

The geometry of branched covers of hyperbolic manifolds

Ursula Hamenstädt

Abstract We discuss geometric properties of covers of closed hyperbolic manifolds of dimension $n \geq 3$, branched along a totally geodesic codimension two submanifold Σ . We survey what is known about the existence of Einstein metrics on such manifolds. In dimension $n \geq 4$, we show that for suitable branch loci Σ , at most one of these branched coverings admits a hyperbolic metric.

1 Introduction

As a consequence of the solution to the geometrization conjecture by Perelman, any closed manifold of dimension three which admits a negatively curved metric also admits a hyperbolic metric, that is, a metric of constant curvature -1 . The existence of hyperbolic metrics on closed surfaces of negative Euler characteristic is a classical consequence of the uniformization theorem. An analogous property is not true any more for closed negatively curved manifolds of dimension at least four.

Gromov and Thurston [GT87] considered cyclic coverings of arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds of simplest type and dimension $n \geq 4$, branched along a null-homologous totally geodesic submanifold of codimension two. Such manifolds will be called *Gromov Thurston manifolds* in the sequel. They showed that suitable choices of such manifolds admit metrics whose sectional curvature is contained in the interval $[-1-\epsilon, -1]$ where $\epsilon > 0$ can be arbitrarily prescribed, but which do not admit a hyperbolic metric. The proof of non-existence of a hyperbolic metric on such manifolds is however indirect, that is, it is shown that

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany,
e-mail: ursula@math.uni-bonn.de

AMS subject classification: 53C25, 53C24, 22E40

Partially supported by the DFG Schwerpunktprogramm "Geometry at infinity"

among an infinite collection of candidate manifolds with pinched curvature, at most finitely many admit hyperbolic metrics.

This leads to the question of the existence of distinguished metrics on Gromov Thurston manifolds. A class of metrics which generalizes constant curvature metrics on 3-manifolds are *Einstein metrics* h , characterized by the property that their Ricci curvature Ric_h satisfies $\text{Ric}_h = ch$ for a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Part of the following conjecture was phrased as a question in [GT87].

Conjecture. A nontrivial covering of a closed hyperbolic manifold M of dimension $n \geq 4$, branched along a closed totally geodesic submanifold of codimension two, admits a unique Einstein metric up to scale, and it does not admit a metric of constant curvature.

Progress towards this conjecture is due to Fine and Premoselli [FP20] who constructed negatively curved Einstein metrics of non-constant curvature on some four-dimensional Gromov Thurston manifolds. That in dimension four negatively curved Einstein metrics on closed manifolds are unique up to scaling follows from the work of Besson, Courtois and Gallot (see [And10] for an explicit statement). Thus the above conjecture holds for some four-dimensional Gromov Thurston manifolds. The results in [FP20] were extended in [HJ24a] as follows.

Theorem 1 (Hamenstädt-Jäckel [HJ24a]) *For any $n \geq 4$, there are Gromov Thurston manifolds of dimension n which admit a negatively curved Einstein metric but no hyperbolic metric.*

The article [GH25] contains an analogous result in the Kähler case.

The fact that the examples of [HJ24a] do not admit a hyperbolic metric uses some additional property of the branched covers considered. Namely, the branch locus Σ of the base hyperbolic manifold, which is a closed totally geodesic submanifold of codimension two, is contained in a closed totally geodesic submanifold H of codimension one, and it is homologous to zero in H . The manifold H in turn is contained in the fixed point set of an isometric involution of M . We call such a submanifold Σ of a closed hyperbolic manifold M *special* in the sequel. In [HJ24a], it was shown that among the covers of degree a multiple of four, branched along a special submanifold, at most one can admit a hyperbolic metric.

The following result collects some facts which are known to the experts but for which we could not find a reference in the literature, and it improves this statement using the arguments in [HJ24a].

Theorem 2 *Let M be an n -dimensional closed hyperbolic manifold and let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a closed totally geodesic embedded oriented submanifold of codimension two.*

1. *For a number $d \geq 2$ there exists a cyclic covering M_d of M of degree d , branched along Σ , if and only if the class of Σ in $H_{n-2}(M, \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes.*
2. *If $n = 3$ then M_d admits a hyperbolic metric, and the non-compact manifold $M \setminus \Sigma$ admits a complete hyperbolic metric of finite volume.*

3. If $n \geq 4$ and if Σ is special, then M_d admits a hyperbolic metric for at most one degree d .

The organization of this article is as follows. Section 2 contains the proof of the first part of Theorem 2. In Section 3 we give a short introduction to hyperbolic cone metrics and their relation to Theorem 2. This is used in Section 4 to study branched covers of hyperbolic 3-manifolds and review some results from [HJ22]. The proof of Theorem 2 is contained in Section 5.

Acknowledgement: This article summarizes and slightly extends results obtained within the framework of the Schwerpunktprogramm *Geometry at infinity*.

2 Branched covers

In this section we consider a smooth closed oriented manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$ and a smooth closed oriented embedded submanifold $\Sigma \subset M$ of codimension two.

Definition 2.1. A cyclic d -fold covering of M branched along Σ is a manifold M_d which admits a degree d map $\Pi : M_d \rightarrow M$ with the following properties.

1. The restriction of Π to $\Pi^{-1}(M \setminus \Sigma)$ is a degree d regular covering onto $M \setminus \Sigma$, with deck group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$.
2. $\Pi|_{\Pi^{-1}(\Sigma)}$ is a homeomorphism.

We refer to [F57] for more information on more general branched coverings of simplicial complexes.

Let $\nu \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the normal bundle of Σ , which is an oriented two-dimensional vector bundle over Σ . The orientation of ν can be used to equip ν with the structure of a complex line bundle. The complex line bundle $\nu \rightarrow \Sigma$ is defined by a classifying map $\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty$, so that ν is the pull-back of the tautological bundle $\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ under this map. The Euler class $e(\nu)$ of ν then is the pull-back of the generator $e(\tau)$ of $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z})$ under the classifying map.

Since the odd dimensional homology of $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ vanishes, the universal coefficient theorem shows that any class $a \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z})$ can be identified with a homomorphism $H_2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. For every $d \geq 2$, the mod d reduction $e(\tau)_d$ of $e(\tau)$ is the class in $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ which arises from composing the homomorphism defining $e(\tau)$ with the quotient map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. The mod d reduction of the Euler class of ν then is the pull-back $e(\nu)_d \in H^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ of $e(\tau)_d$ by the classifying map.

