

Morita Theory for Derived Categories of Algebras

Aras Ergus

February 6, 2016

Contents

1	Differential Graded Algebras	2
2	Recollections on Derived Categories	5
3	Derived Equivalences	8
4	Examples	11

These notes and the talk they are typed for is essentially an exposition of (parts of) [Kel98]. Some examples and proof ideas are taken from [Sch04].

The notations and conventions which were introduced in previous talks were tried to be preserved as long as it made sense to do so. Furthermore, the following conventions are running assumptions for the whole text.

- k is a commutative ring and all maps, functors etc. are assumed to be k -linear.
- Modules are *right* modules.
- When we consider complexes, we use the cohomological notation, i. e. the differentials *increase* the degree.
- When we talk of a full subcategory, it is implicit that the subcategory is closed under isomorphisms in the ambient category. (This property was sometimes called “repleteness” or “strictness”.)

1 Differential Graded Algebras

Definition 1.1. A *differential graded k -algebra* (or *DG algebra*) is a \mathbb{Z} -graded k -algebra $A = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} A^p$ equipped with a k -linear differential $d: A \rightarrow A$ which is homogeneous of degree 1 and satisfies the graded Leibniz rule, i. e.

- $A^p \cdot A^q \subseteq A^{p+q}$ (“graded”),
- $d(A^p) \subseteq A^{p+1}$ (“homogeneous of degree 1”),
- $d^2 = 0$ (“differential”),
- $d(a \cdot b) = (da) \cdot b + (-1)^p \cdot a \cdot (db)$ for all $a \in A^p, b \in A$ (“Leibniz rule”).

A *morphism of DG algebras* is a morphism of graded algebras which commutes with the differentials.

Example 1.2. Every k -algebra R (in the usual sense) yields a DG algebra $A = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} A^p$ by setting

$$A^p = \begin{cases} R & p = 0 \\ 0 & p \neq 0 \end{cases},$$

$d = 0$ and extending the multiplication of R by zero.

Notation 1.3. Given an ordinary algebra R , we will sometimes abuse notation and denote also the DG algebra obtained from it by R .

Remark 1.4. In the following we are going to generalize some constructions for algebras to DG algebras and use the same notation for both of types of constructions. This abuse of notation is justified by the fact that if one applies the constructions in the “DG world” to the DG algebra obtained from an ordinary algebra as in Example 1.2, one gets the corresponding constructions for complexes over that algebra.

We now fix DG algebras A and B until the end of this section.

Definition 1.5. A (*right*) *differential graded module over A* (or *DG A -module*) is a \mathbb{Z} -graded (right) A -module $M = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} M^p$ endowed with a k -linear differential $d: M \rightarrow M$ which is homogeneous of degree 1 and satisfies the graded Leibniz rule, i. e.

- $M^p \cdot A^q \subseteq M^{p+q}$ (“graded”),
- $d(M^p) \subseteq M^{p+1}$ (“homogeneous of degree 1”),
- $d^2 = 0$ (“differential”),
- $d(m \cdot a) = (dm) \cdot a + (-1)^p \cdot m \cdot (da)$ for all $m \in M^p, a \in A$ (“Leibniz rule”).

Left DG modules are defined analogously.

Definition 1.6. A *morphism of DG modules* is a morphism of underlying graded modules which is homogeneous of degree 0 and commutes with the differential.

This concept of morphisms yields the category $\text{DG-Mod} - A$ of DG A -modules.

Definition 1.7. The *opposite* A^{op} of a DG algebra is defined to be the same graded k -module with the same differential, equipped with the multiplication

$$a \cdot_{A^{\text{op}}} a' = (-1)^{p p'} a' \cdot_A a$$

for $a \in (A^{\text{op}})^p$, $a' \in (A^{\text{op}})^{p'}$.

Remark 1.8. A left DG A -module is “the same” as a (right) DG A^{op} -module.

Example 1.9. In the situation of Example 1.2, the category of DG modules over (the DG algebra obtained from) an ordinary algebra can be identified with the category of complexes over that algebra (by “forgetting all the graded pieces of the algebra except the 0-th piece”).

Example 1.10. Every DG algebra becomes a DG module over itself via the multiplication action.

