

# APPLICATIONS OF TEICHMÜLLER THEORY TO HYPERBOLIC 3-MANIFOLDS

URSULA HAMENSTÄDT

## LECTURE 1: TEICHMÜLLER GEODESICS AND THE CURVE COMPLEX

**1. Introduction.** In these lectures,  $S$  always denotes a *closed* oriented surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ .

We begin with collecting some differential-geometric facts about such surfaces.

Fact 1  $S$  admits a hyperbolic metric, i.e. a Riemannian metric of constant curvature  $-1$ .

Fact 2 There is a constant  $\chi_0 = \chi_0(S)$  such that for every hyperbolic metric  $g$  on  $S$  there is a *simple closed*  $g$ -geodesic of length at most  $\chi_0$ .

**Definition 1.** The *mapping class group*  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  of  $S$  is the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of  $S$ .

**A basic example** of a closed 3-manifold:

The *mapping torus*  $M$  of  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(S)$  is defined by

$$M = S \times [0, 1] / \sim \text{ where } (x, 1) \sim (\varphi(x), 0).$$

This mapping torus has the following properties.

- (1)  $M$  is a  $K(\pi, 1)$ -space.
- (2) There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

i.e.  $\pi_1(M)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -extension of  $\pi_1(S)$ .

In particular:  $\pi_1(M)$  admits  $\pi_1(S)$  as a normal subgroup, and the natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cover of  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $S \times \mathbb{R}$ .

**Basic question:** How are

- the properties of  $\varphi$
  - the "geometry" of  $M$  (for a suitable choice of a metric)
  - the topology of  $M$
- related?

---

*Date:* June 1, 2007.

**Basic idea:** Try to use an “easy to understand” combinatorial model for the geometry of  $M$  and relate this model to the topology of  $M$ .

**Definition 2.** An  $L$ -quasi-isometric embedding of a metric space  $(X, d)$  into a metric space  $(Y, d)$  is a map  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$d(x, y)/L - L \leq d(Fx, Fy) \leq Ld(x, y) + L$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .  $F$  is an  $L$ -quasi-isometry if moreover for every  $y \in Y$  there is some  $x \in X$  with  $d(F(x), y) \leq L$ .

There is a fundamental geometric relation between the structure of a finitely presented group and the structure of a space on which this group can act cocompactly. To explain this fact we recall some basic properties of a finitely generated group.

Namely, let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group with finite *symmetric* generating set  $\mathcal{G}$ . The *word norm*  $|g|$  of  $g \in \Gamma$  is the minimum of a word in the generators representing  $g$ . Then

$$d(g, h) = |g^{-1}h|$$

defines a  $\Gamma$ -invariant metric on  $\Gamma$ , i.e. we have  $d(ug, uh) = d(g, h) \forall u, g, h$ .

Any two such metrics are quasi-isometric: If  $|\cdot|'$  is another word norm then there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $|g|/c \leq |g|' \leq c|g| \forall g$ .

**Proposition 1.** (*Svarc-Milnor*): *Let  $M$  be a closed 3-manifold with universal covering  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  of  $M$ . If  $g$  is any Riemannian metric on  $M$  then there is a  $\pi_1(M)$ -equivariant quasi-isometry  $F : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* The fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  of  $M$  acts on  $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^3$  freely, properly discontinuously and cocompactly.

Fix a point  $x \in \tilde{M}$  and define  $F : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  by  $F(g) = gx$ . Then  $F$  is equivariant with respect to the action of  $\pi_1(M)$  on  $\Gamma$  and on  $\tilde{M}$ . We claim that  $F$  is a quasi-isometry with respect to the word norm on  $\pi_1(M)$  defined by a finite symmetric set  $\mathcal{G}$  of generators of  $\pi_1(M)$  and the distance defined by any Riemannian metric on  $M$ .

For this observe that there is a constant  $L > 0$  such that  $d(gx, x) \leq L \forall g \in \mathcal{G}$ . If  $h = g_1 \dots g_k$  is any word in  $\mathcal{G}$  of length  $k$  then

$$d(hx, x) \leq d(hx, g_2 \dots g_k x) + d(g_2 \dots g_k x, x) \leq kL$$

by an inductive use of the triangle inequality which shows that  $F$  is coarsely Lipschitz.

The fact that distances in  $\tilde{M}$  on the orbit  $\pi_1(M)x$  are controlled by distances on  $\pi_1(M)$  is a little bit more difficult and will not be explained here.  $\square$

As a consequence of our argument, the lifts to  $\tilde{M}$  of any two metrics on  $M$  are quasi-isometric.

**Basic example:** Let  $M$  be the mapping torus of  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ . Choose a hyperbolic metric on  $S$  and a metric on  $M$  so that  $S \rightarrow S \times \{0\} / \sim$  is an isometric embedding. Let  $\hat{M}$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cover of  $M$ .

Let  $c$  be a simple closed geodesic on  $S$  of length at most  $\chi_0$ . Then for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the minimal length of a closed curve in  $\hat{M}$  representing  $\varphi^i(c)$  is *uniformly bounded, independent of  $i$* .

**Question:** Can we recover from the "collection of shorts curves" in  $\hat{M}$  the mapping class  $\varphi$  and hence the topology of  $M$ ?