For every integer $d \geq 2$, the mod d fundamental class of Σ is the generator of the group $H_{n-2}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ determined by the choice of an orientation. Let $[\Sigma]_d$ be its image under the map $H_{n-2}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ induced by the inclusion $\Sigma \rightarrow M$.

A cyclic cover of M branched along Σ restricts to a cyclic branched cover $\Pi|_{\Pi^{-1}(U)} : \Pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ of a tubular neighborhood U of Σ in M , and U can be chosen

to be diffeomorphic to the total space of the normal bundle ν . With this identification and up to homotopy, this cyclic cover restricts to a cyclic unbranched cover of the complement of zero in each fiber of ν .

Lemma 2.2. *There is a d -fold cyclic cover of U branched along Σ if and only if the mod d reduction of the Euler class $e(\nu)_d \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes.*

Proof. Let $\nu_0 \subset \nu$ be the complement of the zero section of ν . Let R be a ring with unit and consider the exact cohomology sequence of the pair (ν, ν_0) given by

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(\nu_0; R) \rightarrow H^2(\nu, \nu_0; R) \rightarrow H^2(\nu; R) \rightarrow H^2(\nu_0; R) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (1)$$

For $x \in \Sigma$ let ν_x be the oriented fiber of ν over x . The *Thom class* $\tau(\nu)$ of ν is a distinguished element of $H^2(\nu, \nu_0; \mathbb{Z})$ which for each $x \in \Sigma$ restricts to the generator of $H^2(\nu_x, \nu_x \setminus 0; \mathbb{Z})$ (Theorem 10.4 of [MS74]). Using the Gysin sequence Theorem 12.2 of [MS74] or Proposition 6.41 of [BT82], the image of $\tau(\nu)$ under the restriction map $H^2(\nu; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\nu_0; \mathbb{Z})$ is the Euler class $e(\nu)$ of ν via the homotopy equivalence of the total space of ν with Σ .

Now the fundamental group of a regular d -fold cyclic cover of ν_0 restricting to a d -fold cover of a fiber is the kernel of a homomorphism $\pi_1(\nu_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ which restricts to a surjective homomorphism of the fundamental group \mathbb{Z} of the fiber of ν_0 onto $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. Such a homomorphism, viewed as a nontrivial element in $H^1(\nu_0; \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$, maps to the mod d reduction $\tau_d(\nu) \in H^2(\nu, \nu_0; \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ of the Thom class in the exact cohomology sequence (1) for $R = \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. By exactness, such a homomorphism exists if and only if the mod d reduction of the Euler class $e_d(\nu)$ of ν vanishes. \square

For a ring R with unit consider now the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(M \setminus \Sigma; R) \rightarrow H^2(M, M \setminus \Sigma; R) \rightarrow H^2(M, R) \rightarrow H^2(M \setminus \Sigma; R) \rightarrow \cdots$$

By excision, the Thom class of the normal bundle ν defines a nontrivial class in $H^2(M, M \setminus \Sigma; R) = H^2(U, U \setminus \Sigma; R) = H^2(\nu, \nu_0; R)$. By Proposition 6.24 of [BT82], its image under the map $H^2(M, M \setminus \Sigma; R) \rightarrow H^2(M; R)$ is just the Poincaré dual of Σ with coefficients in R .

Taking $R = \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, this class vanishes if and only if the mod d reduction $\tau(\nu)_d$ of the Thom class of ν is contained in the image of $H^1(M \setminus \Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$. Then the kernel of the homomorphism $\pi_1(M \setminus \Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ defined by an element of $H^1(M \setminus \Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ which maps to $\tau(\nu)_d$ is an unbranched degree d covering of $M \setminus \Sigma$. By excision and Lemma 2.2, this covering extends to a cyclic covering of M branched along Σ . Together with Poincaré duality, this shows the first part of Theorem 2.

Proposition 2.3. *There exists a cyclic d -fold covering of M branched along Σ if and only if $[\Sigma]_d = 0 \in H_{n-2}(M, \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$.*

3 Branched covers and hyperbolic cone metrics

Let \mathbb{H}^n be the hyperbolic space and let \mathbb{H}^{n-2} be a totally geodesic subspace of codimension two. The subgroup of the group $\mathrm{PO}(n, 1)$ of orientation preserving isometries of \mathbb{H}^n which fixes \mathbb{H}^{n-2} pointwise is the circle group S^1 acting on a fiber of the normal bundle as a group of rotations. The fundamental group of $\mathbb{H}^n \setminus \mathbb{H}^{n-2}$ equals the group \mathbb{Z} .

If we denote by \tilde{X} the universal covering of $\mathbb{H}^n \setminus \mathbb{H}^{n-2}$, then the abelian group \mathbb{R} , which is the universal covering of the circle S^1 , acts freely and isometrically on \tilde{X} with respect to the (incomplete) hyperbolic pull-back metric. Thus we can take the quotient X of \tilde{X} under an infinite cyclic subgroup of \mathbb{R} . The metric completion \bar{X} of X contains the isometrically embedded subspace \mathbb{H}^{n-2} , and a fiber of the normal bundle has a natural identification with a two-dimensional hyperbolic cone with angle $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. We call \mathbb{H}^{n-2} the *singular set* of the hyperbolic cone metric.

If $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi]$ then a fiber cone is obtained as follows. In the disk model $D = \{z \mid |z| < 1\}$ for the hyperbolic plane, straight line segments starting at the origin are geodesics up to parameterization. Cut D open along the segments $\rho = \{\Im = 0, \Re \geq 0\}$ and $e^{i\alpha}\rho$. One component of the resulting space is a sector of angle α with geodesic boundary. Glue this component along the boundary with the rotation $e^{i\alpha}$. The hyperbolic metric on D descends to a hyperbolic cone metric with cone angle α at the image of the vertex 0 of the sector.

We call a metric on a closed manifold which is hyperbolic outside of a closed codimension two submanifold Σ and such that any point $x \in \Sigma$ has a neighborhood which is isometric to a neighborhood of a point in the singular set of a hyperbolic cone metric as described above a *hyperbolic cone metric* as well. The cone angle is locally constant.

