# 6. Constructible sheaves I

#### Lezhi Liu

#### May 13, 2024

#### Abstract

In this talk, we will first introduce stratifications and filtrations by smooth varieties, with a list of examples. Then we will define constructible sheaves, the category  $D_c^b(X)$ , and study some of their properties.

### 1 Stratifications and filtrations by smooth varieties

Recall that our varieties are defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let X be a variety, and let  $(X_s)_{s \in \mathscr{S}}$  be a finite collection of disjoint, smooth, connected, and locally closed subvarieties such that  $X = \bigsqcup_{s \in \mathscr{S}} X_s$ . Then

(1) if we can order  $\mathscr{S} = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$  so that  $X_{s_1} \sqcup ... \sqcup X_{s_i}$  is closed for each *i*, then we say  $(X_s)_{s \in \mathscr{S}}$  is a filtration of X by smooth varieties.

(2) if for any  $s, t \in \mathscr{S}$ ,  $\overline{X_s} \cap X_t$  is either empty or  $X_t$ , then we say  $(X_s)_{s \in \mathscr{S}}$  is a (algebraic) stratification of X.

The subvarieties  $X_s$  are called strata.

**Remark.** Since X is Noetherian, it always admits a filtration by smooth varieties: we can first take a smooth connected open subset of X, then apply Noetherian induction to its complement (By Noetherian induction we mean the principle that in order to show a property P holds for a noetherian topological space X, we may assume that all proper closed subsets of X satisfies P).

**Remark.** If  $(X_s)_{s \in \mathscr{S}}$  is a stratification, we define the closure partial order on  $\mathscr{S}$  by

$$t \leq s \iff X_t \subset \overline{X_s}$$

Every stratification is automatically a filtration by smooth varieties by choosing any total order on  $\mathscr{S}$  that refines the closure partial order.

**Example 1.1** (trivial stratification). If X is a smooth and connected variety, then we have the **trivial** stratification on X, defined in the obvious way.

**Example 1.2** (cell decomposition of  $\mathbb{CP}^N$ ). We have a stratification of  $\mathbb{CP}^N$  by

$$\mathbb{CP}^{N} = \mathbb{C}^{N} \sqcup \mathbb{C}^{N-1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \mathbb{C} \sqcup \{pt\}$$

where  $m \leq n \iff \mathbb{C}^m \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}^n}$  for  $m, n \leq N$ .

**Example 1.3** (cell decomposition for Grassmannians). (See [AF23, Chapter9] for more details) Denote by G(d, n) the set of d-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Recall that G(d, n) is a projective variety of dimension  $d \times (n-d)$ . We will define a stratification on G(d, n). Fix a flag  $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset ... \subset E_n = \mathbb{C}^n$  with dim  $E_q = q$ . Given a partition  $\lambda = (n - d \ge \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge ... \ge \lambda_d \ge 0)$ , the Schubert cell  $\Omega^{\lambda}_{\lambda}$  is the set of subspaces

$$\{F \subset \mathbb{C}^n \mid \dim(F \cap E_q) = k \text{ for } q \in [n - d + k - \lambda_k, n - d + k - \lambda_{k+1}], k = 0, .., d\}$$
(1)

where we set  $\lambda_0 = n - d$ ,  $\lambda_{d+1} = 0$ .

The Schubert cells  $\Omega_{\lambda}^{\circ}$  are obviously disjoint for distinct  $\lambda$ 's, and we have

$$G(d,n) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda} \Omega^{\circ}_{\lambda}.$$
 (2)

It is also not hard to check that these Schubert cells are connected and smooth (they are in fact isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^{n(n-d)-\sum \lambda_i}$ , see the remark below). The closures  $\Omega_{\lambda} := \overline{\Omega_{\lambda}^{\circ}}$  are called **Schubert varieties**. They are described by replacing equalities by inequalities in the dimension conditions:

$$\Omega_{\lambda} := \overline{\Omega_{\lambda}^{\circ}} = \{ F \subset \mathbb{C}^n \mid \dim(F \cap E_{n-d+k-\lambda_k}) \ge k \text{ for } , k = 1, .., d \}$$
(3)