## 2. The complex of curves.

**Definition 3.** The *complex of curves* is the simplicial complex whose *vertex set*  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  is the set of all nontrivial free homotopy classes of simple closed curves on  $S$ . A collection  $c_1, \dots, c_k \subset \mathcal{C}(S)$  spans a simplex if and only if  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  can be realized disjointly. The *curve graph*  $\mathcal{CG}(S)$  is the one-skeleton of the curve complex.

### Facts:

- (1) The complex of curves is connected and of dimension  $3g - 4$ .
- (2) A simplex of maximal dimension is a *pants decomposition* of  $S$ : After cutting  $S$  open along the curves of the simplex we obtain  $2g - 2$  pairs of pants.

The curve graph is a naturally a *locally infinite metric graph*.

The following picture shows a pants decomposition for a surface of genus 2.

**Fact:** If  $c, d \in \mathcal{C}(S)$  and if  $d(c, d) \geq 3$  then  $c, d$  fill up  $S$ , i.e.  $c, d$  decompose  $S$  into topological discs.

**Definition 4.** The *intersection number*  $i(c, d)$  between two simple closed curves  $c, d$  is the minimal number of intersections between two curves freely homotopic to  $c, d$ .

**Proposition 2.** *There is a number  $\kappa = \kappa(S)$  such that  $d(c, d) \leq \kappa \log i(c, d) + \kappa$  for all  $c, d \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c, d$  be simple closed curves on  $S$  with minimal intersection number in their free homotopy classes.

Then  $d$  intersects  $S - c$  in simple arcs with both endpoints on  $c$ . Thus there are at most  $m$  homotopy classes rel  $c$  of such arcs.

Let  $\delta$  be an arc whose class contains the maximal number of components and let

$$b = \text{a component of } \partial(N(c \cup \delta)).$$

Then  $i(c, b) = 0 \Rightarrow d(c, b) = 1$  and  $i(b, d) \leq (m - 1)i(c, d)/m$ .  $\square$

Fix a hyperbolic metric on  $S$ .

**Definition 5.** A *geodesic lamination* on  $S$  is a *closed* subset of  $S$  foliated into simple geodesics.

**Recall:** The *Hausdorff-distance*  $d_H(A, B)$  of compact subsets  $A, B$  of  $S$  is defined by

$$d_H(A, B) = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 \mid A \subset U_\epsilon(B), B \subset U_\epsilon(A)\}.$$

The space of compact subsets of  $S$  equipped with the Hausdorff distance is compact.

**Facts:**

- (1) The space  $\mathcal{L}(S)$  of geodesic laminations with the Hausdorff topology is compact.
- (2) For every geodesic lamination  $\lambda$ ,  $S - \lambda$  is a hyperbolic surface with geodesic boundary and area  $4\pi(g - 1)$ .

**Definition 6.** A geodesic lamination  $\lambda$  is *maximal* if  $S - \lambda$  is a union of ideal triangles. It is *complete* if in addition  $\lambda$  can be approximated in the Hausdorff topology by simple closed geodesics. It is *minimal* if every half-leaf is dense.

**Proposition 3.** *The diameter of  $\mathcal{CG}(S)$  is infinite.*

*Proof.* (Luo) By contradiction: *Assume that  $\text{diam}(\mathcal{CG}(S)) = D < \infty$ .*

Let  $\mu$  be a complete minimal geodesic lamination. After passing to a subsequence we may assume that  $c_i \rightarrow \mu$  ( $i \rightarrow \infty$ ) in the Hausdorff topology.

Since  $d(c_0, c_i) \leq D$  we may assume that  $d(c_0, c_i) = N\forall i$ .

*Choose* some simple closed curves  $b_i$  ( $i > 0$ ) such that  $d(c_0, b_i) = N - 1$ ,  $d(b_i, c_i) = 1\forall i$ . After passing to a subsequence we may assume that  $b_i \rightarrow \mu'$  in the Hausdorff topology. But  $\mu = \mu'$  *because*  $i(b_i, c_i) = 0$  means:  $b_i \cup c_i$  is as geodesic lamination and hence it converges up to passing to a subsequence to a geodesic lamination.

Repeat with  $(b_i)$ . After  $N$  steps we conclude that  $c_0 \rightarrow \mu$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**3. Pseudo-Anosov mapping classes.** The mapping class group acts on  $\mathcal{CG}(S)$  as a group of simplicial isometries.

**Definition 7.** A mapping class  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(S)$  is *pseudo-Anosov* if the orbit of  $\langle \varphi \rangle \subset \mathcal{M}(S)$  on  $\mathcal{CG}(S)$  is *unbounded*.

A mapping class  $\varphi$  is *periodic* if  $|\langle \varphi \rangle| < \infty$ . A mapping class which is neither periodic nor pseudo-Anosov is *reducible*.

