

**What is...the universal coefficient theorem?**

---

Or: Working integrally rocks

## Universal coefficient theorem (UCT): Mind your numbers!

---

$$\dots \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \Rightarrow H_n \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$\dots \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{0} \underline{\mathbb{Q}} \Rightarrow H_n \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$\dots \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \Rightarrow H_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Underline =  $C_0$

---

- ▶  $H_*$  depends on the input space and the “types of numbers” used
- ▶ The UCT explains how different types of numbers are related

## Chain complexes with coefficients

---

$$\dots \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{-2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{-2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \underline{\mathbb{Z}} = C_*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)$$

$$\dots \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{-2} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{-2} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{0} \underline{\mathbb{Q}} = C_*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\dots \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{-2} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{-2} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} = C_*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Underline =  $C_0$

---

- ▶  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R, 1 \mapsto 1$  gives a way to interpret integers as elements of any ring  $R$
- ▶ Formally this can be encoded using  $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$
- ▶ We get  $C_*(X, R) = C_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$  chain complexes with coefficients
- ▶ Some numbers will become invertible or zero (divisors) in  $C_*(X, R)$

## Homology with coefficients

---

$$H_n(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$H_n(\mathbb{R}P^\infty, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \cong H_n(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{Same}$$

$$H_n(\mathbb{R}P^\infty, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$H_n(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ or } n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad \text{Different}$$

- 
- ▶ We get  $H_*(X, R)$  = homology of  $C_*(X, R)$  homology with coefficients
  - ▶ We could also naively change coefficients  $H_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$
  - ▶ The UCT **measures the difference** between  $H_*(X, R)$  and  $H_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$

## For completeness: A formal definition

---

For any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $R$  singular homology satisfies

- ▶ There exists an **exact** sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (H_n(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R) \rightarrow H_n(X, R) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(X), R) \rightarrow 0$$

- ▶ This sequence **splits** (not naturally)
- ▶ We have a **direct sum** decomposition

$$H_n(X, R) \cong (H_n(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R) \oplus \text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(X), R)$$

- 
- ▶  $\mathbb{Z}$  is hence the “universal” coefficient group
  - ▶  $\text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(X), R)$  measures how far  $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} -$  is from being exact
  - ▶ There is also a version for cohomology
  - ▶ This is a statement “in algebra” and holds more generally

## Tor in a bit more detail

---

$\text{Tor}(A, B)$  is the homology of any free resolution of  $A$  tensored with  $B$

---

Tor measures the failure of  $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} -$  being exact:

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0 \text{ exact} \Rightarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, D) \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} D \rightarrow 0 \text{ exact}$$

---

Basic tools for computing Tor:

- ▶  $\text{Tor}(A, B) \cong \text{Tor}(B, A)$  **Commutative**
- ▶  $\text{Tor}(\bigoplus_i A_i, B) \cong \bigoplus_i \text{Tor}(A_i, B)$  **Additive**
- ▶  $\text{Tor}(A, B) \cong 0$  if  $A$  or  $B$  is torsionfree **Often trivial**, e.g.  $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}, B) \cong 0$
- ▶  $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, B) \cong \ker(B \xrightarrow{\cdot n} B)$  **Torsion**, e.g.  $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$

**Thank you for your attention!**

---

I hope that was of some help.