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5

1 | 2 | 3

1

Next, we illustrate the closure partial order using the Young diagrams. Let  $\lambda$  be a partition, say

 $\lambda = (5,3,1,1),$  then the corresponding young diagram is given by

The closure partial order is given by  $\mu \leq \lambda \iff$  the Young diagram of  $\mu$  covers  $\lambda$ . Then the condition (3) translates to

$$\Omega_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\mu \le \lambda} \Omega^{\circ}_{\mu} \tag{4}$$

 $1 \mid 2$ 

1

So we get a stratification on G(d, n).

**Example 1.4** (the case of G(2,4)). We look more closely at the simple case G(2,4). There are in total 6 partitions, (2,2), (2,1), (2,0), (1,1), (1,0), (0,0) whose Young diagrams are  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ 



The corresponding Schubert cells can be represented in matrix forms as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ * & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 0 \\ * & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

**Remark.** In general, we have a bijection between partitions  $\lambda = (n - d \ge \lambda_1 \ge ... \ge \lambda_d \ge 0)$  with subsets  $I = \{i_1 < ... < i_d\} \subset \{1, ..., n\}$  of size d. The bijection is given by

$$i_k = k + \lambda_{d+1-k} \tag{6}$$

If we choose the standard basis  $e_1, ..., e_n$  and fix the flag (as we already did in the example of G(d,n))  $\langle e_n \rangle \subset \langle e_{n-1}, e_n \rangle \subset ... \subset \langle e_1, ... e_n \rangle = \mathbb{C}^n$ , then we have

$$\Omega_{\lambda}^{\circ} = B^{-} \cdot F_{\lambda} \tag{7}$$

where  $F_{\lambda}$  is the subspace spanned by  $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$  and  $B^- \subset GL_n$  is the set of lower triangular matrices(!). This exactly tells us what (5) will look like in general.

**Example 1.5** (Bruhat decomposition). (See, for example [Hum12] for background on reductive groups) Let G be a complex reductive group. We fix a pair  $T \subset B$  where T is a maximal torus and B is a Borel subgroup containing T. As an example to keep in mind, we may take G to be  $GL_n$ , T to be invertible diagonal matrices, and B to be invertible lower triangular matrices. Then we have the **Bruhat decomposition** for G:

$$G = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} BwB \tag{8}$$

where W = N(T)/T is the **Weyl group** of G. Passing to the flag variety G/B, we have

$$G/B = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} BwB/B \tag{9}$$

More generally, we say a closed subgroup  $P \subset G$  is **parabolic** if  $B \subset P$  for some Borel subgroup. Equivalently this means G/P is a projective variety. Then from (9) we get a decomposition for G/P:

$$G/P = \bigsqcup_{w \in W/W_P} BwP/P \tag{10}$$

where  $W_P$  is a subgroup of W determined by P. In fact, (9) (10) are both stratifications (the strata are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^{l(w)}$  for some number l(w) which we will not define here).

We can connect this to the example of Grassmannians. Take  $G = GL_n$ , and recall that it has a transitive left action on G(d, n). Choose a point  $p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_d \end{pmatrix}$ . Its stabilizer is the parabolic subgroup

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

where A and D are of size  $(n-d) \times (n-d)$  and  $d \times d$  respectively. We have  $G/P \cong G(d, n)$  as varieties, and we can check that (2) and (10) give rise to the same stratification. For example, if d = 2 and n = 4, then  $W \cong S_4$  and  $W_P \cong S_2 \times S_2$ , so we have exactly 6 strata on both sides.

**Remark.** If the strata of a stratification are all affine varieties, then we say the stratification is an **affine** paving. So far the examples are all affine pavings, except the first one.