Remark 1.11. For all DG A -modules M , the map

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{DG-Mod}-A}(A, M) \rightarrow Z^0 M, f \mapsto f(1)$$

is an isomorphism.

Definition 1.12. A *DG A - B -bimodule* $X = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} X^p$ is simultaneously graded left A -module and a graded right B -module s.t. the two actions agree on k , commute and X is endowed with a k -linear homogeneous differential of degree 1 which satisfies

$$d(a \cdot x \cdot b) = (da) \cdot x \cdot b + (-1)^p \cdot a \cdot (dx) \cdot b + (-1)^{p+q} \cdot a \cdot x \cdot (db)$$

for all $a \in A^p$, $x \in X^q$ and $b \in B$.

Definition 1.13. We define the DG algebra $A \otimes_k B$ to be

$$(A \otimes_k B)^n = \bigotimes_{p+q=n} A^p \otimes_k B^q,$$

equipped with the differential given by

$$d(a \otimes b) = (da) \otimes b + (-1)^p a \otimes (db)$$

for $a \in A^p$, $b \in B$, and the multiplication given by

$$(a \otimes a') \cdot (b \otimes b') = (-1)^{q p'} (a \cdot a') \otimes (b \cdot b')$$

for $a \in A$, $a' \in A^{p'}$, $b \in B^q$, $b' \in B$.

Remark 1.14. A DG A - B -bimodule is “the same” as a DG $(A^{\text{op}} \otimes B)$ -module.

Definition 1.15. Given a DG A -module M and a DG A - B -bimodule X , we define their *tensor product* as

$$M \otimes_A X = \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\bigoplus_{p+q=m} M^p \otimes_k X^q \right) \right) / \langle ma \otimes x - m \otimes ax \mid a \in A, m \in M, x \in X \rangle,$$

equipped with the differential induced by the rule

$$d(m \otimes x) = (dm) \otimes x + (-1)^p \cdot m \otimes (dx)$$

for all $m \in M^p$ and $x \in X$.

Remark 1.16. Let X be a DG A - B bimodule and M a DG A -module.

- $M \otimes_A X$ can be endowed with the structure of a DG B -module via the action inherited from X .
- The assignment $M \mapsto M \otimes_A X$ is functorial.

Definition 1.17. Given a DG A - B -bimodule X and a DG B -module N , we define $\mathcal{H}om_B(X, N)$ by setting

$$(\mathcal{H}om_B(X, N))^n = \{f: X \rightarrow N \mid f \text{ graded } B\text{-module homomorphism, homogeneous of degree } n\}$$

with differential given by

$$df = d \circ f - (-1)^n f \circ d$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}om_B(X, N)^n$.

Remark 1.18. Let N be a DG B -module and X be a DG A - B bimodule.

- $\mathcal{H}om_B(X, N)$ can be endowed with the structure of a DG A -module via $(f \cdot a)(x) = f(a \cdot x)$ for all $f \in (\mathcal{H}om_B(X, N))^n$ and $x \in X$.
- The assignment $N \mapsto \mathcal{H}om_B(X, N)$ is functorial.

Remark 1.19. Given a DG A - B -bimodule X , we obtain an adjunction

$$(\bullet) \otimes_A X: \text{DG-Mod} - A \rightleftarrows \text{DG-Mod} - B: \mathcal{H}om_B(X, \bullet).$$

Remark 1.20. Let N and N' be DG B modules.

- Setting A to be k (hence considering N as a k - B -module) in Definition 1.17, we obtain a DG k -module $\mathcal{H}om_B(N, N')$.
- $Z^0(\mathcal{H}om_B(N, N'))$ consists of morphisms of DG B -modules from N to N' (cf Remark 1.11).

- $\mathcal{E}nd_B(N) = \mathcal{H}om_B(N, N)$ has the structure of a DG algebra whose multiplication is given by composition of morphisms. (Note that if $f: N \rightarrow N$ is of degree i and $g: N \rightarrow N$ is of degree j , then $f \circ g: N \rightarrow N$ is of degree $i + j$, i. e. composition indeed respects the degree.)
- $\mathcal{H}om_B(N, N')$ has the structure of a DG $\mathcal{E}nd_B(N)$ -module, where (homogeneous pieces of) $\mathcal{E}nd_B(N)$ acts on (homogeneous pieces of) $\mathcal{H}om_B(N, N')$ via precomposition.