**Our basic example:**

- (1)  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(S)$  periodic  $\Leftrightarrow$  the mapping torus  $M = \text{Maptorus}(\varphi)$  of  $\varphi$  has a *finite* covering diffeomorphic to  $S \times S^1$   
 $\Rightarrow$  for every smooth metric on  $M$  and every  $L > 0$  the number of elements in  $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(S)$  which can be realized by a curve of length at most  $L$  in  $M$  is *finite*.  
 Also: By the *Nielsen realization problem*,  $\varphi$  can be realized as an biholomorphic map of a hyperbolic surface  
 $\Rightarrow S \rightarrow S/\langle \varphi \rangle$  is a branched covering  $\Rightarrow M$  is foliated into smooth circles  $\Leftrightarrow M$  is a *Seifert fibered space*.
- (2)  $\varphi$  is pseudo-Anosov  $\Rightarrow$  for  $M = \text{Maptorus}(\varphi)$  and every smooth metric on  $M$  there is some  $L > 0$  such that the number of elements of  $\pi_1(M)$  which can be realized by a curve of length at most  $L$  is *infinite*.

**Afternoon discussion:**

Construction of a minimal and complete geodesic lamination on a closed surface  $S$ .

LECTURE 2: SINGULAR EUCLIDEAN METRICS

As before,  $S$  denotes a *closed* oriented surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ .  
 Recall: Two simple closed curves  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}(S)$  with  $d(\alpha, \beta) \geq 3$  fill up  $S$ .

Define a *singular euclidean metric* using the simple closed curves  $\alpha, \beta$  and numbers  $a, b > 0$  with  $abi(\alpha, \beta) = 1$  as follows. Choose representatives of  $\alpha, \beta$  with the minimal number of intersection points. Place each intersection point in the interior of an euclidean rectangle with sides  $a, b$  such that the sides of length  $b$  are parallel to  $\alpha$  and the sides of length  $a$  are parallel to  $\beta$ . These rectangles can be arranged in such a way that the fill any two of them meet at most along a side or a vertex and that they cover  $S$ . The area of the resulting singular euclidean metric on  $S$  equals one.

The *width* of an *annulus* in a surface with singular euclidean metric is the distance between its boundary curves.

**Proposition 4.** *There is  $w > 0$ : Every area one singular euclidean metric on  $S$  has an annulus of width  $\geq w$ .*

*Proof.* (Bowditch) Use *isoperimetric inequality*:

In the euclidean plane,

$$\text{length}(\gamma) \geq 2\sqrt{\pi \text{area}(\text{disc enclosed by } \gamma)}.$$

In a piecewise euclidean metric with one  $p$ -pronged singularity:  
if  $\gamma$  is a Jordan curve enclosing the singularity then divide the enclosed disc along boundaries of sectors

$\Rightarrow$  use euclidean isoperimetric inequality on sectors to deduce:

There exists a distance decreasing function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\text{area}(D) \leq f(\text{length}(\partial D))$$

for every disc  $D$  in the singular euclidean surface.

A *spine* of  $S$  is a *embedded graph*  $G$  s.th.  $S - G =$  union of discs.

*Consequence:* The length of a spine is uniformly bounded from below.

Let  $c$  be a shortest simple closed geodesic on  $S$  (of length  $\eta_0$ ).

**Fact:** If  $\eta_0$  is large then  $c$  is the core curve of a cylinder of width  $\geq \chi$  where  $\chi > 0$  is a universal constant.

**Namely:** Let

$$\epsilon_0 = \sup\{\epsilon \mid N_\epsilon(c) = \text{annulus}\}.$$

Then there is a geodesic arc of length  $2\epsilon_0$  with both endpoints on  $c$

$\Rightarrow$  if  $\epsilon_0 < \eta_0/4$  then  $c$  is not shortest.

**Second case:**

Assume  $\eta_0$  is small.

Choose a universal number  $\eta_1 > 0$  (depending on  $S$ ).

Choose a maximal collection of simple arcs, of length  $\leq 2\eta_1$ , with endpoints on  $c$

$\Rightarrow$  the *number* of these arcs is bounded by topology

$\Rightarrow$  their *total length* uniformly bounded

$\Rightarrow$  the collection can not be a spine of  $S - N_{\eta_1}(c)$

$\Rightarrow$  there exists a nontrivial component of  $S - N_{\eta_1}(c)$

$\Rightarrow$  there exists a nontrivial simple closed curve  $d$  in  $S - N_{\eta_1}(c)$ .

Choose a distance decreasing function  $f : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $f(c) = 0, f(d) = \eta_1$ .

Then for no subinterval  $[a, b]$  of  $[0, \eta_0]$  the set  $f^{-1}[a, b]$  is a disc

$\Rightarrow$  we can find an annulus with large width in preimages of disjoint intervals.  $\square$

**Conclusion:**  $\forall \alpha, \beta, \forall a, b > 0$  with  $abi(\alpha, \beta) = 1$  there is  $\delta \in \mathcal{C}(S)$  with

$$i(\rho, \delta) \leq \text{const}(ai(\rho, \alpha) + bi(\rho, \beta)) \forall \rho.$$

Also: The *length* of  $\delta$  with respect to the singular euclidean metric  $q$  defined by  $c, d$  is uniformly bounded.