Assume now that M is a closed oriented hyperbolic manifold containing a closed totally geodesic oriented submanifold Σ of codimension two which is homologous to zero. By Proposition 2.3, for each $d \geq 2$ we then can construct a d -fold cyclic cover $\Pi : M_d \rightarrow M$ branched along Σ . The pull-back under Π of the hyperbolic metric on M is a hyperbolic cone metric with cone angle $2d\pi$ and singular set the preimage of Σ under the natural covering projection. This observation is summarized as follows.

Lemma 3.1. *The d -fold cyclic covering M_d of M branched along Σ admits a hyperbolic cone metric with conical singularity along Σ and cone angle $2\pi d$.*

For a hyperbolic cone metric, the volume form is defined and gives rise to a volume by integration. The following is immediate from the above construction.

Corollary 3.2. *The volume of M_d with respect to the hyperbolic cone metric equals $d\mathrm{vol}(M)$.*

Proof. As the branched covering projection $\Pi : M_d \rightarrow M$ is a map of degree d which is furthermore an orientation preserving local diffeomorphism on $M_d \setminus \Sigma$, the volume form of the cone metric equals the pull-back of the volume form

of M outside of a submanifold of codimension two and this form integrates to $d\text{vol}(M)$. \square

We next discuss another viewpoint of the hyperbolic cone metric g_d on M_d . Namely, consider again the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n and a totally geodesic subspace \mathbb{H}^{n-2} of codimension two. The stabilizer $\text{Stab}(\mathbb{H}^{n-2})$ of \mathbb{H}^n in $\text{PO}(n, 1)$ which consists of isometries whose restrictions to \mathbb{H}^{n-2} are orientation preserving can be identified with $S^1 \times \text{PO}(n-2, 1)$ where S^1 is the subgroup fixing \mathbb{H}^{n-2} pointwise.

The hyperbolic cone metric on M_d pulls back to a hyperbolic cone metric on the covering \hat{M}_d of M_d with fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. The singular locus of this metric is the submanifold Σ . The cyclic deck group Γ of M_d acts on the cone manifold \hat{M}_d as a cyclic group of isometries fixing Σ pointwise. If h is a smooth Riemannian metric on M_d which is invariant under the action of Γ , then h lifts to a smooth metric \hat{h} on \hat{M}_d which is invariant under Γ . Consequently h descends to a cone metric on $\Gamma \backslash \hat{M}_d = \hat{M}$ with singular locus Σ and cone angle $\frac{2\pi}{d}$. This manifold in turn is just the quotient of \mathbb{H}^n under the action of the fundamental group of Σ .

Thus if one is interested in understanding distinguished metrics on M_d one is led to studying distinguished cone metrics on \mathbb{H}^n with singular locus \mathbb{H}^{n-2} and cone angle $\frac{2\pi}{d}$ which are invariant under the action of the group $S^1 \times \text{PO}(n-2, 1)$. Particular such metrics are invariant Einstein cone metrics on \mathbb{H}^n with singular locus \mathbb{H}^{n-2} and cone angle $\frac{2\pi}{d}$. In order to be the lift of a metric on M_d , it is necessary that the metric glues to a metric on $M_d \setminus \Sigma$. This motivates the study of such Einstein cone metrics on \mathbb{H}^n which are asymptotic to the hyperbolic metric as the distance from \mathbb{H}^{n-2} tends to infinity. In particular, the Einstein constant has to be negative and hence by rescaling, one may assume that the Einstein constant equals $-(n-1)$.

For three-dimensional manifolds, the Einstein condition is equivalent to constant curvature [Bes08], but this is not true any more in higher dimension. The following is due to Fine-Premoselli (Proposition 3.2 of [FP20]). An analogous statement in the Kähler setting was established in [GH25].

Proposition 3.3 (Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 of [FP20]). *Let us consider a totally geodesic subspace $\mathbb{H}^{n-2} \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ and let $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Then there exists a $S^1 \times \text{PO}(n-2, 1)$ -invariant Einstein cone metric g_α on \mathbb{H}^n with Einstein constant $-(n-1)$ and the following properties.*

1. *The singular locus of g_α equals \mathbb{H}^{n-2} , and \mathbb{H}^{n-2} is totally geodesic for g_α .*
2. *The cone angle of g_α along \mathbb{H}^{n-2} equals α .*
3. *The sectional curvature of g_α is negative.*
4. *As $d(\mathbb{H}^{n-2}, x) \rightarrow \infty$, the metric g_α converges smoothly to the hyperbolic metric g .*

We have $\alpha < 2\pi$ if and only if the restriction of g_α to \mathbb{H}^{n-2} satisfies $g_\alpha|_{\mathbb{H}^{n-2}} < g|_{\mathbb{H}^{n-2}}$. In particular, for $\alpha \neq 2\pi$, the metric g_α is hyperbolic if and only if $n = 3$.

Proposition 3.3 allows to glue the restriction of the singular Einstein metric g_α to a collar neighborhood of Σ in $\pi_1(\Sigma)\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$ which embeds into M to the hyperbolic metric on $M \setminus \Sigma$ and construct in this way a cone metric on M whose pull-back to M_d is a smooth metric on M_d which is Einstein on the complement of the gluing region. Provided that the collar neighborhood is large, explicit control on the gluing construction results in geometric control of the glued metric. Theorem 1 is proved by deforming such a well enough controlled metric to an Einstein metric using an implicit function theorem. This strategy is also used in [GH25] in the Kähler case. In [J25], building on the earlier work [HJ22], a different but related approach is used to construct Einstein metrics on manifolds of dimension at most twelve which admit metrics with controlled curvature properties.

4 Branched coverings of hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Consider now a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M and an embedded oriented geodesic multicurve $c \subset M$ which is homologous to zero. By Proposition 2.3, we know that for every $d \geq 2$ there exists a covering $M_d \rightarrow M$ of degree d , branched along c . It follows from the discussion in Section 3 that this branched covering manifold admits a hyperbolic cone metric with cone angle $2\pi d > 2\pi$ which can be deformed to a smooth negatively curved metric. We refer to [GT87] for an explicit construction of such a metric which can be chosen to be a warped product metric near the singular set of the cone metric. Thus as a consequence of hyperbolization, there also exists a hyperbolic metric on M_d . Note that this is consistent with the fact that the Einstein cone metric on \mathbb{H}^n with singular locus \mathbb{H}^{n-2} whose existence was stated in Proposition 3.3 is hyperbolic if and only if $n = 3$.