2 Recollections on Derived Categories

Next, we want to define homotopy categories and the derived categories in the DG setting, which generalize the constructions we had in previous talks.

A and B will again be fixed DG algebras in this section.

Definition 2.1. A morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ of DG A -modules is called *null homotopic* if there exists a morphism $r: M \rightarrow N$ of the underlying graded A -modules which is homogenous of degree -1 s. t. $f = d_N r + r d_M$ holds. In that case, one also writes $f \simeq 0$.

Definition 2.2. The *homotopy category* $\mathcal{K}A$ is given by:

- $\text{ob}(\mathcal{K}A)$ is the class of DG A -modules,
- $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}A}(M, N) = \text{Hom}_{\text{DG-Mod-}A}(M, N) / \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{DG-Mod-}A}(M, N) \mid f \simeq 0\}$ for all DG A -modules M and N .

Remark 2.3. For a DG A -module M and a morphism $f: A \rightarrow M$ of DG modules, $f \simeq 0$ iff $f(1) \in B^0 M$, so the map

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}A}(A, M) \rightarrow H^0 M, \bar{f} \mapsto \overline{f(1)}$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.4. In fact, for all DG A -modules M and N , $B^0(\mathcal{H}om_A(M, N))$ consists of morphisms $f: M \rightarrow N$ of DG modules which are null homotopic, hence we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}A}(M, N) \cong H^0(\mathcal{H}om_A(M, N)).$$

We now want to equip $\mathcal{K}A$ with the structure of a triangulated category by extending the required constructions from chain complexes to DG modules.

Definition 2.5. The *suspension functor* (or *shift functor*) $(\bullet)[1]$ on $\text{DG-Mod} - A$ is defined by setting

- $M[1]^p = M^{p+1}$,
- $d_{M[1]} = -d_M$,

- $m \cdot_{M[1]} a = m \cdot_M a$

for a DG A -module M , $m \in M$ and $a \in A$.

The suspension functor descends to $\mathcal{K}A$ and is denoted likewise as a functor on $\mathcal{K}A$.

Definition 2.6. For a morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ of DG A -modules, its (*mapping*) *cone* Cf is defined by setting

- $Cf = M[1] \oplus N$ as graded modules,
- $d_{Cf} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{M[1]} & 0 \\ f & d_M \end{pmatrix}$.

Note that Cf comes equipped with a canonical injection $i_f: N \rightarrow Cf$ and a canonical projection $p_f: Cf \rightarrow M[1]$ (which are morphisms of DG A -algebras).

Theorem 2.7. $\mathcal{K}A$ has a structure of a triangulated category *s. t.*

- The automorphism Σ is given by $(\bullet)[1]$.
- (*Distinguished*) triangles are given by triangles isomorphic to

$$M \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} N \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_f} Cf \xrightarrow{\bar{-p}_f} M[1].$$

Proof Idea. One endows DG-Mod $- A$ with the structure of an exact category given by the sequences which split as sequences of graded A -modules and checks that this yields a Frobenius category whose stable category is equivalent to $\mathcal{K}A$ (in a manner that “preserves shifts and triangles”). \square

Example 2.8. In the setting of Example 1.2, the homotopy category of the DG algebra associated to an ordinary algebra coincides with the homotopy category of the ordinary algebra.

Definition 2.9. A morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ of DG A -modules is called a *quasi-isomorphism* if the induces morphism $H^*f: H^*M \rightarrow H^*N$ on homology is an isomorphism.

Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of quasi-isomorphisms.

Definition 2.10. The *derived category* $\mathcal{D}A$ of A is the localization $(\mathcal{K}A)[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$.

Remark 2.11. $\mathcal{D}A$ has a unique structure of a triangulated category such that the localization functor $Q: \mathcal{K}A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}A$ is triangulated.

As with usual algebras, replacing DG modules with “ones that have better homotopical behavior” comes in handy while working with (or even defining) derived categories.

Definition 2.12. A DG module M is called *acyclic* if $H^i M \cong 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.13. A DG module K is called *homotopically projective* (resp. *homotopically injective*) if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}A}(K, N) \cong 0$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}A}(N, K) \cong 0$) for all acyclic DG modules N .