**Example 1.6** (action by an algebraic group). Let G be a connected algebraic group acting on a variety X. Then every orbit is a smooth, connected, locally closed subvariety whose boundary is a union of orbits of lower dimension. Therefore we have a stratification on X. All previous examples are special cases of this, except probably the first one.

**Example 1.7** (Whitney umbrella). As an example of a stratification that does not come from an algebraic group action, consider  $X = V(x^2 - zy^2) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ . The singular locus of X is given by the z-axis  $\{x = y = 0\}$ , and we have a stratification  $X = X_{smooth} \sqcup X_{sing}$ . But  $X_{sing}$  cannot be the orbit of an algebraic group action since the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,origin}$  is different from other points on the z-axis (compare the completion of the local rings). This example shows that 'equisingularity' along strata may fail.

**Example 1.8** (filtration by smooth varieties  $\Rightarrow$  stratification). Let [x : y : z] be the homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ . Then the subvarieties V(y),  $V(z) \cap D(y)$ , and  $D(y) \cap D(z)$  constitute a filtration of  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  by smooth varieties. But this is not a stratification as  $\overline{V(z)} \cap D(y) = V(z)$ 

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathscr{S}$  and  $\mathscr{T}$  be two filtrations of X by smooth varieties. Then  $\mathscr{S}$  is a **refinement** of  $\mathscr{T}$  if each stratum of  $\mathscr{S}$  is contained in a stratum of  $\mathscr{T}$ .

Despite the last example, the following should not be surprising.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let X be a variety. Then

(1) Any filtration of X by smooth varieties admits a refinement that is a stratification.

(2) Any two stratifications of X admits a common refinement.

(3) Let  $Y \subset X$  be a locally closed subvariety. Then there exists a stratification of X such that Y is a union of strata.

For example, we can refine Example 1.8 to the stratification given by  $V(y) \cap V(z)$ ,  $V(y) \cap D(z)$ ,  $V(z) \cap D(y)$ , and  $D(y) \cap D(z)$ .

### 2 Constructible sheaves

Now we come to the important concept of constructibility.

**Definition 2.1.** Let X be a variety, and let  $(X_s)_{\mathscr{S}}$  be a stratification of X.

(1) A sheaf  $\mathscr{F} \in Sh(X)$  is said to be constructible with respect to  $\mathscr{S}$  if for each  $s \in \mathscr{S}$ , the restriction  $\mathscr{F}|_{X_s}$  is a local system of finite type. A sheaf  $\mathscr{F}$  is constructible if it is constructible with respect to some stratification of X.

(2) We say an object  $\mathcal{F} \in D^b(X)$  is constructible with respect to  $\mathscr{S}$  (or just constructible) if each cohomology sheaf  $H^k(\mathcal{F})$  has the same property. The full subcategory of  $D^b(X)$  consisting of such objects is denoted by  $D^b_{\mathscr{S}}(X)$  (or  $D^b_c(X)$ )

**Remark.** It is clear that an object  $\mathcal{F}$  is constructible with respect to  $\mathscr{S}$  if for each  $X_s$  we have

$$\mathcal{F}|_{X_s} \in D^b_{locf}(X_s) \tag{11}$$

where  $D^b_{locf}(X_s)$  is the full subcategory of  $D^b(X_s)$  consisting of objects  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $H^i(\mathcal{G}) \in Loc^{ft}(X_s)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a variety.

(1) For any stratification  $\mathscr{S}$  of X, the category  $D^b_{\mathscr{S}}(X)$  is a full triangulated subcategory of  $D^b(X)$ . (2) The category  $D^b_c(X)$  is also a full triangulated subcategory of  $D^b(X)$ .

We will show(in this and subsequent talks) that various sheaf operations preserve constructibility.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a morphism of varieties. Then for any  $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)$ , we have  $f^*\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(Y)$ .