Since M_d is a cyclic branched cover of M , it admits a deck group action by a cyclic group of order d of diffeomorphisms, with quotient M . The fixed point set of a generator ζ of this group is the preimage of the geodesic multicurve c under the covering map. By Mostow rigidity, there exists a cyclic group of order d of isometries of M_d for the hyperbolic metric. This means that there exists an isometry ζ^\sharp of M_d which is homotopic to ζ and such that $(\zeta^\sharp)^d = \text{Id}$.

As follows from the work [CLW18], in general it is difficult to determine the relation between the fixed point sets of ζ and ζ^\sharp . However, in the specific situation of a cyclic branched covering, Proposition 5.8 of [HJ24a] and the following remark shows that the fixed point set $\text{Fix}(\zeta^\sharp) \subset X$ of ζ^\sharp is (abstractly) diffeomorphic to $\text{Fix}(\zeta)$. Moreover, $\text{Fix}(\zeta^\sharp)$ and $\text{Fix}(\zeta)$ are freely homotopic inside X . As a consequence, the quotient of M_d by the group $\langle \zeta^\sharp \rangle$ generated by ζ^\sharp is a hyperbolic orbifold, or a cone manifold, which is diffeomorphic to M , with singular locus homotopic to c . The cone angle equals $2\pi/d$.

Question 1 Is it true that the length of c for the smooth hyperbolic metric on M_d is strictly smaller than the length of c on M ?

Since the quotient $\langle \zeta^\sharp \rangle \backslash M_d$ is a hyperbolic cone manifold so that the multicurve c is the singular locus and the cone angle is at most π , we can now invoke a result of Kojima [Ko98] and obtain the following.

Theorem 4.1. *The cone metric can be deformed to a complete finite volume hyperbolic metric on $M \setminus c$.*

Together this yields the following result.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $c \subset M$ be any null homologous embedded finite collection of closed oriented geodesics on the closed oriented hyperbolic 3-manifold M . Then $M \setminus c$ admits a complete hyperbolic metric of finite volume.*

An interesting question is how the hyperbolic metric on M and the hyperbolic cone metric are related. A natural invariant to look at to this end is the volume of these metrics, which is well defined for hyperbolic cone metrics. The following is an easy consequence of a recent result of [C⁺⁺24].

Proposition 4.3. *The volume of the hyperbolic cone metric on M is strictly larger than the volume of the smooth hyperbolic metric.*

Proof. As the cone angle of the cone metric h on M does not exceed π , the cone metric is a limit of smooth metrics of sectional curvature bounded from below by -1 and hence M equipped with this cone metric is an RCD(2, 3)-space. Thus the strict inequality $\text{vol}(M, h) > \text{vol}(M, g)$ where g is the smooth hyperbolic metric is an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.5 of [C⁺⁺24]. \square

Remark 1 Proposition 4.3 can be reformulated as stating that the simplicial volume of the d -fold branched cover of M is strictly larger than d times the simplicial volume of M . We refer to [Fr17] for more information on this point of view.

The volume of a hyperbolic 3-manifold can be studied using topological tools. To obtain an upper bound one can proceed as follows. Choose an effective triangulation of a closed hyperbolic manifold M . This triangulation can be *straightened* to a triangulation with geodesic edges and totally geodesic facets. The hyperbolic volume of a straightened simplex does not exceed the hyperbolic volume κ_n of an ideal hyperbolic tetrahedron, so the volume of M is at most $\kappa_n |T|$ where $|T|$ denotes the number of maximal simplices of the triangulation.

Lower bounds on the volume of a hyperbolic manifold in terms of topological information are much harder to obtain. The following was shown in [HJ22].

Theorem 4.4. *For every $g \geq 2$ there exists a constant $C(g) > 0$ so that the following holds true. The volume of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold of Heegaard genus g is at least $c(g)d_{\text{Hempel}}$ where d_{Hempel} denotes the Hempel distance of the Heegaard splitting.*

Here a Heegaard splitting of genus $g \geq 1$ of a closed oriented 3-manifold M is the decomposition of M into two handlebodies of genus g . The manifold M is obtained from these handlebodies by identifying their boundaries with an orientation reversing diffeomorphism. The Hempel distance is an invariant of the gluing diffeomorphism. We refer to [HJ22] for more information.

5 Branched covers in dimension $n \geq 4$

In this section we consider a degree d cyclic covering $M_d \rightarrow M$ of a closed hyperbolic oriented manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$ branched along a closed totally geodesic oriented submanifold Σ of codimension two. We assume that there exists an isometric involution $\iota : M \rightarrow M$ whose fixed point set is a compact (possibly disconnected) totally geodesic embedded hyperplane so that Σ is contained in a component H of this fixed point set and is null-homologous in H . We refer to [FP20, HJ24a] for a discussion of examples. By Proposition 2.3, for every $d \geq 2$ there exists a cover M_d of M of degree d , branched along Σ . Our goal is to prove the third part of Theorem 2 and show that for at most one $d \geq 2$, this cyclic branched covering admits a hyperbolic metric.

Our strategy is to assume that M_d admits a hyperbolic metric and seek to obtain a geometric understanding of this metric. We carry this out in three subsections.

5.1 Fixed point sets of isometries

Since Σ is homologous to zero in H , it bounds a submanifold $H_0 \subset H$. Put $H_1 = H \setminus H_0$.

Fix a number $d \geq 2$. The d -fold covering X of M branched along the totally geodesic submanifold $\Sigma \subset H \subset M$ can be realized as follows. Let M_{cut} be obtained from M by cutting along H_0 , that is, M_{cut} is the metric completion of $M - H_0$. Thus M_{cut} is a compact (topological) manifold whose boundary consists of two copies H_0^- and H_0^+ of H_0 intersecting in Σ . The manifold X is obtained by gluing d copies $M_{\text{cut}}^1, \dots, M_{\text{cut}}^d$ of M_{cut} along the boundary, so that the copy of H_0^+ in M_{cut}^i is glued to the copy of H_0^- in M_{cut}^{i+1} (where the superscripts i are taken mod d). We denote by $H_0^{d,i} \subset X$ the copy of H_0 in X which is the projection of the copy of H_0^+ in M_{cut}^i .

Locally near H , the isometric involution $\iota = \iota_M$ acts as a reflection in H , exchanging the two components of $U \setminus H$ where U is a tubular neighborhood of H in M . Thus ι_M acts as an involution $\iota_{\text{cut}} : M_{\text{cut}} \rightarrow M_{\text{cut}}$ which exchanges H_0^+ and H_0^- and fixes $W = \text{Fix}(\iota_M) \setminus H_0 \supseteq H_1$.

