

Chern and Pontrjagin numbers

C. Hobohm

13. Mai 2020

Definition

A partition of k is an unordered sequence

$$I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$$

with $\sum_{j=1}^r i_j = k$.

Definition

A partition of k is an unordered sequence

$$I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$$

with $\sum_{j=1}^r i_j = k$.

Juxtaposition

We define the juxtaposition of $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ (a partition of k) and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_s)$ (a partition of l) to be

$$IJ = (i_1, \dots, i_r, j_1, \dots, j_s)$$

(a partition of $k + l$).

This Operation is:

- 1 associative
- 2 commutative
- 3 has an identity element (the partition of zero/empty partition)

This Operation is:

- ① associative
- ② commutative
- ③ has an identity element (the partition of zero/empty partition)

Refinement

A refinement of $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ is a partition of the form $l_1 \cdot l_2 \cdot \dots \cdot l_r$, where l_j is a partition of i_j .

Example: $(1, 1)(3)(1, 1, 2) = (1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3)$ is a refinement of $(2, 3, 4)$.

Denote the total number of partitions of n by $p(n)$.

Let K^n be a compact complex manifold of dimension n .

Definition

For $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ a partition of n , we define the I -th Chern number:

$$c_I[K^n] = \langle c_{i_1}(\tau^n) \cdots c_{i_r}(\tau^n), \mu_{2n} \rangle$$

where τ^n denotes the tangent bundle of K^n and μ_{2n} the fundamental homology class induced by the preferred orientation.

If I is not a partition of n , set $c_I[K^n] = 0$.

$\mathbb{C}P^n$

Reminder

In Chapter 14 we have seen that the i -th Chern class is:

$$c_i(\tau^n) = \binom{n+1}{i} a^i$$

and that $\langle a^n, \mu_{2n} \rangle = 1$.

Reminder

In Chapter 14 we have seen that the i -th Chern class is:

$$c_i(\tau^n) = \binom{n+1}{i} a^i$$

and that $\langle a^n, \mu_{2n} \rangle = 1$.

Hence for any $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ a partition of n we get

$$c_I[K^n] = \binom{n+1}{i_1} \cdots \binom{n+1}{i_r}$$

Observations

$$c_n[K^n] = \langle c_n(\tau^n), \mu_{2n} \rangle = \xi(K^n)$$

which means, the only Chern number for $n = 1$ is the Euler characteristic.

Observations

$$c_n[K^n] = \langle c_n(\tau^n), \mu_{2n} \rangle = \xi(K^n)$$

which means, the only Chern number for $n = 1$ is the Euler characteristic. For $n = 2$ we have only one other Chern number $c_1 c_1[K^2]$.

Observations

$$c_n[K^n] = \langle c_n(\tau^n), \mu_{2n} \rangle = \xi(K^n)$$

which means, the only Chern number for $n = 1$ is the Euler characteristic. For $n = 2$ we have only one other Chern number $c_1 c_1[K^2]$. In general there are $p(n)$ different Chern numbers, which are linearly independent.

Observations

$$c_n[K^n] = \langle c_n(\tau^n), \mu_{2n} \rangle = \xi(K^n)$$

which means, the only Chern number for $n = 1$ is the Euler characteristic.

For $n = 2$ we have only one other Chern number $c_1 c_1[K^2]$.

In general there are $p(n)$ different Chern numbers, which are linearly independent.

This is meant in the sense, that there is no linear relation between them, that is satisfied for all n -manifolds.

Basis

Observation

$H^{2n}(G_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty); \mathbb{Z})$ is precisely the free abelian module generated by the Chern numbers.

Basis

Observation

$H^{2n}(G_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty); \mathbb{Z})$ is precisely the free abelian module generated by the Chern numbers.

We can classify τ^n by a map $K^n \xrightarrow{f} G_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ with $f^*(\gamma^n) = \tau^n$.

Basis

Observation

$H^{2n}(G_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty); \mathbb{Z})$ is precisely the free abelian module generated by the Chern numbers.

We can classify τ^n by a map $K^n \xrightarrow{f} G_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ with $f^*(\gamma^n) = \tau^n$.

Now given the fundamental class μ_{2n} we want to have a look at $f_*(\mu_{2n}) \in H_{2n}(G_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty); \mathbb{Z})$.

Basis

Observation

$H^{2n}(G_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty); \mathbb{Z})$ is precisely the free abelian module generated by the Chern numbers.

