

---

# Brown Representability

Analena Kamm

---

**Theorem 1** (Brown Representability). Every reduced cohomology theory on  $\mathbf{CW}_*$  is of the form  $h^*(X) = [X, K_n]$  for some  $\Omega$ -spectrum  $\{K_n\}$ , which is unique up to homotopy equivalence, and all pointed CW-complexes  $X$ .

**Remark.** *Brown Representability supplies us with another proof that singular cohomology is representable by maps into Eilenberg-MacLane-spaces: For  $\{K_n\}$  an  $\Omega$ -spectrum representing  $H^*(-; G)$ , we get:*

$$\pi_i(K_n) = [S^i, K_n] = \begin{cases} \tilde{H}^n(S^i; G) & \text{for } i = n, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.** A reduced cohomology theory on  $\mathbf{CW}_*$  is a sequence of functors  $h^n : \mathbf{CW}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  with natural isomorphisms  $h^n(X) \cong h^{n+1}(\Sigma X)$  for all  $X$  such that:

- *homotopy axiom:*  $f \simeq g : X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow f^* = g^* : h^n(Y) \rightarrow h^n(X)$ .
- *exactness axiom:*  $A \hookrightarrow X$  inclusion  $\Rightarrow h^n(X/A) \rightarrow h^n(X) \rightarrow h^n(A)$  is exact.
- *wedge axiom:* Let  $X = \bigvee_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$  with  $i_{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \rightarrow X$  the inclusions, then there is an isomorphism  $\prod_{\alpha} i_{\alpha}^* : h^n(X) \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha} h^n(X_{\alpha})$ .

**Remark.** *Equivalently, a reduced cohomology theory is a sequence of contravariant functors  $\tilde{h}^n : \mathbf{CW}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  together with natural homomorphisms  $\delta : \tilde{h}^n(A) \rightarrow \tilde{h}^{n+1}(X/A)$  for CW-pairs  $(X, A)$  satisfying the homotopy axiom, the wedge axiom as well as admitting a long exact sequence of cohomology groups.*

*You can get a (unreduced) cohomology theory  $h$  from a reduced one  $\tilde{h}$  via defining  $h(X, A) = \tilde{h}(X/A)$ .*

**Lemma 3.** Let  $K$  be fixed. Then  $h(X) := [X, K]$  is a contravariant functor  $h : \mathbf{CW}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{sets}$  satisfying the homotopy, exactness and wedge axioms as well as the *Mayer-Vietoris axiom*:

Let  $X = A \cup B$  be a CW-complex, where  $A, B$  both contain the basepoint. If there exist  $a \in h(A)$  and  $b \in h(B)$  such that  $a|_{A \cap B} = b|_{A \cap B} \in h(A \cap B)$ , then there exists an  $x \in h(X)$  with  $x|_A = a$  and  $x|_B = b$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $K$  be a connected CW-complex,  $u \in h(K)$ , where  $h$  satisfies the homotopy, wedge and Mayer-Vietoris axioms.  $(K, u)$  is called  $n$ -universal if  $T_u: \pi_i(K) \rightarrow h(S^i)$ ,  $T_u(f) = f^*(u)$  is an isomorphism for all  $i < n$  and surjective for  $i = n$ .

It is  $\pi_*$ -universal if it is  $n$ -universal for all  $n$ .

**Lemma 5.** Given  $(Z, z)$  with  $Z$  conn. CW-complex,  $z \in h(Z)$ ,  $h$  as above, there exists a  $\pi_*$ -universal  $(K, U)$  such that  $Z \subseteq K$  is a subcomplex and  $u|_Z = z$ .

**Lemma 6.** Let  $h$  as above,  $(K, u)$   $\pi_*$ -universal,  $(X, A)$  a CW-pair. Then for all  $x \in h(X)$  and  $f: A \rightarrow K$  with  $f^*(u) = x|_A$ , there exists  $g: X \rightarrow K$  extending  $f$  with  $g^*(u) = x$ .

**Theorem 7.** For  $h: \mathbf{CW}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{sets}_*$  a contravariant functor satisfying the homotopy, Mayer-Vietoris and wedge axioms, there exists a connected CW-complex  $K$  and  $u \in h(K)$  such that  $T_u: [X, K] \rightarrow h(X)$ ,  $T_u(f) = f^*(u)$  is a bijection for all  $X$ .  $(K, u)$  is called *universal* for  $h$ .