As a consequence, ι_M induces an involution $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ with the property that $\iota(M_{\text{cut}}^i) = M_{\text{cut}}^{d+2-i}$ and so that the restrictions $\iota|_{M_{\text{cut}}^i} : M_{\text{cut}}^i \rightarrow M_{\text{cut}}^{d+2-i}$ are identified with $\iota_{\text{cut}} : M_{\text{cut}} \rightarrow M_{\text{cut}}$ (superscripts are again taken mod d).

If the degree d of the covering is odd, then the fixed point set of this involution of X is the union of the copy W^1 of W in M_{cut}^1 and of $H_0^{d,(d-1)/2}$, glued to $H_1^1 \subset W^1$ along Σ . If d is even then the fixed point set of ι consists of the two copies of W in M_{cut}^1 and $M_{\text{cut}}^{d/2+1}$ glued along Σ .

Let ζ be a generator of the cyclic deck group of $X \rightarrow M$. It cyclically permutes the copies $M_{\text{cut}}^1, \dots, M_{\text{cut}}^d$ of M_{cut} in X . If the degree d is even define $j = \zeta \circ \iota$

(read from right to left), and for odd degree define $j = \iota$. The following is now immediate from the construction.

Fact 5.1. • If d is even, then the fixed point set of j in X is the union $\text{Fix}(j) = H_0^{d,1} \cup H_0^{d,1+d/2}$, and the copies $H_0^{d,1}$ and $H_0^{d,1+d/2}$ of H_0 are glued along Σ .
 • If d is odd then the fixed point set of j in X is $\text{Fix}(j) = W^1 \cup H_0^{d,(d-1)/2}$, and it is homeomorphic to $\text{Fix}(\iota_M)$.

The fixed point set of each of the involutions $\zeta^i \circ j \circ \zeta^{-i}$ ($i = 0, \dots, d-1$) is the embedded submanifold $\zeta^i(\text{Fix}(j))$ of X . Their union cuts X up into the d copies of M_{cut} if d is even, and into d copies of $M \setminus H$ if d is odd. We call any diffeomorphism of X contained in the finite group of diffeomorphisms of X generated by j and ζ an *admissible* diffeomorphism of X .

By Mostow rigidity, any homotopy self-equivalence σ of X is homotopic to a unique isometry $\sigma^\#$ of X . Furthermore, by uniqueness, the map

$$\text{Homeo}(X) \rightarrow \text{Isom}(X), \sigma \mapsto \sigma^\#$$

which associates to a homeomorphism the unique isometry homotopic to it is a group homomorphism. The following result was established in [HJ24a].

Proposition 5.2 (Proposition 5.8 of [HJ24a]). *Let ϕ be an admissible diffeomorphism of X and let $\phi^\#$ be the isometry of X homotopic to ϕ . Then the fixed point set $\text{Fix}(\phi^\#) \subset X$ of $\phi^\#$ is (abstractly) diffeomorphic to $\text{Fix}(\phi)$. Moreover, $\text{Fix}(\phi^\#)$ and $\text{Fix}(\phi)$ are freely homotopic inside X .*

From now on we always denote by F the component of $\text{Fix}(j)$ containing Σ and by $F^\#$ the homotopic component of $\text{Fix}(j^\#)$ whose existence is guaranteed by Proposition 5.2. By Proposition 5.2 and Mostow rigidity for closed hyperbolic manifolds of dimension $n-1 \geq 3$, there exists an isometry $\psi : F \rightarrow F^\#$ which maps Σ to the fixed point set $\Sigma^\#$ of $\zeta^\#$. Furthermore, with a homotopy we may identify Σ and $\Sigma^\#$ in X . For each $i = 0, \dots, d-1$, the map $(\zeta^\#)^i \circ \psi \circ \zeta^{-i}$ maps $\zeta^i(F)$ isometrically onto $(\zeta^\#)^i(F^\#)$.

Although by Proposition 5.2, the cyclic group generated by $\zeta^\#$ acts freely on $X \setminus \Sigma^\#$ and the manifold $F^\#$ is homotopic to F , this does not necessarily imply that $\zeta^\#(F^\#) \cap F^\# = \Sigma^\#$. The following lemma takes care of this issue.

Lemma 5.3 (Lemma 5.9 of [HJ24a]). *1. The differential of $\zeta^\#$ acts on the normal bundle of $\Sigma^\#$ by a rotation with angle $2\pi/d$.
 2. We have $F^\# \cap \zeta^\#(F^\#) = \Sigma^\#$.*

Let N be the compact hyperbolic manifold with totally geodesic boundary ∂N which is obtained by cutting M open along H , that is, N is the metric completion of $M - H$. If H is non-separating, then N is connected, otherwise N has two connected components. The boundary ∂N of N is totally geodesic and consists of two copies of H containing one copy of Σ each. The main tool towards the third part of Theorem 2 is the following result.

Proposition 5.4. *If the cyclic d -fold branched cover X of M admits a hyperbolic metric, then there exists a hyperbolic cone manifold $N^\#$ satisfying the following properties:*

1. $N^\#$ is homotopy equivalent to N .
2. The boundary $\partial N^\#$ of $N^\#$ is path isometric to ∂N .
3. The singular locus of $N^\#$ consists of the two copies of Σ in $\partial N^\#$. The cone angle at each of these copies equals $2\pi/d$.

Remark 5.5. As the dimension of N is at least four, it follows from a result of Kerckhoff and Storm [KS12, Theorem 2.5] that there is no continuous deformation of the compact hyperbolic manifold N with totally geodesic boundary, viewed as a convex cocompact hyperbolic manifold, to the hyperbolic cone manifold $N^\#$ with the same fundamental group within the space of convex cocompact hyperbolic manifolds.

This does not reduce Theorem 2 to Proposition 5.4 as the result of Kerckhoff and Storm does not rule out that there are isolated faithful convex cocompact representations of $\pi_1(N)$ into $\mathrm{PO}(n, 1)$ which are not conjugate to the representation defining N .