Example 2.14. A is a homotopically projective DG A -module.

Definition 2.15. Let $\mathcal{K}_p A$ (resp. \mathcal{K}_i) be the full subcategory of homotopically projective (resp. homotopically injective) objects in $\mathcal{K}A$.

Now we will state, without proving, some important facts about homotopy categories and derived categories (of DG algebras). Some previous talks dealt with analogous statements in the case of ordinary algebras, and the proofs in the DG setting are also analogous.

Let X be a DG A - B -bimodule for the rest of this section.

Proposition 2.16. *There is an “h-projective resolution” functor $p: \mathcal{K}A \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_p A$ such that for every DG A -module M , there is a triangle (which is, in $\mathcal{K}A$, unique up to isomorphisms extending id_M)*

$$pM \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightsquigarrow,$$

where N is acyclic.

Remark 2.17. There is a “dual version” of Proposition 2.16 for homotopically injective DG algebras, i. e. there is an “h-injective resolution” functor $i: \mathcal{K}A \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_i A$.

Remark 2.18. Here are some facts about p resp. i .

- Since p (resp. i) vanishes on acyclic complexes, it descends to a functor $\mathcal{D}A \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_p A$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}A \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_i A$).
- The localization functor $Q: \mathcal{K}A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}A$ restricts to an equivalence $\mathcal{K}_p A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}A$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_i A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}A$) with left adjoint quasi-inverse p (resp. right adjoint quasi-inverse i).
- In particular, one obtains natural isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}A}(\bullet, Q(\star)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}A}(p(\bullet), \star)$$

resp.

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}A}(\bullet, i(\star)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}A}(Q(\bullet), \star).$$

Corollary 2.19. *For every DG A -module M we have isomorphisms*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}A}(A, M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}A}(pA, M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}A}(A, M) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0 M.$$

Definition 2.20. Given a functor $F: \mathcal{K}A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, its *total left derived functor* $\mathbb{L}F$ is defined as $F \circ p: \mathcal{D}A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Similarly, the *total right derived functor* $\mathbb{R}F$ of F is defined as $F \circ i$.

Notation 2.21. We also write $(\bullet) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X$ instead of $\mathbb{L}((\bullet) \otimes_A X)$.

Remark 2.22. The functors $\mathbb{L}((\bullet) \otimes_A X)$ and $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_B(X, \star)$ are triangulated.

Remark 2.23. There is an adjunction

$$(\bullet) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X : \mathcal{D}A \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}B : \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_B(X, \star).$$

Remark 2.24. Given DG A -modules M and N , we have an isomorphism

$$H^n(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_A(M, N)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}A}(M, N[n]).$$

Now let us recall perfect complexes.

Definition 2.25. The subcategory $\mathrm{per} A$ of *perfect DG A -modules* is the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}A$ containing A and closed under direct summands.

Remark 2.26. In the setting of Example 1.2, perfect DG modules over the DG algebra associated to an ordinary algebra coincide with perfect complexes over that algebra.

Remark 2.27. A DG A -module K is in $\mathrm{per} A$ iff the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}A}(K, \bullet)$ preserves infinite direct sums.

The following principle is very helpful while showing that certain triangulated functors are equivalences.

Proposition 2.28 (infinite dévissage). *A full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}A$ is equal to $\mathcal{D}A$ iff it contains A (seen as a DG module over itself) and is closed under infinite direct sums.*

3 Derived Equivalences

A and B continue to be fixed DG algebras in this section. Furthermore, let X be a DG A - B -bimodule throughout the section.

We start with a criterion for deciding when derived tensor products induce derived equivalences.

Proposition 3.1. *The following are equivalent:*

- i) $(\bullet) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X : \mathcal{D}A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}B$ is an equivalence.
- ii) $(\bullet) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X$ induces an equivalence $\mathrm{per} A \rightarrow \mathrm{per} B$.
- iii) The object $T := A \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X$ satisfies the following:

a) The map

$$H^n A \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}A}(A, A[n]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}B}(T, T[n])$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

b) T is in $\mathrm{per} B$.

c) The smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}B$ containing T and closed under forming direct summands is equal to $\mathrm{per} B$.

