  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  at a homogeneous prime ideal inverts the *homogeneous* elements of  $R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ .

- In the case  $M. = R.[d]$ ,  $\widetilde{M.}$  is the sheafification of

$$(\text{Proj}(R.) \setminus V(f)) \Rightarrow ((R.)_f)_d$$

and is denoted  $\mathcal{O}(d)$ . This is a line bundle when  $R_+$  is generated by  $R_1$ , since in this case the open subsets  $\text{Proj}(R.) \setminus V(f)$  with  $f \in R_1$ , on which  $f^d$  is a free generator, cover  $\text{Proj}(R.)$ . However, they are not line bundles in general. The assumption of  $R_+$  being generated by  $R_1$  is typically but not always satisfied. For instance, it is perfectly reasonable (and sometimes useful) to study weighted projective spaces  $\text{Proj}(\mathbb{k}[X_0, \dots, X_n])$  where the  $X_i$  have differing weights.

EXERCISE 4 (5 points). Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_T & \longrightarrow & T \\ \xi \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ X & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

be a Cartesian square of preschemes. For the sheaves of Kähler differentials and for the pull-back functors of quasicoherent sheaves of modules construct on the previous exercise sheet, construct an isomorphism  $\xi^* \Omega_{X/S} \cong \Omega_{X_T/T}$ .

Solutions should be submitted Friday, January 19 in the lecture.