We can classify τ^n by a map $K^n \xrightarrow{f} G_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ with $f^*(\gamma^n) = \tau^n$.

Now given the fundamental class μ_{2n} we want to have a look at $f_*(\mu_{2n}) \in H_{2n}(G_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty); \mathbb{Z})$.

Observation \implies we can just compute all

$$\langle c_{i_1}(\gamma^n) \dots c_{i_r}(\gamma^n), f_*(\mu_{2n}) \rangle = \langle f^*(c_{i_1}(\gamma^n) \dots c_{i_r}(\gamma^n)), \mu_{2n} \rangle = c_I[K^n].$$

Let M^{4n} be a smooth oriented compact manifold of dimension $4n$ and $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ be a partition of n .

Let M^{4n} be a smooth oriented compact manifold of dimension $4n$ and $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ be a partition of n .

Definition

The I -th Pontrjagin number is defined to be

$$p_I[M^{4n}] = \langle p_{i_1}(\tau^{4n}) \dots p_{i_r}(\tau^{4n}), \mu_{4n} \rangle$$

where again τ^{4n} is the tangent bundle, μ_{4n} is the fundamental class.

$\mathbb{C}P^{2n}$

After forgetting the complex structure, $\mathbb{C}P^{2n}$ is a smooth compact oriented manifold of real dimension $4n$.

After forgetting the complex structure, $\mathbb{C}P^{2n}$ is a smooth compact oriented manifold of real dimension $4n$.

Results from chapter 15

$$p_l[\mathbb{C}P^{2n}] = \binom{2n+1}{i_1} \cdots \binom{2n+1}{i_r}$$

Pontrjagin number vs Euler number

Reversing orientation on M^{4n} leaves the Pontrjagin classes stable, but changes the sign of the fundamental class, hence $p_I[-M^{4n}] = -p_I[M^{4n}]$. The Euler number stays the same.

Pontrjagin number vs Euler number

Reversing orientation on M^{4n} leaves the Pontrjagin classes stable, but changes the sign of the fundamental class, hence $p_I[-M^{4n}] = -p_I[M^{4n}]$. The Euler number stays the same.

Lemma

If any $p_I[M^{4n}] \neq 0$, then M does not have an orientation reversing diffeomorphism.

Pontrjagin number vs Euler number

Reversing orientation on M^{4n} leaves the Pontrjagin classes stable, but changes the sign of the fundamental class, hence $p_I[-M^{4n}] = -p_I[M^{4n}]$. The Euler number stays the same.

Lemma

If any $p_I[M^{4n}] \neq 0$, then M does not have an orientation reversing diffeomorphism.

Example: $\mathbb{C}P^{2n}$ has no orientation reversing diffeomorphism.

Pontrjagin number vs Euler number

Reversing orientation on M^{4n} leaves the Pontrjagin classes stable, but changes the sign of the fundamental class, hence $p_I[-M^{4n}] = -p_I[M^{4n}]$. The Euler number stays the same.

Lemma

If any $p_I[M^{4n}] \neq 0$, then M does not have an orientation reversing diffeomorphism.

Example: $\mathbb{C}P^{2n}$ has no orientation reversing diffeomorphism.

Lemma

If any $p_I[M^{4n}] \neq 0$, then M is not a boundary of a smooth compact oriented $(4n + 1)$ -manifold.

See (4.9) - all Stiefel Whitney numbers vanish.

Definition

$f \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is called symmetric, if it is invariant under permutation of the t_i s.

Definition

$f \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is called symmetric, if it is invariant under permutation of the t_i s.

Example

$t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_1 t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_2]$ is symmetric.

$t_1 + t_2^2$ is not.

We denote by \mathcal{S}_n the subring of the symmetric polynomials.

Elementary symmetric polynomials

Theorem

$$\mathcal{S}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$$

where σ_k is the k -th fundamental symmetric function.

Elementary symmetric polynomials

Theorem

$$\mathcal{S}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$$

where σ_k is the k -th fundamental symmetric function.

The elementary symmetric function σ_k can be characterized by being the homogeneous component of degree k in $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + t_i)$.

Elementary symmetric polynomials

Theorem

$$\mathcal{S}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$$

where σ_k is the k -th fundamental symmetric function.

The elementary symmetric function σ_k can be characterized by being the homogeneous component of degree k in $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + t_i)$.

For example: in $n = 3$ we have $\sigma_2 = t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_3 + t_3 t_1$.