Such equivalences of derived categories are often called *standard derived equivalences*.

Proof. “(i) \Rightarrow (ii)”: By the intrinsic characterization of $\text{per } B$ (Remark 2.27), every derived equivalence restricts to an equivalence of subcategories of perfect DG modules.

“(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)”: We see that

- a) follows by the fact that $(\bullet) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X$ fully faithful (on $\mathcal{D}A$),
- b) by the fact that it takes perfect complexes to perfect complexes,
- c) by the fact that $\text{per } B$ is the essential image of $\text{per } A$ under $(\bullet) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X$.

“(iii) \Rightarrow (i)”: We start by showing that $(\bullet) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X$ is fully faithful. For this it is enough to show that the adjunction unit

$$\varphi_M: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_B(X, M \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X)$$

is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}A$ for all DG A -modules M .

Note that $T = A \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X = pA \otimes_A X = A \otimes_A X$ is isomorphic to X seen as a DG B -module. This means in particular that the functor $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_B(X, \star)$ is not only triangulated, but also preserves direct sums since T and hence X is perfect as a DG B -module. Further, also $(\bullet) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X$ is triangulated and preserves direct sums. Hence we can use infinite dévissage (Proposition 2.28) on $\mathcal{D}A$ to reduce the claim to the statement that

$$\varphi_A: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_B(X, A \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X) = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_B(X, T) \cong \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_B(T, T)$$

is an isomorphism.

Under this identification we see that

$$H^n \varphi_A: H^n A \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_B(X, T)) \cong H^n(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_B(T, T))$$

is the isomorphism in (a), so φ_M is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}A$ since it is a quasi-isomorphism on the level of DG modules.

In order to see that $(\bullet) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X$ is essentially surjective, we observe that its essential image is closed under direct summands since it is fully faithful and direct summands correspond to idempotent morphisms. Combining this with the fact that $(\bullet) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X$ is triangulated, (c) yields that $\text{per } B$, hence B is in its essential image. Now infinite dévissage implies that the essential image of $(\bullet) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X$ is whole $\mathcal{D}B$ since it preserves infinite direct sums. \square

Definition 3.2. A DG A -module M is called a *compact generator* of $\mathcal{D}A$ if it is in $\text{per } A$ as an object of $\mathcal{D}A$ and the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}A$ containing M and closed under forming direct summands is equal to $\text{per } A$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of DG algebras. Then f endows B with the structure of a DG A -module, so B becomes a DG A - B -bimodule.*

*Now assume that f is a quasi-isomorphism, i. e. $H^*f: H^*A \rightarrow H^*B$ is an isomorphism. Then $A \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} B \cong B$ satisfies all the conditions in the third part of Proposition 3.1, so $(\bullet) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} B: \mathcal{D}A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}B$ is a derived equivalence.*

Corollary 3.4. *Let M be a homotopically projective compact generator of $\mathcal{D}A$. Then $\mathcal{E}nd_A(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{E}nd_A(M)}^{\mathbb{L}} M \cong M$ satisfies all the conditions in the third part of Proposition 3.1, where the condition (a) is given by the isomorphism*

$$H^n(\mathcal{E}nd_A(M)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}A}(M, M[n]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}A}(M, M[n]) \cong H^n(\mathcal{E}nd_A(M)).$$

Hence $(\bullet) \otimes_{\mathcal{E}nd_A(M)}^{\mathbb{L}} M: \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}nd_A(M)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}A$ is a derived equivalence.

We need an auxiliary construction before we can move on.

Definition 3.5. For a DG algebra A let the DG subalgebra A_- of A be given by

$$(A_-)^p = \begin{cases} Z^0 A & p = 0 \\ A^p & p < 0 \\ 0 & p > 0 \end{cases}.$$

Now we can prove some classical theorems about derived equivalences.

Theorem 3.6. *For (ordinary) algebras R and S the following are equivalent:*

- i) *There is a triangulated equivalence $\mathcal{D}R \rightarrow \mathcal{D}S$.*
- ii) *There is a triangulated equivalence $\mathrm{per} R \rightarrow \mathrm{per} S$.*
- iii) *There is a compact generator T of $\mathcal{D}S$ s. t. $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}S}(T, T) \cong R$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}B}(T, T[n]) \cong 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$*

Such complexes as in part (iii) are sometimes called *tilting complexes*.