5.2 The proof of Proposition 5.4

Throughout we assume that X admits a hyperbolic metric. Denote as before by F the component of the fixed point set of the involution j defined in Fact 5.1 which contains Σ . The union $\cup_i \zeta^i(F)$ of the corresponding components of the fixed point sets of the involutions $\zeta^i \circ j \circ \zeta^{-i}$ cut X up into d copies of the manifold M_{cut} if d is even, and into d copies of the manifold N from Proposition 5.4 if d is odd.

Using these conventions, Proposition 5.2 shows that the fixed point set of each of the isometric involutions $(\zeta^\#)^i \circ j^\# \circ (\zeta^\#)^{-i}$ homotopic to $\zeta^i \circ j \circ \zeta^{-i}$ has a component $(\zeta^\#)^i(F^\#)$ which is a hyperplane isometric to the component $\zeta^i(F)$ of the fixed point set of $\zeta^i \circ j \circ \zeta^{-i}$ and contains Σ . We shall show that the union $\cup_i (\zeta^\#)^i(F^\#)$ of these submanifolds of X cut X into d sectors homotopy equivalent to M_{cut} if d is even, and homotopy equivalent to N if d is odd. This yields Proposition 5.4 if d is odd. If d is even then such a sector contains an embedded isometric copy of H_1 which intersects the boundary of $M_{\mathrm{cut}}^\#$ in $\Sigma^\#$. Cutting $M_{\mathrm{cut}}^\#$ along this copy of H_1 then yields a hyperbolic cone manifold with the properties stated in Proposition 5.4.

For each $i = 0, \dots, d-1$, Proposition 5.2 yields an isometry $\phi_i : \zeta^i(F) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\zeta^\#)^i(F^\#)$ such that the maps

$$\mathrm{incl}_{\zeta^i(F)} : \zeta^i(F) \hookrightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{incl}_{(\zeta^\#)^i(F^\#)} \circ \phi_i : \zeta^i(F) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\zeta^\#)^i(F^\#) \hookrightarrow X$$

are homotopic. As $(\zeta^\#)^i(F^\#) \subseteq X$ is totally geodesic, $\phi_i(\Sigma) \subseteq X$ is a closed totally geodesic submanifold of codimension two which is in the same free homo-

topy class as Σ . Since each free homotopy class can contain at most one totally geodesic representative, this implies that $\phi_0(\Sigma) = \cdots = \phi_{d-1}(\Sigma)$. We define $\Sigma^\# \subset X$ to be this closed totally geodesic submanifold of codimension two. So, by construction, $\Sigma^\# \subseteq (\zeta^\#)^i(F^\#)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, d-1$.

After possibly changing the hyperbolic metric of X with an isotopy, we may assume that for each connected component Σ_0 of Σ we have $\Sigma_0 \cap \Sigma_0^\# \neq \emptyset$, where $\Sigma_0^\# = \phi_0(\Sigma)$. So, for each component, we can fix a basepoint $x_0 \in \Sigma_0 \cap \Sigma_0^\#$, and we may assume without loss of generality that $\phi_0(x_0) = x_0$. We call such a basepoint *preferred*. Due to Proposition 5.2, we may also assume that

$$\pi_1(\Sigma_0, x_0) = \pi_1(\Sigma_0^\#, x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1(F, x_0) = \pi_1(F^\#, x_0).$$

In the sequel, the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ will always be represented with respect to a fixed choice x_0 of preferred basepoint. We can now prove Proposition 5.4.

Proof of Proposition 5.4. By construction, the subspace $F \cup \zeta(F)$ of X separates X . If d is even, then by the definition of the map j , the complement $X - (F \cup \zeta(F))$ contains two connected components whose closures are homeomorphic to M_{cut} . If d is odd then it contains one connected component whose closure is homeomorphic to M_{cut} . In both cases, let Z be the closure of such a component. Its boundary consists of two copies of H_0 glued along Σ .

By Lemma 5.3, there exists a corresponding component $M_{\text{cut}}^\#$ of $X - (F^\# \cup \zeta^\#(F^\#))$. The boundary of its closure $Z^\#$ is connected and consists of two copies of H_0 meeting along Σ with an angle $2\pi/d$. Identifying Σ and $\Sigma^\#$ as before and choosing a basepoint $x \in \Sigma$, we claim that $\pi_1(Z, x) = \pi_1(Z^\#, x)$.

Namely, as $\pi_1((\zeta^\#)^i(F^\#), x_0) = \pi_1(\zeta^i(F), x_0)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, d-1$, it holds that $\pi_1(\partial Z, x) = \pi_1(\partial Z^\#, x)$. As ∂Z is a separating hypersurface in X homotopic to $\partial Z^\#$, by the theorem of Seifert-van Kampen, we know that

$$\pi_1(X, x) = \pi_1(Z, x) *_{\pi_1(\partial Z, x)} \pi_1(X \setminus Z, x) = \pi_1(Z^\#, x) *_{\pi_1(\partial Z, x)} \pi_1(X \setminus Z^\#, x).$$

It then follows from the normal form for amalgamated products [LS01, p.186] that $\pi_1(Z^\#, x)$ is isomorphic to either $\pi_1(Z, x)$ or to $\pi_1(X \setminus Z, x)$.

If $d = 2$ then $\pi_1(Z, x)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(X \setminus Z, x)$ and the claim is clear. If $d \geq 3$ then note that $\zeta_* = \zeta_*^\#$ maps $\pi_1(Z, x)$ to a proper subgroup of $\pi_1(X \setminus Z, x)$, and it maps $\pi_1(X \setminus Z, x)$ to a proper supergroup of $\pi_1(Z, x)$. Furthermore, it maps $\pi_1(Z^\#, x)$ to a proper subgroup of $\pi_1(X \setminus Z^\#, x)$ and it maps $\pi_1(X \setminus Z^\#, x)$ to a proper supergroup of $\pi_1(Z^\#, x)$. Thus we have $\pi_1(Z, x) = \pi_1(Z^\#, x)$ as claimed.

Note that if d is odd, then the component $Z^\#$ contains a totally geodesic hypersurface isometric to H_1 which intersects the boundary of $Z^\#$ along $\Sigma^\#$. There exists an isometric involution of $M_{\text{cut}}^\#$ which exchanges the two copies of H_0 in its boundary and hence H_1 meets the boundary of $M_{\text{cut}}^\#$ with an angle of π/d . We refer to Fact 5.1 for more information. Cutting $Z^\#$ open along

this hypersurface then yields a cone manifold with the properties stated in Proposition 5.4.