**Corollary 5.** (1) *The diameter in  $\mathcal{CG}(S)$  of the set of short  $q$ -curves is uniformly bounded.*  
 (2) *The distance in  $\mathcal{CG}(S)$  of a short  $q$ -curve and a short curve for the hyperbolic metric defined by  $q$  is uniformly bounded.*

The above now allows us to *find* a path  $c_0, \dots, c_k \subset \mathcal{C}(S)$  with

- (1)  $c_0 = \alpha, c_k = \beta$ .
- (2)  $d(c_i, c_{i+1}) \leq k$ .
- (3)  $i(\alpha, c_i)i(c_i, \beta) \leq ki(\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Construction:** For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  consider the euclidean metric  $q_t$  determined by the pair  $(e^t c, e^{-t} d / i(c, d))$ . Then:

- (1)  $t$  very large  $\Rightarrow c$  is  $q_t$ -short.
- (2)  $t$  very small  $\Rightarrow d$  is  $q_t$ -short.

Define  $\eta_{c,d}(t) =$  a short curve for  $q_t$ .

Then: This definition is unique up to a uniformly bounded error.

These curve vary "coarsely continuously" with  $t$ .

**Corollary 6.** *There is  $k > 0$  and for  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{C}(S)$  there is  $\delta \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ :*

$$\delta \in U_k(\eta_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{R})) \cap U_k(\eta_{\beta,\gamma}(\mathbb{R})) \cap U_k(\eta_{\gamma,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})).$$

*Proof.* Let  $a, b, c$  s.th.

$$abi(\alpha, \beta) = bci(\beta, \gamma) = cai(\gamma, \alpha) = 1.$$

Let  $\delta \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ ,

$$i(\delta, \rho) \leq \text{const} \max\{ai(\alpha, \rho), bi(\beta, \rho)\} \forall \rho.$$

Then  $i(\delta, \gamma) \leq \text{const}/c$  shows:

$$\max\{ci(\gamma, \delta), ai(\alpha, \delta)\} \leq \text{const}$$

$\Rightarrow \delta$  is short for the metric defined by  $a\alpha, c\gamma$  and similarly for the metric defined by  $b\beta, c\gamma$ .  $\square$

**Very important fact:** If we replace  $c$  by a multi-curve containing  $c$  as a component then we find *nearby short curves*.

**Homework:** Prove this.

This implies:

**Proposition 7.** *There is a number  $C > 0$  and for any two points  $c, d \in \mathcal{C}(S)$  there is a path  $\eta_{c,d} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{CG}(S)$  with the following properties.*

- (1) *If  $d(c, d) = 1$  then  $\text{diam}(\eta[0, 1]) \leq C$ .*
- (2) *If  $c, d \in \mathcal{C}(S)$  and if  $s < t \in [0, 1]$  then for the Hausdorff-distance*

$$d_H(\eta_{c,d}[s, t], \eta_{\eta_{c,d}(s), \eta_{c,d}(t)}[0, 1]) \leq C.$$
- (3) *For  $a, b, c, \eta_{[a,b]}[0, 1] \subset N_C(\eta_{[b,c]}[0, 1]) \cup \eta_{[c,a]}[0, 1]$ .*

### 0.1. Hyperbolicity of the curve graph.

**Definition 8.** A geodesic metric space  $X$  is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic if  $\forall$  triangles with geodesic sides  $a, b, c$  we have  $c \subset N_\delta(a \cup b)$ .

Basic property: In a hyperbolic geodesic metric space, an  $L$ -quasi-geodesic is contained in a uniformly bounded neighborhood of a geodesic.

**Theorem 1.** (*Masur-Minsky*) *The curve graph is hyperbolic.*

## LECTURE 3: TEICHMÜLLER GEODESICS

**Definition 9.** A *measured geodesic lamination* on  $S$  is a geodesic lamination together with a *transverse translation invariant measure*. A *projective measured geodesic lamination* is the projectivization of the space of measured geodesic laminations.

**Example:** A *weighted simple multi-curve* is a geodesic multicurve whose components carry a positive weight.

**Theorem 2.** (*Thurston*)

- (1) *The space  $\mathcal{PML}$  of projective measured geodesic laminations equipped with the weak\*-topology is homeomorphic to  $S^{6g-7}$ .*
- (2) *The intersection form  $i$  extends continuously to a symmetric function on the space  $\mathcal{ML}$  of measured geodesic laminations.*
- (3) *If  $\eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{ML}$  fill up  $S$ , i.e. if  $i(c, \eta) + i(c, \zeta) > 0$  for all simple closed curves  $c$  then  $(\eta, \zeta)$  defines a complex structure  $R(\eta, \zeta)$  on  $S$  and a singular euclidean metric.*
- (4) *If moreover  $i(\eta, \zeta) = 1$  then  $t \mapsto R(e^t \eta, e^{-t} \zeta)$  is a Teichmüller geodesic.*

- (5) (Teichmüller) Any two points in the Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}(S)$  can be connected by a unique Teichmüller geodesic segment depending smoothly on the point.
- (6) Teichmüller space can naturally and  $\mathcal{M}(S)$ -equivariantly be compactified by adding  $\mathcal{PML}$ .

**Homework:** Recall that a mapping class is *periodic* if the subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  it generates is finite, and it is *pseudo-Anosov* if the subgroup it generates acts on  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  with unbounded orbits.