Proof. “(i) \Rightarrow (ii)”: Follows from the intrinsic definition of $\mathrm{per} A$ and $\mathrm{per} B$.

“(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)”: Setting T to be the image of R under the equivalence $\mathrm{per} R \rightarrow \mathrm{per} S$ does the job.

“(iii) \Rightarrow (i)”: Since p preserves endomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}A$ and being in $\mathrm{per} A$, we can without loss of generality assume that T is homotopically projective. Then, by Corollary 3.4, we know that there is a triangulated equivalence $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}nd_S(T)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}S$.

Now, since $H^n(\mathcal{E}nd_S(T)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}B}(T, T[n])$ is concentrated in degree 0, the inclusion $(\mathcal{E}nd_S(T))_- \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_S(T)$ induces an isomorphism on homology, so by Corollary 3.3 there is a triangulated equivalence $\mathcal{D}((\mathcal{E}nd_S(T))_-) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}nd_S(T))$.

Similarly, homology induces a morphism $(\mathcal{E}nd_S(T))_- \rightarrow R$ of DG algebras which is an isomorphism on homology by the assumption and the fact that all differentials of R are zero. Invoking Corollary 3.3 again, we obtain a triangulated equivalence $\mathcal{D}((\mathcal{E}nd_S(T))_-) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}(R)$.

In total, we have a chain of triangulated equivalences

$$\mathcal{D}(R) \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}((\mathcal{E}nd_S(T))_-) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}nd_S(T)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}S.$$

□

Note that this proof doesn't work for general DG algebras since it involves truncations and taking homology.

One might ask when triangulated equivalences between derived categories are given by standard equivalences.

Proposition 3.7. *Let R be an algebra, S a flat algebra and $F: \mathcal{D}R \rightarrow \mathcal{D}S$ a triangulated equivalence. Then there exists a complex Y of R - S -bimodules s. t. $(\bullet) \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} Y$ is a triangulated equivalence.*

Proof. Following “(i) \Rightarrow (iii)” in Theorem 3.6, we see that $T := FR$ is compact generator of $\mathcal{D}S$ s. t. $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}B}(T, T) \cong R$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}B}(T, T[n]) \cong 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. We can without loss of generality assume that T is homotopically projective by possibly replacing it with a homotopically projective resolution.

We let $E := (\mathcal{E}nd_S(T))_-$ act on T via restriction along its inclusion to $\mathcal{E}nd_S(T)$. Let T_p be a homotopically projective resolution of T as a DG $(E \otimes_k S)$ -module. We set

$$Y := R \otimes_E T_p,$$

where E acts on R by the (DG) algebra homomorphism $h: E = (\mathcal{E}nd_S(T))_- \rightarrow R$ induced by taking homology.

Next, we want to show that

$$h \otimes_E \text{id}_{T_p}: E \otimes_E T_p \rightarrow R \otimes_E T_p$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes over S , so that we have a chain of quasi-isomorphisms

$$R \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} (R \otimes_E T_p) \sim R \otimes_R (R \otimes_E T_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} R \otimes_E T_p \xleftarrow{\sim} E \otimes_E T_p \xrightarrow{\sim} T_p \xrightarrow{\sim} T.$$

We will do this by showing that its cone is acyclic. Note that the full subcategory of DG E - S -bimodules Z for which $(\bullet) \otimes_E Z$ preserves acyclic objects is triangulated and closed under direct sums. Hence, by infinite dévissage, the statement that $(\bullet) \otimes_E T_p$ preserves acyclicity can be reduced to the fact that

$$(\bullet) \otimes_E (E^{\text{op}} \otimes_k S) \cong (\bullet) \otimes_E (E \otimes_k S)$$

preserves acyclicity, which follows from the fact that S is flat.

Now, by Proposition 3.1, $(\bullet) \otimes_E Y$ is derived equivalence. □

In fact, an analogous statement can be proven assuming that R (but necessarily S) is flat (cf. Theorem 3.13 in [Sch04]).

4 Examples

In this section we deal with some examples with less complete proofs.