**Remark.** We note the following facts:

- i) The universal pair is unique up to homotopy.
- ii) The wedge axiom implies that  $h(pt) = 0$ .
- iii) The homotopy, wedge and Mayer-Vietoris axioms together imply the exactness axiom.
- iv) We can use the bijection  $T_u: [\Sigma Y, K] \rightarrow h(\Sigma Y)$  to define a group structure on  $h(\Sigma Y)$ .

## Proofs

### Proof of Theorem 1

As  $h^n(X) \cong h^{n+1}(\Sigma X)$  in any cohomology theory and  $\Sigma X$  connected, it is enough to prove that the statement holds true for connected CW-complexes. In the end, we can then use the properties of an  $\Omega$ -spectrum to see the following:

$$h^n(X) \cong h^{n+1}(\Sigma X) \cong [\Sigma X, K_{n+1}] \cong [X, \Omega K_{n+1}] \cong [X, K_n].$$

As each  $h^n$  satisfies the requirements of Theorem 7, we get CW-complexes  $K_n$  with  $h^n(X) \cong [X, K_n]$ . It remains to show that these CW-complexes actually form an  $\Omega$ -spectrum, i.e. that we get weak homotopy equivalences  $K_n \rightarrow \Omega K_{n+1}$ .

The isomorphism  $h^n(X) \cong h^{n+1}(\Sigma X)$  corresponds to a natural isomorphism  $\phi: [X, K_n] \rightarrow [\Sigma X, K_{n+1}] \rightarrow [X, \Omega K_{n+1}]$ . Naturality means that we get the following commutative diagram for all  $f: X \rightarrow K_n$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [K_n, K_n] & \xrightarrow{f^*} & [X, K_n] \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi \\ [K_n, \Omega K_{n+1}] & \xrightarrow{f^*} & [X, \Omega K_{n+1}]. \end{array}$$

We define  $\varepsilon_n := \phi(id): K_n \rightarrow \Omega K_{n+1}$  and calculate:

$$\phi(f) = \phi(f \circ id) = \phi \circ f^*(id) = f^* \circ \phi(id) = f^*(\varepsilon_n) = \varepsilon_n \circ f.$$

Thus  $\phi: [K_n, K_n] \rightarrow [K_n, \Omega K_{n+1}]$  is given by composition with  $\varepsilon_n$ . We use  $X = S^k$  and the fact that  $\phi$  is a bijection to conclude that  $\varepsilon_n$  induces isomorphisms on all homotopy groups, so it is a weak homotopy equivalence. One still has to check that we preserve group structure throughout.  $\square$

### Proof of Lemma 5 (Sketch)

We construct  $(K, u)$  from  $(Z, z)$  inductively.

We set  $K_1 := Z \vee (\bigvee_{\alpha} S^1)$ , where the  $\alpha$  range over  $h(S^1)$ . Via the wedge axiom, we get an isomorphism  $i_Z \times (\prod_{\alpha} i_{\alpha}): h(K_1) \rightarrow h(Z) \times (\prod_{\alpha} h(S^1))$ . Thus, there exists  $u_1 \in h(K_1)$  such that  $u|_Z = z$  and  $u|_{S^1_{\alpha}} = \alpha$ . Then,  $(K_1, u_1)$  is 1-universal.

We assume now we have constructed  $(K_n, u_n)$   $n$ -universal with  $Z \subseteq K_n$  and  $u_n|_Z = z$ . We represent elements in the kernel of  $T_{u_n}: \pi_n(K_n) \rightarrow h(S^n)$  by  $f_{\alpha}: S^n \rightarrow K_n$  and define  $f := \bigvee_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}: \bigvee_{\alpha} S^n \rightarrow K_n$ . The reduced mapping cylinder  $Mf$  deformation retracts onto  $K_n$ , so we can regard  $u_n \in h(Mf)$ , but then clearly  $u_n|_{\bigvee_{\alpha} S^n} = 0$  by the definition of  $f$ . Via the exactness axiom and using that  $Cf = Mf / \bigvee_{\alpha} S^n$  the following sequence is exact:

$$h(Cf) \rightarrow h(Mf) \rightarrow h\left(\bigvee_{\alpha} S^n\right).$$

We get  $\omega \in h(Cf)$  with  $\omega \mapsto u_n$  and set  $K_{n+1} := Cf \vee (\bigvee_{\beta} S_{\beta}^{n+1})$ , where  $\beta \in h(S^{n+1})$ . Using the wedge axiom, we can find  $u_{n+1} \in h(K_{n+1})$  that restricts to  $\omega \in h(Cf)$  and  $\beta \in h(S_{\beta}^{n+1})$ . We claim that this is  $(n+1)$ -connected. For this, consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i(K_n) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \pi_i(K_{n+1}) \\ & \searrow T_{u_n} & \swarrow T_{u_{n+1}} \\ & & h(S^i) \end{array}$$

The upper map is an isomorphism for  $i < n$  and surjective for  $i = n$ , as we construct  $K_{n+1}$  from  $K_n$  by attaching  $(n+1)$ -cells. The same properties hold for  $T_{u_n}$ , as  $(K_n, u_n)$  is  $n$ -universal, so by commutativity the same holds for  $T_{u_{n+1}}$ . We can also see that  $T_{u_{n+1}}$  is injective for  $i = n$ : An element in the kernel of  $T_{u_{n+1}}$  pulls back to an element in the kernel of  $T_{u_n} \subseteq \pi_i(K_n)$  via surjectivity of the upper map and commutativity of the diagram. However, we have constructed  $K_{n+1}$  by attaching cells for all elements in the kernel of  $T_{u_n}$ , so this is trivial. Also, for  $i = n+1$ ,  $T_{u_{n+1}}$  is surjective by construction.

We can now define  $K := \bigcup K_n$ . We use a mapping telescope argument to show that there exists  $u \in h(K)$  such that  $u|_{K_n} = u_n$ . Via a similar argument as above, we see that  $(K, u)$  is  $\pi_*$ -universal.  $\square$

### Proof of Lemma 6

Wlog we can assume that  $K$  is the reduced mapping cylinder, and thus  $f$  is the inclusion of a subcomplex. We define  $Z := X \cup_A K$ . Via Mayer-Vietoris, we get  $z \in h(Z)$  such that  $z|_X = x$  and  $z|_K = u$ .

We can embed  $(Z, z)$  into  $(K', u')$  which is  $\pi_*$ -universal. The inclusion  $(K, u) \rightarrow (K', u')$  induces isomorphisms on homotopy groups as both are  $\pi_*$ -universal, so  $K'$  deformation retracts onto  $K$ . The deformation retract induces a homotopy relative  $A$  of  $X \hookrightarrow K'$  to  $g: X \rightarrow K$ . By the homotopy, clearly  $g^*(u) = x$  holds since  $u'|_K = u$  and  $u'|_X = x$ .  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 7

It suffices to show that if  $(K, u)$  is  $\pi_*$ -universal, then  $(K, u)$  is already universal, as we know by Lemma 5 that there exists a  $\pi_*$ -universal  $(K, u)$ , i.e. such that  $T_u: \pi_i(K) \rightarrow h(S^i)$ ,  $f \mapsto f^*(u)$  is an isomorphism for all  $i$ . We apply Lemma 6 with  $A = pt$  and varying  $x \in X$  to see that  $T_u: [X, K] \rightarrow h(X)$  is surjective.

For injectivity, suppose  $T_u(f_0) = T_u(f_1)$ , i.e.  $f_0^*(u) = f_1^*(u)$ . Now, we apply Lemma 6 with  $(X \times I / (pt \times I), X \times \delta I / (pt \times \delta I))$  with map  $f_0 \sqcup f_1$  on  $X \times \delta I$ , and  $x = p^* f_0^*(u) = p^* f_1^*(u)$  where  $p: X \times I / (pt \times I) \rightarrow X$  is the reduced projection:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(X \times \delta I / (pt \times \delta I), x) & \xrightarrow{f_0 \sqcup f_1} & (K, u) \\
\downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\
(X \times I / (pt \times I), x) & & 
\end{array}$$

The dashed map that we get is exactly the desired homotopy from  $f_0$  to  $f_1$ .  $\square$