Show: A reducible mapping class preserves a multi-curve.

LECTURE 4: COBOUNDED TEICHMÜLLER GEODESICS AND THE CURVE GRAPH

As before,  $S$  denotes a *closed* oriented surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  with set  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  of free homotopy classes of simple closed curves defining the vertex set of the curve graph  $\mathcal{CG}(S)$ .

A pair  $(\lambda, \mu)$  of measured geodesic laminations with

$$i(\lambda, \mu) = 1, i(\lambda, c) + i(\mu, c) > 0 \forall c \in \mathcal{C}(S)$$

defines an *area one singular euclidean metric* and a *Teichmüller geodesic*

$$t \rightarrow \text{the complex structure defined by } (e^t \lambda, e^{-t} \mu)$$

in Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ .

**Definition 10.** A sequence of simple closed geodesics  $c_i \subset \mathcal{C}(S)$  converges in the coarse Hausdorff topology to a minimal geodesic lamination  $\lambda$  which fills up  $S$  if every accumulation point of  $(c_i)$  in the Hausdorff topology contains  $\lambda$  as a subset.

**Theorem 3.** (Klarreich) If  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$  is a quasi-geodesic then  $(\gamma(i))$  converges in the coarse Hausdorff topology to a minimal geodesic lamination which fills up  $S$ .

The  $\epsilon$ -thick part  $\mathcal{T}(S)_\epsilon$  of Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}(S)$  is the subset of all metrics whose *systole* (length of shortest closed geodesic) is at least  $\epsilon$ .

**Recall:** There is a map  $\Phi : \mathcal{T}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{CG}(S)$  defined as follows.  $\forall x, \Phi(x)$  is a simple closed  $x$ -geodesic of length at most  $\chi_0$  ( $\chi_0 > 0$  is a Bers constant).

The map  $\Phi$  is

- *coarsely Lipschitz continuous:* Exists  $L$  s.th.

$$d(\Phi x, \Phi y) \leq Ld(x, y) + L\forall x, y$$

(here  $d$  is the Teichmüller distance on  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ .)

- *coarsely  $\mathcal{M}(S)$ -equivariant*: Exists  $C$  s.th.

$$d(g\Phi x, \Phi gx) \leq C \forall x \in \mathcal{T}(S), \forall g \in \mathcal{M}(S).$$

**Definition 11.** For  $\epsilon > 0$ , a *quasi-convex curve* in  $\mathcal{T}(S)_\epsilon$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{T}(S)$  whose *Hausdorff distance* to the image of a geodesic arc  $\zeta : J \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)_\epsilon$  is at most  $1/\epsilon$ .

**Theorem 4.** For every  $\nu > 1$  there is a constant  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\nu) > 0$  with the following properties. Let  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a closed connected set of diameter at least  $1/\epsilon$ .

Let  $\gamma : J \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$  be a  $\nu$ -quasi-geodesic.

If  $\Phi \circ \gamma$  is a  $\nu$ -quasi-geodesic in  $\mathcal{GC}(S)$  then  $\gamma(J)$  is a quasi-convex curve in  $\mathcal{T}(S)_\epsilon$ .

**Lemma 8.** For every  $\nu > 1$  there is a number  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(\nu) > 0$  s.th.

Let  $\gamma : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$  be a  $\nu$ -quasi-geodesic whose projection  $\Phi\gamma$  to  $\mathcal{GC}(S)$  is a  $\nu$ -quasi-geodesic. If  $n \geq 1/\epsilon_0$  then  $\gamma[0, n] \subset \mathcal{T}(S)_{\epsilon_0}$ .

*Proof.* Wolpert:

$$d(h, h') \geq |\log \ell_h(\alpha) - \log \ell_{h'}(\alpha)| \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}(S), \forall h, h' \in \mathcal{T}(S).$$

□

### Proof of the theorem:

*Step 1:* For  $\nu > 1$ , define a  $\nu$ -Lipschitz curve in  $\mathcal{T}(S)$  to be a  $\nu$ -Lipschitz map  $\gamma : J \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$ .

It is enough to show the statement of the theorem for  $\nu$ -Lipschitz  $\nu$ -quasi-geodesics s.th.  $\Phi \circ \gamma$  is a  $\nu$ -quasi-geodesic in  $\mathcal{GC}(S)$ .

Also: By the above Lemma, assume that  $\gamma(J) \subset \mathcal{T}(S)_{\epsilon_0}$ .

*Step 2:*

Since  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  is hyperbolic and  $\Phi \circ \gamma$  is a  $\nu$ -quasi-geodesic there is a geodesic arc  $\zeta$  in  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  with

$$d_{\text{Hausdorff}}(\zeta, \Phi \circ \gamma) \leq C.$$

If  $J$  is one-sided infinite, say if  $[0, \infty) \subset J$  then  $\Phi(\gamma(t))$  converges in the coarse Hausdorff topology to a minimal geodesic lamination  $\chi$  which fills up  $S$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{ML}$  the space of measured geodesic laminations. The projective measured lamination  $[\alpha]$  defined by  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(S)$  is *realized* at some  $t \in J$  if  $\ell_{\gamma(t)}(\alpha) \leq \chi$ .