Example 4.1. In previous talks we have seen that two orientations of the same Dynkin quiver have equivalent derived categories of representation categories. We will now give an explicit example of such a derived equivalence which is induced by a *tilting module* (aka “tilting complex which is concentrated at degree zero”).

We fix a base field K and consider the orientations

$$Q := (1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3) \text{ and } Q' := (1 \leftarrow 2 \rightarrow 3)$$

of A_3 .

Let $T = P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus S^2$ (where the standard projectives and standard simples are constructed over KQ). First of all, one can compute that

$$\text{End}_{KQ}(T) \cong \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} * & * & * & \\ 0 & * & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & * & \end{array} \right) \right\} \cong KQ'.$$

Next we consider the projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow KQ \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus P_2 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow 0$$

of S_2 .

This sequence means in particular that the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(KQ)$ that contains T and is closed under direct summands also contains KQ since it must contain $P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus P_2$ as a direct summand of T^2 and S_2 a direct summand of T . Moreover, T is quasi-isomorphic to

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow KQ \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \text{incl} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} (P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus P_2) \oplus (P_1 \oplus P_2) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots,$$

which is perfect as bounded complex of projectives. Hence T is a small generator of $\mathcal{D}(KQ)$. Doing some further calculations, one also sees that $\text{Ext}^n(T, T) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$.

Hence, by Proposition 3.1,

$$(\bullet) \otimes_{KQ'}^{\mathbb{L}} T: \mathcal{D}(KQ') \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(KQ)$$

is a derived equivalence.

Remark 4.2. In the situation of Example 4.1, the functor

$$(\bullet) \otimes_{KQ'} T: \text{Mod } -KQ' \rightarrow \text{Mod } -KQ$$

is *not* an equivalence of categories (i. e. *not* a Morita equivalence in the classical sense).

Indeed, Morita equivalences preserve projective objects. Now KQ' is a projective KQ -module, but T is not a projective KQ -module.

Using the techniques we developed, we can give a description of stable categories of certain Frobenius categories as derived categories of certain DG algebras.

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a (k -linear) Frobenius category which has infinite direct sums and whose stable category admits a compact generator X . Then there is a DG algebra A and a triangulated equivalence $\mathcal{D}A \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Proof. We will first replace \mathcal{A} by a category in which endomorphisms can be described via DG algebras: Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be the category of projective-injective “resolution complexes”

$$P = (\dots \rightarrow P^n \xrightarrow{d^n} P^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots),$$

i. e. each object of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is obtained from an object Z of \mathcal{A} by “gluing” a projective resolution

$$\dots \rightarrow Q^{-2} \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} Q^{-1} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Z$$

to an injective resolution

$$Z \xrightarrow{\eta} I^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} I^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

and setting $d^0 = \eta \circ \varepsilon$.

If we equip $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ with the structure of an exact category via componentwise split sequences, the functor sending a resolution to its “underlying object in \mathcal{A} ” induces a triangulated equivalence $\underline{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \underline{\mathcal{A}}$.

Now let $\tilde{X} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be such a resolution of X and define $A := \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(\tilde{X})$. Consider the functor $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\tilde{X}, \bullet) : \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}A$, which vanishes on projectives in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and hence descends to a triangulated functor $F : \underline{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}A$.

Further, F preserves with direct sums since there is a natural isomorphism

$$H^n(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\tilde{X}, \bullet)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}(\tilde{X}, (\bullet)[n])$$

for all n and the latter functor preserves direct sums as \tilde{X} is compact.

Now, similar to the last implication of Proposition 3.1, one can use dévissage arguments on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ to show that F is fully faithful and on $\mathcal{D}A$ to show that F essentially surjective. Hence, in total, we obtain a chain of triangulated equivalences

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}} \xleftarrow{\cong} \underline{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}A.$$

□

References

- [Kel98] Bernhard Keller. On the construction of triangle equivalences. In *Derived equivalences for group rings*, pages 155–176. Berlin: Springer, 1998. Online version available at <http://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~bernhard.keller/publ/pap.dvi>.
- [Sch04] Stefan Schwede. Morita theory in Abelian, derived and stable model categories. In *Structured ring spectra*, pages 33–86. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004. Online version available at <http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/schwede/glasgow.dvi>.