Now assume that the covering degree d is even. Note that the roles of the hypersurfaces H_0 and H_1 can be exchanged and hence there exists a second involution j_0 of X whose fixed point set is the union $H_1^1 \cup H_1^{1+d/2}$ of the copies of H_1 in M_{cut}^1 and $M_{\text{cut}}^{1+d/2}$, glued along Σ , as fixed point set. Denote by $j_0^\#$ the isometric involutions of X freely homotopic to j_0 . As j and j_0 can be exchanged in the arguments used so far, we may assume that j_0 is admissible. Thus $\text{Fix}(j_0^\#)$ is a separating totally geodesic hyperplane in X which is isometric to two copies of H_1 glued along Σ . This hyperplane contains $\Sigma^\#$ as $\Sigma^\#$ is the unique submanifold of X homotopic to Σ which contains each closed geodesic in the free homotopy class of an element of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. Furthermore, $j_0^\#$ fixes $\Sigma^\#$ pointwise, and it exchanges the two components of $X \setminus \text{Fix}(j_0^\#)$.

By Mostow rigidity, we have

$$j_0^\# = j^\# \circ \zeta^\#.$$

In particular, for $x \in \text{Fix}((\zeta^\#)^{-1} \circ j^\# \circ \zeta^\#)$ it holds $j_0^\#(x) = \zeta^\#(x)$. As a consequence, $j_0^\#$ induces an isometric involution of $M_{\text{cut}}^\#$ which exchanges the two components of $\partial M_{\text{cut}}^\# \setminus \Sigma^\#$. As $j_0^\#$ acts as a reflection along a connected separating hyperplane in X containing $\Sigma^\#$, the restriction of $j_0^\#$ acts as a reflection along a totally geodesic embedded hyperplane $H_1^\#$ which intersects $\partial M_{\text{cut}}^\#$ in $\Sigma^\#$. In particular, as $j_0^\#$ is an isometry, the hyperplane $H_1^\#$ meets a component of $\partial M_{\text{cut}}^\# \setminus \Sigma^\#$ along $\Sigma^\#$ with an angle of π/d . Thus cutting $M_{\text{cut}}^\#$ open along $H_1^\#$ yields a hyperbolic manifold $N^\#$ with piecewise totally geodesic boundary with properties (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 5.4.

That $N^\#$ is homotopy equivalent to $M \setminus H = N$ follows from the fact that both are aspherical manifolds with boundary and isomorphic fundamental groups. \square

5.3 Proof of Theorem 2

We showed so far that the existence of a hyperbolic metric on the d -fold covering X of M branched along Σ gives rise to a convex cocompact hyperbolic manifold N_d with two boundary components, each of which is path isometric to the hypersurface H . The manifold is singular along $\Sigma \subset H$, with cone angle (or bending angle) π/d , and it is homotopy equivalent to the hyperbolic manifold N with totally geodesic boundary obtained by cutting M open along H . Note that N is connected if and only if the hypersurface H is non-separating.

Construct a new manifold W by gluing $2d$ copies N_d^i ($i = 1, \dots, 2d$) of N_d along the boundary as follows. Let ∂N_d^\pm be the two distinct boundary components of N , and let $(\partial N_d^i)^\pm$ be the corresponding boundary components of N_d^i . Each of these components contains a copy of Σ which decomposes the compo-

ment into two connected components $(H_{0,d}^i)^\pm, (H_{1,d}^i)^\pm$. For each odd $i \leq 2d$ identify $(H_{0,d}^i)^\pm$ with $(H_{0,d}^{i+1})^\pm$, and for even $i \leq 2d$ identify $(H_{1,d}^i)^\pm$ with $(H_{1,d}^{i+1})^\pm$. As the cone angle of ∂N_d^\pm along Σ equals π/d , the hyperbolic metrics on the bordered manifolds N_d^\pm induce a smooth hyperbolic metric on W .

Lemma 5.6. *The manifold W is a two-sheeted unbranched covering of X .*

In fact, if the hypersurface H in M is non-separating, then the same holds true for the component F containing Σ of the fixed point set of the involution j of X specified in Fact 5.1. Then W is the two-sheeted covering of X so that the preimage of F consists of two components which separate W . If $H \subset M$ is separating, then N consists of two connected components, and W consists of two copies of X .

Proof. The case that H is separating is clear from the above remark. Thus assume that H is non-separating. Then the same holds true for the hypersurface F . Cut X open along F . The resulting manifold Q is connected and has two boundary components $\partial Q^-, \partial Q^+$ which are homeomorphic and path isometric to H . The metric is singular along the two copies of the totally geodesic submanifold $\Sigma^\#$ in the two boundary components of Q .

Glue a second copy \hat{Q} of Q to Q along the boundary in such a way that the boundary component $\partial \hat{Q}^-$ is glued to the boundary component ∂Q^+ , and the boundary component $\partial \hat{Q}^+$ is glued to the boundary component ∂Q^- . The resulting manifold is equipped with a smooth hyperbolic metric, and admits an obvious two sheeted unbranched covering onto X . The lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. We divide the proof into two claims.

Claim 1: *Among the branched coverings of M of even degree $d \in 2\mathbb{N}$, at most one can be homeomorphic to a hyperbolic manifold.*

Proof of Claim 1: We argue by contradiction and we assume that there are distinct multiples $d_1 \neq d_2 \in 2\mathbb{N}$ such that the cyclic d_i -fold branched cover $X^{(d_i)}$ of M admits a smooth hyperbolic metric for $i = 1, 2$. Then, for each $i = 1, 2$, Proposition 5.4 (see the end of the proof for an explicit statement) implies that there exists a hyperbolic cone manifold $M_{\text{cut}}^{2\pi/d_i}$ with totally geodesic boundary $\partial M_{\text{cut}}^{2\pi/d_i}$ homeomorphic and path isometric to ∂M_{cut} , with singular set isometric to Σ , cone angle $2\pi/d_i$ along Σ , and $\pi_1(M_{\text{cut}}^{2\pi/d_i}) = \pi_1(M_{\text{cut}})$.