The projectivization  $[\lambda]$  of a measured geodesic lamination  $\lambda$  is realized at an infinite “endpoint” of  $J$  if the support of  $\lambda$  equals the coarse Hausdorff limit of the quasi-geodesic  $\Phi\gamma(J)$ .

Call a projective measured lamination which is realized at a (finite or infinite) endpoint of  $J$  an *endpoint lamination*.

Transport the distance on  $\mathcal{GC}(S)$  to a *continuous* distance function on  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ :

Choose a number  $R > 2\chi$  and a smooth function  $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\sigma[0, \chi] \equiv 1$  and  $\sigma[R, \infty) \equiv 0$ .

For every  $h \in \mathcal{T}(S)$  define a finite Borel measure  $\mu_h$  on  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  by

$$\mu_h = \sum_{\beta} \sigma(\ell_h(\beta)) \delta_{\beta}$$

where  $\delta_{\beta}$  denotes the Dirac mass at  $\beta$ .

Properties: There is  $C > 0$  such that the following holds true.

- (1)  $1/C \leq \mu_h(\mathcal{C}(S)) \leq C$ .
- (2)  $\text{diam}(\text{supp}(\mu_h)) \leq C$ .
- (3)  $\mu_h$  depends continuously on  $h$ .

Define a new “distance” function  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{T}(S)$  by

$$\rho(h, h') = \int_{\mathcal{C}(S) \times \mathcal{C}(S)} d(\cdot, \cdot) d\mu_h \times d\mu_{h'} / \mu_h(\mathcal{C}(S)) \mu_{h'}(\mathcal{C}(S)).$$

Properties:

- (1)  $\rho$  is positive and continuous on  $\mathcal{T}(S) \times \mathcal{T}(S)$  and invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{M}(S)$ .
- (2) There is  $a > 0$  s.th.

$$\rho(h, h')/a - a \leq d(\Phi(h), \Phi(h')) \leq a\rho(h, h') + a.$$

As a consequence, for every  $\nu > 1$  there is a number  $p = p(\nu) > 1$  with the following properties. If  $\gamma : J \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$  is such that  $\Phi\gamma$  is a  $\nu$ -quasi-geodesic then  $\gamma$  is a  $p$ -quasi-geodesic with respect to  $\rho$ :

$$\rho(\gamma(s), \gamma(t))/p - p \leq |s - t| \leq p\rho(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)) + p\forall s, t \in J.$$

For  $h \in \mathcal{T}(S), \mu \in \mathcal{ML}$  the product of the transverse measure for  $\mu$  together with the length element of  $h$  defines a measure on  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ . Its total mass is called the *h-length*  $\ell_h(\mu)$  of  $\mu$ .

For  $p > 1$  define  $\Gamma_p$  to be the set of all triples  $(\gamma : J \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S), \lambda_+, \lambda_-)$  s.th.

- (1)  $0 \in J$  and  $\text{diam}(J) \geq 1/\epsilon_0$ .
- (2)  $\gamma : J \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$  is a  $p$ -Lipschitz curve which is  $p$ -quasi-geodesic w.r.to  $\rho$ .
- (3)  $\lambda_+, \lambda_- \in \mathcal{ML}$  are of  $\gamma(0)$ -length 1, and the projectivizations  $[\lambda_+], [\lambda_-]$  are realized at the ends.

Equip  $\Gamma_p$  with the product topology of the weak\*-topology on  $\mathcal{ML}$  and the compact-open topology for the arc  $\gamma \subset \mathcal{T}(S)$ . This topology is metrizable.

**Claim:** The action of  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  on  $\Gamma_p$  is cocompact.

Proof of the claim: By equivariance and cocompactness of the action of  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  on  $\mathcal{T}(S)_\epsilon$ :

Enough to show the claim for the subset of  $\Gamma_p$  consisting of triples with the additional property that  $\gamma(0) \in A$ ,  $A \subset \mathcal{T}(S)$  compact.

For this: Use the Arzela-Ascoli theorem.

Each point  $(\gamma, \lambda_+, \lambda_-) \in \Gamma_p$  determines the geodesic  $\eta([\lambda_+], [\lambda_-])$  in  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ .

For  $(\gamma, \lambda_+, \lambda_-)$  define  $\sigma(\gamma, \lambda_+, \lambda_-)$  to be the point on the geodesic  $\eta([\lambda_+], [\lambda_-])$  which corresponds to the quadratic differential defined by the measured geodesic laminations  $\lambda_+, \lambda_-$ .

The map taking  $(\gamma, \lambda_+, \lambda_-)$  to  $(\gamma(0), \sigma(\gamma, \lambda_+, \lambda_-)) \in \mathcal{T}(S) \times \mathcal{T}(S)$  is continuous and equivariant with respect to the natural action of  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  on  $\Gamma_p$  and on  $\mathcal{T}(S) \times \mathcal{T}(S)$

$\Rightarrow$  the action of  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  on the image of our map is cocompact

$\Rightarrow d(\gamma(0), \sigma(\gamma, \lambda_+, \lambda_-)) \leq p > 0$ .