Proof. Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is constructible with respect to  $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathscr{T}}$ . By Lemma 1.1 we can choose a stratification  $(X_s)_{s \in \mathscr{F}}$  of X such that each preimage  $f^{-1}(Y_t)$  is a union of strata. By assumption  $\mathcal{F}|_{Y_t}$  is a bounded complex with locally constant cohomology sheaves of finite type, so the same is true for  $f^*\mathcal{F}|_{f^{-1}(Y_t)}$ , and hence is true for each  $f^*\mathcal{F}|_{X_s}$ . Therefore  $f^*\mathcal{F}$  is constructible.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $h: Y \to X$  be an inclusion of a locally closed subvariety. For any  $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)$ , we have  $h_1\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)$ . In particular, if Y is closed, then  $h_*\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is constructible with respect to some stratification  $\mathscr{S}$  for which Y is a union of strata. If  $X_s \subset Y$ , then  $(h_!\mathcal{F})|_{X_s} \cong \mathcal{F}|_{X_s}$ , and this lies in  $D^b_{locf}(X)$  by assumption. If  $X_s \nsubseteq Y$ , then  $(h_!\mathcal{F})|_{X_s} = 0$  since  $X_s \cap Y = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $\mathscr{S}$  be a stratification of X. If  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are objects in  $D^b_{\mathscr{S}}(X)$ , then so is  $\mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{G}$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are objects in  $D^b_c(X)$ , then so is  $\mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{G}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(\mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{G})|_{X_s} \cong (\mathcal{F}|_{X_s}) \otimes^L (\mathcal{G}|_{X_s})$ , it suffices to prove the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let X be a smooth and connected variety. If  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are objects in  $D^b_{locf}(X)$ , then so is  $\mathcal{F} \otimes^L \mathcal{G}$ .

Proof. Because  $D^b_{locf}(X)$  is a full triangulated subcategory, we may use truncation and induction on the number of nonzero cohomology sheaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ . So we can reduce to the case where  $\mathcal{F}$  is just a sheaf (i.e., a local system of finite type). By choosing an open neighborhood of each point where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a constant sheaf, we may assume  $\mathcal{F} = \underline{M}$  for some  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space M. In particular, M is free, and  $\otimes^L$  is just the usual tensor product. So our result follows from the isomorphism ([Ach21, Proposition1.4.4])  $\underline{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}$ .

Finally we recall some results from algebraic geometry. Recall from Talk 2 or [Ach21, Section1.2] that a continuous map  $f: X \to Y$  is **proper** if it is universally closed. If X and Y are locally compact, then the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) f is proper

- (2) If  $K \subset Y$  is compact, then the set  $f^{-1}(K)$  is also compact
- (3) f is a closed map, and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact for every point  $y \in Y$ .

We also have the notion of a **proper morphism** between schemes. In the case of varieties, this will imply our definition above of a proper map between locally compact spaces.

**Theorem 2.6** (Nagata's compactification theorem). Let  $X \to Y$  be a morphism of varieties. There exists a variety  $\tilde{X}$ , an open embedding  $j : X \to \tilde{X}$ , and a proper morphism  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to Y$  such that the following is commutative:



See [Ach21, Section2.1] for more discussion and references for this and the Ehresmann's fibration theorem below.

**Definition 2.2.** Let X, Y be smooth manifolds. A differentiable map  $f : X \to Y$  is said to be a **differentiable locally trivial fibration** (with fiber F) if for each  $y \in Y$ , there is a neighborhood  $y \in U$  and a diffeomorphism  $b : f^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} F \times U$  such that  $pr_2 \circ b = f|_{f^{-1}(U)}$ , where  $pr_2$  is the projection from  $F \times U$  to U.

**Theorem 2.7** (Ehresmann's fibration theorem). Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a smooth, surjective, proper morphism of smooth varieties. Then f is a differentiable locally trivial fibration.

## References

- [Hum12] James E Humphreys. Linear algebraic groups. Vol. 21. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [Ach21] Pramod N Achar. Perverse sheaves and applications to representation theory. Vol. 258. American Mathematical Soc., 2021.
- [AF23] David Anderson and William Fulton. *Equivariant cohomology in algebraic geometry*. Cambridge University Press, 2023.