Note that $\frac{d_1}{2} \frac{2\pi}{d_1} + \frac{d_2}{2} \frac{2\pi}{d_2} = 2\pi$. Therefore, we can glue $d_1/2$ copies of $M_{\text{cut}}^{2\pi/d_1}$ and $d_2/2$ copies of $M_{\text{cut}}^{2\pi/d_2}$ in cyclic order along the components of $\partial M_{\text{cut}}^{2\pi/d_i} \setminus \Sigma$ to a smooth hyperbolic manifold Y . An application of the Seifert–van Kampen theorem shows that the fundamental group of Y is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the $(d_1 + d_2)/2$ -fold cyclic cover X of M branched along Σ . In particular, this fundamental group admits a finite group of automorphisms generated by an element ζ_* of order $(d_1 + d_2)/2$ and an involution j_* corresponding to the automorphisms induced by the homeomorphisms ζ and j of X (notations are as before). By the proof of Proposition 5.4, for each $i = 0, \dots, (d_1 + d_2)/2 - 1$, the fixed point group of $\zeta_*^i \circ j_* \circ \zeta_*^{-i}$ is the fundamental group of an embedded

codimension one submanifold F_i that, by construction of the hyperbolic metric on Y , is already totally geodesic. Moreover, for some i the totally geodesic submanifolds F_i and F_{i+1} intersect with angle $2\pi/d_1$, while for other i they intersect with angle $2\pi/d_2$.

By Mostow rigidity, there exist isometries $\zeta^\#, j^\#$ of the hyperbolic manifold Y of order $(d_1 + d_2)/2$ and 2, respectively, that induce the outer automorphism given by ζ_* and j_* . By Lemma 5.3, the fixed point set of $\zeta^\#$ is a codimension two totally geodesic submanifold $\Sigma^\#$ freely homotopic to Σ , and thus $\Sigma^\# = \Sigma$ since Σ is already totally geodesic in Y . Similarly, by Proposition 5.4, the fixed point set $(\zeta^\#)^i(F^\#)$ of the involution $(\zeta^\#)^i \circ j^\# \circ (\zeta^\#)^{-i}$ is a totally geodesic hyperplane freely homotopic to the manifold F_i satisfying $\pi_1(F_i) = \text{Fix}(\zeta_*^i \circ j_* \circ \zeta_*^{-i})$, and thus $(\zeta^\#)^i(F^\#) = F_i$ since F_i is already hyperbolic. However, as $\zeta^\#$ acts by rotation with a fixed angle in the normal bundle of Σ , the intersection angle of $(\zeta^\#)^i(F^\#)$ and $(\zeta^\#)^{i+1}(F^\#)$ is the same for all i . But this contradicts the fact that, by construction, the intersection angle of F_i with F_{i+1} varies between $2\pi/d_1$ and $2\pi/d_2$, completing the proof of the claim. ■

Claim 2: *No branched covering of M of odd degree $d \geq 3$ can be homeomorphic to a hyperbolic manifold.*

Proof of Claim 2: Assume that there exists a covering X of M branched along Σ of odd degree d which admits a hyperbolic metric. By Proposition 5.4, there exists a hyperbolic cone manifold N_d homotopy equivalent to the manifold N with cone angle π/d along the copies of Σ in each boundary component of N_d . Glue d copies of N_d to the manifold $N = M \setminus H$ along the boundary as described in Lemma 5.6. Note that this is possible because d is odd. The resulting manifold is homotopy equivalent to a double unbranched covering of the branched covering X of M of degree $\frac{d+1}{2}$ as in Lemma 5.6, and it is equipped with a smooth hyperbolic metric.

On the other hand, as $W \rightarrow X$ is a two-sheeted unbranched covering, the hyperbolic metric on X lifts to a hyperbolic metric on W . However, it follows precisely as in the proof of Claim 1 that this leads to a contradiction. ■ □

References

- And10. M. T. Anderson. *A survey of Einstein metrics on 4-manifolds*, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 14, International Press, Somerville, MA 2010, 1–39.
- Bes08. A. L. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Classics in Mathematics. Reprint of the 1987 edition. Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- BCG95. G. Besson, G. Courtois, and S. Gallot. Entropies and rigidités des espaces localement symétriques de courbure strictement négative, *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 5:731–799, 1995.
- BT82. R. Bott, and L.W. Tu, *Differential forms on algebraic topology*, Springer Graduate Texts in Math. 82, Springer, New York 1982.
- CLW18. S. Cappell, A. Lubotzky, and S. Weinberger. A trichotomy for transformation groups of locally symmetric manifolds and topological rigidity. *Advances Math.*, 327:25–46, 2018.

- C++24. C. Connell, X. Dai, J. Nunez-Zimbron, R. Perales, P. Suárez-Serrato, and G. Wei, *Volume entropy and rigidity for RCD-spaces*, arXiv:2411.04327.
- FP20. J. Fine, and B. Premoselli. Examples of compact Einstein four-manifolds with negative curvature. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 33(4):991–1038, 2020.
- F57. R. Fox. Covering spaces with singularities. *A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz*, Princeton University Press, 243–257, 1957.
- Fr17. R. Frigerio. Bounded cohomology and discrete groups. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, Amer. Math. Soc. 2017.
- GT87. M. Gromov, and W. Thurston. Pinching constants for hyperbolic manifolds. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 89:1–12, 1987.
- GH25. H. Guenancia, and U. Hamenstädt. Kähler Einstein metrics of negative curvature. arXiv:2503.02838.
- HJ22. U. Hamenstädt, and F. Jäckel. *Stability of Einstein Metrics and effective hyperbolization in large Hempel distance*. Preprint, arXiv:2206.10438, 2022.
- HJ24b. U. Hamenstädt, and F. Jäckel. Rigidity of geometric structures. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 218(1): Paper no. 16, 2024.
- HJ24a. U. Hamenstädt, and F. Jäckel. Negatively curved Einstein metrics on Gromov Thurston manifolds, arXiv:2411.12956.
- J25. F. Jäckel. Effective stability of negatively Einstein metrics of dimension at most 12. arXiv:2502.16692.
- KS12. S. Kerckhoff, and P. Storm, Local rigidity of hyperbolic manifolds with geodesic boundary. *Journal of Topology*, 5:757–784, 2012.
- Ko98. S. Kojima. Deformations of hyperbolic 3-cone manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.* 49 (1998):469–516, 1998.
- LS01. R. C. Lyndon, and P. E. Schupp. *Combinatorial Group Theory*. Classics in Mathematics. Reprint of the 1977 edition. Springer Verlag, 2001.
- MS74. J. Milnor, and J. Stasheff. Characteristic classes. *Annals of Math. Studies* 76, Princeton Univ. Press 1974.