Let  $(\gamma, \lambda_+, \lambda_-) \in \Gamma_p$ . For each  $s \in J$  define

$$a_-(s) = \frac{1}{\ell_{\gamma(s)}(\lambda_-)}, \quad a_+(s) = \frac{1}{\ell_{\gamma(s)}(\lambda_+)}$$

where:  $\ell_{\gamma(s)}(\lambda_\pm)$  is the  $\gamma(s)$ -length of  $\lambda_\pm$ .

For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  define  $\gamma'(t) = \gamma(t + s)$

$\Rightarrow$  the triple  $(\gamma'(0), a_+(s)\lambda_+, a_-(s)\lambda_-)$  lies in the  $\mathcal{M}(S)$ -cocompact set  $\Gamma_p$

$\Rightarrow d(\gamma(s), \eta([\lambda_+], [\lambda_-])) \leq p$

$\Rightarrow \gamma$  is contained in the  $p$ -neighborhood of  $\eta([\lambda_+], [\lambda_-])$ . □

#### LECTURE 5: HYPERBOLIC 3-MANIFOLDS DIFFEOMORPHIC TO $S \times \mathbb{R}$

As before,  $S$  denotes a *closed* oriented surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  with set  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  of free homotopy classes of simple closed curves defining the vertex set of the curve graph  $\mathcal{CG}(S)$ .

Let  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$  be a discrete faithful representation s.th.

i)  $M = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$  is diffeomorphic to  $S \times \mathbb{R}$

ii) There is  $\epsilon > 0$ :  $\forall x \in M, \text{inj}(x) \geq \epsilon$ .

**Recall:** The *convex core*  $\mathbf{Core}(M)$  of  $M$  is the quotient under  $\Gamma$  of the *convex hull of the limit set*  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$ .

**3 Cases:**

- (1)  $\mathbf{Core}(M)$  is compact
  - $\Leftrightarrow \Gamma$  is *convex cocompact*
  - $\Leftrightarrow \Gamma$  is *quasifuchsian*
  - $\Leftrightarrow$  the limit set  $\Lambda$  is an embedded circle in  $S^2$
  - $\Leftrightarrow$  if  $\Omega = S^2 - \Lambda$  then  $\Omega/\Gamma$  consists of a pair of Riemann surfaces diffeomorphic to  $S$  which determine  $M$  up to isometry.
- (2)  $\partial\mathbf{Core}(M)$  is a connected surface diffeomorphic to  $S$ 
  - $\Leftrightarrow M$  has precisely one geometrically finite end
  - $\Leftrightarrow \Omega = S^2 - \Lambda$  is a disc,  $\Omega/\Gamma$  is a Riemann surface diffeomorphic to  $S$ .
- (3)  $\Lambda = S^2$ , i.e  $M$  is *completely degenerate*.

**Definition 12.** An end  $E$  is *simply degenerate* if it is contained in  $\mathbf{Core}(M)$ .

**Fact:** Every free homotopy class in  $S$  can be represented by a unique geodesic in  $M$ .

**Theorem 5. (Bonahon):** For every simply degenerate end  $E$  there is a sequence  $(c_i)$  of simple closed curves whose geodesic representatives in  $M$  exit the end.

**Idea:** Try to control the curves  $c_i$ .

**Definition 13.** A *pleated surface* in  $M$  is a map  $f : (S, x) \rightarrow M$  for some hyperbolic surface structure  $x \in \mathcal{T}(S)$  which is a homotopy equivalence and s.th.

- (1)  $f$  is a path-isometry.
- (2) There is a geodesic lamination  $\lambda$  on  $S$  such that  $f(\lambda)$  is geodesic in  $M$  and  $f|_{S - \lambda}$  is totally geodesic.

A lamination  $\lambda$  which arises as above from a pleated surface  $S$  is called *realized*.

**Proposition 9.** (1) Every simple multicurve on  $c$  is realized.  
 (2) If the injectivity radius of  $M$  is bounded from below then the diameter in  $M$  of a pleated surface is uniformly bounded.

**Important question:** For which  $x \in \mathcal{T}(S)$  there is a pleated surface  $f : (S, x) \rightarrow M$ ?

**Proposition 10.** (*Minsky*):  $\forall a > 0$  there exists a number  $b = b(a, S, \epsilon) > 0$  with the following property. If  $M$  is a hyperbolic 3-manifold which is homeomorphic to  $S \times \mathbb{R}$  and with  $\text{inj}(M) \geq \epsilon$ , if  $g : (S, \sigma) \rightarrow M, h : (S, \rho) \rightarrow M$  are pleated surfaces with  $d_M(g(S), h(S)) \leq a$  then  $d_{\mathcal{T}(S)}(\sigma, \rho) \leq b$ .

*Proof.* Choose a filling short curve systems  $X_g$  for  $g$ . Since  $\text{inj}(M) \geq \epsilon$ , the distance in  $M$  between  $\alpha$  and the geodesic representative of  $\alpha$  in  $M$  is bounded from above by a universal constant. Thus it is enough to show: If  $g : (S, \sigma) \rightarrow M$  is any pleated surface and if  $d(g(S), \alpha) \leq a$  for some closed geodesic  $\alpha$  in  $M$  of length  $\leq \kappa$  then  $\text{length}(\alpha \text{ on } g(S)) \leq b$ .

