What is...the art of locating roots?

Or: Finding roots without finding them



Every polynomial has complex roots, but calculating roots is hard Question. How can one avoid calculations?



- |g(z)| < |f(z)| for all |z| < 2 f(2) = 32
- $f + g = z^5 4z + 2$ has all of its zeros in the disc of radius 2 Located!

 $f = a_n \cdot z^n + ... + a_0$ with real $a_n \ge ... \ge a_0 \ge 0$ Non-negative with order



- $f = 10 \cdot z^5 + 9 \cdot z^4 + 8 \cdot z^3 + 8 \cdot z^2 + 2 \cdot z + 1$
- ▶ $10 \ge 9 \ge 8 \ge 8 \ge 2 \ge 1$ Order!
- ▶ *f* has all of its zeros in the disc of radius 1 Located!

Enter, the theorems/philosophy!

Do not calculate roots - locate them!

Some examples

- ► Rouché With dominating *f*
- Original Eneström–Kakeya Non-negative with order
- Strengthened Eneström–Kakeya: f with coefficients a_j ∈ ℝ_{≥0} has roots in an annulus min a_j/a_{j+1} ≤ |z| ≤ max a_j/a_{j+1} Non-negative real
- ► Reversed Eneström–Kakeya: f with real $a_0 \ge ... \ge a_n \ge 0$ has roots in $1 \le |z|$ Non-negative with order
- ► Joyal-Labelle-Rahman: f with real $a_0 \ge ... \ge a_n$ has roots in $|z| \le (a_n - a_0 + |a_0|)/|a_n|$ With order
- ▶ Many more formulas can be found in the link in the description

Rouché (green, $|z| \le \max a_i + 1$) vs. strengthened Eneström–Kakeya (blue)



The efficiency of location depends on the polynomial

Thank you for your attention!

I hope that was of some help.