To show that this is indeed the case assume otherwise.

Then there is a sequence  $(M_i)$  of 3-manifolds homeomorphic to  $S \times \mathbb{R}$  with  $\text{inj}(M_i) \geq \epsilon$ , a sequence of geodesics  $\alpha_i$  on  $M_i$  of length  $\leq \kappa$  and a sequence of pleated surfaces  $g : (S_i, \sigma_i) \rightarrow M_i$  with  $d_{M_i}(g_i(S), \alpha_i) \leq a, \ell_{\sigma_i}(\alpha_i) \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thurston: After passing to a subsequence we may assume that the manifolds  $M_i$  converge *geometrically* to a hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M$  containing a closed geodesic  $\alpha$  of uniformly bounded length. After passing to another subsequence we may assume that the sequence of pleated surfaces  $g_i(S_i, \sigma_i) \rightarrow M_i$  as above has a convergent subsequence to a pleated surface  $g : (S, \sigma) \rightarrow M$ .

We then get lengthbound for  $\alpha_i$  on  $(S, \sigma_i)$  from the length of  $\alpha$  near the limiting pleated surface  $g$ .

Now let  $(c_i) \rightarrow M$  be a sequence of simple closed curves on  $S$  whose geodesic representatives in  $M$  exit the end  $E$ .

Choose  $i$  large and choose a geodesic  $\alpha_0 = c_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k = c_i$  in  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  connecting  $c_0$  to  $c_i$ .

For each  $j$ , the curves  $\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}$  are contained in a pleated surface  $(S, \sigma_j)$  with image set  $S_j \subset M$ .

Since  $S_j \cap S_{j+1} \neq \emptyset$  the distances  $d_{\mathcal{T}(S)}(\sigma_j, \sigma_{j+1})$  in Teichmüller space are bounded from above by  $b$ . Thus the assignment  $j \rightarrow \sigma_j$  is a *quasi-convex curve* in  $\mathcal{T}(S)$  and hence there is a Teichmüller geodesic arc  $\gamma_i$  in  $\mathcal{T}(S)_\epsilon$  containing  $(\sigma_j)$  in a uniformly bounded neighborhood.  $\square$

As a consequence,  $d_M(c_0, c_i) \leq \text{const} d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(c_0, c_i)$   
 $\Rightarrow d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(c_0, c_i) \rightarrow \infty$  ( $i \rightarrow \infty$ ).

By passing to a limit we can assume that the geodesics  $\gamma_i$  converge to Teichmüller geodesic ray  $\gamma : [0, \infty)$  "following pleated surfaces".

**Masur:** The horizontal measured geodesic lamination of  $\gamma$  is uniquely ergodic; its support is called the *ending lamination* of  $E$ .

By construction: Every point in  $E$  is contained in uniformly bounded neighborhood of the pleated surfaces defining  $\gamma$ .

**Conclusion:** The ending lamination is unique.

**Definition 14.** The *end-invariants* of  $M$  consist of:

- 1) If  $M$  is convex cocompact: The Riemann surface  $\Omega/\Gamma$ .
- 2) If  $M$  has one degenerated end  $E$ : The Riemann surface  $\Omega/\Gamma$  and the ending lamination of  $E$ .
- 3) If  $M$  is totally degenerate: Both ending laminations.

**Theorem 6.** (*Minsky 1994*) *Let  $M_1, M_2$  be two hyperbolic 3-manifolds which are diffeomorphic to  $S \times \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\text{inj}(M_i) \geq \epsilon$ . If the end-invariants of  $M_1, M_2$  coincide then  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are isometric.*

#### REFERENCES

- [B02] B. Bowditch, *Intersection numbers and the hyperbolicity of the curve complex*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 598 (2006), 105–129.
- [BH99] M. Bridson, A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Springer Grundlehren 319, Springer, Berlin 1999.
- [Bu92] P. Buser, *Geometry and spectra of compact Riemann surfaces*, Birkhäuser, Boston 1992.
- [FLP91] A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach, V. Poénaru, *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*, Astérisque 1991.
- [H06] U. Hamenstädt, *Train tracks and the Gromov boundary of the complex of curves*, in “Spaces of Kleinian groups”, (Y. Minsky, M. Sakuma and C. Series, eds.) London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 329, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2006, 187–207.
- [H07] U. Hamenstädt, *Geometry of the complex of curves and of Teichmüller space*, in “Handbook of Teichmüller theory”, (A. Papadopolous, ed.), European Math. Soc. 2007, 447–467.
- [K99] E. Klarreich, *The boundary at infinity of the curve complex and the relative Teichmüller space*, preprint 1999.
- [Ma82] H. Masur, *Interval exchange transformations and measured foliations*, Ann. Math. 115 (1982), 169–200.
- [MM99] H. Masur, Y. Minsky, *Geometry of the complex of curves I: Hyperbolicity*, Invent. Math. 138 (1999), 103–149.
- [M93] Y. Minsky, *Teichmüller geodesics and ends of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Topology 32 (1993), 625–647.
- [M94] Y. Minsky, *On rigidity, limit sets, and end invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. .7 (1994), 539–588.