Grading

Assign each t_i in \mathbb{Z} degree 1, then we can see that

$$\mathcal{S}^* = \mathbb{Z}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$$

inherits a grading with $\deg \sigma_i = i$.

Grading

Assign each t_i in \mathbb{Z} degree 1, then we can see that

$$\mathcal{S}^* = \mathbb{Z}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$$

inherits a grading with $\deg \sigma_i = i$.

Definition

We write \mathcal{S}^k for the subring of $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ of symmetric polynomials of degree k .

Grading

Assign each t_i in \mathbb{Z} degree 1, then we can see that

$$\mathcal{S}^* = \mathbb{Z}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$$

inherits a grading with $\deg \sigma_i = i$.

Definition

We write \mathcal{S}^k for the subring of $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ of symmetric polynomials of degree k .

We can also see \mathcal{S}^k as a free \mathbb{Z} -module. An obvious basis are the monomials $\sigma_{i_1} \dots \sigma_{i_r}$ with (i_1, \dots, i_r) a partition of k .

Another basis of \mathcal{S}^k

Define an equivalence relation on the monomials in t_1, \dots, t_n :

Another basis of \mathcal{S}^k

Define an equivalence relation on the monomials in t_1, \dots, t_n :
 p, q are equivalent, if there is a permutation π of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ so that
 $p(t_1, \dots, t_n) = q(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(n)})$.

Another basis of \mathcal{S}^k

Define an equivalence relation on the monomials in t_1, \dots, t_n :
 p, q are equivalent, if there is a permutation π of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ so that
 $p(t_1, \dots, t_n) = q(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(n)})$.

Notation

We write

$$\sum t_1^{a_1} \dots t_n^{a_n} \in \mathcal{S}_n$$

for the sum of all monomials equivalent to $t_1^{a_1} \dots t_n^{a_n}$.

Example: $\sigma_k = \sum t_1 t_2 \dots t_k$

Lemma

$$\left\{ \sum t_1^{a_1} \dots t_r^{a_r} \mid r \leq n, (a_1, \dots, a_r) \text{ is a partition of } k \right\}$$

Is a basis for S^k .

Lemma

$$\left\{ \sum t_1^{a_1} \dots t_r^{a_r} \mid r \leq n, (a_1, \dots, a_r) \text{ is a partition of } k \right\}$$

Is a basis for S^k .

We want to assign to a partition I of k a polynomial s_I in k variables.

Lemma

$$\left\{ \sum t_1^{a_1} \dots t_r^{a_r} \mid r \leq n, (a_1, \dots, a_r) \text{ is a partition of } k \right\}$$

Is a basis for S^k .

We want to assign to a partition I of k a polynomial s_I in k variables. For $n \geq k$, the $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ are algebraically independent in $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ and we can say s_I is specified by the equation

$$s_I(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) = \sum t_1^{i_1} \dots t_r^{i_r}$$

$$s_l(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) = \sum t_1^{i_1} \dots t_r^{i_r}$$

This does not depend on n , we can set $t_{k+1} = \dots = t_n = 0$ to recover the equation for $n = k$.

$$s_I(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) = \sum t_1^{i_1} \dots t_r^{i_r}$$

This does not depend on n , we can set $t_{k+1} = \dots = t_n = 0$ to recover the equation for $n = k$.

From the definition follows that the $\{s_I \mid I \text{ is a partition of } k\}$ are linearly independent. Last Lemma \implies this is a basis.

This is the one we wanted to construct!

If a complex n -plane bundle ω splits as $\nu_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \nu_n$ a Whitney sum of line bundles, the formula

$1 + c_1(\omega) + \cdots + c_n(\omega) = (1 + c_1(\nu_1)) \cdots (1 + c_1(\nu_n))$ shows that

$$c_k(\omega) = \sigma_k(c_1(\nu_1), \dots, c_1(\nu_n))$$

If a complex n -plane bundle ω splits as $\nu_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \nu_n$ a Whitney sum of line bundles, the formula

$1 + c_1(\omega) + \cdots + c_n(\omega) = (1 + c_1(\nu_1)) \cdots (1 + c_1(\nu_n))$ shows that

$$c_k(\omega) = \sigma_k(c_1(\nu_1), \dots, c_1(\nu_n))$$

Example: $\gamma^1 \times \cdots \times \gamma^1$ the n -fold cartesian product over $\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty$.

Note that $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty) \cong \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ with $\deg a_i = 2$ and

$$c(\gamma^1 \times \cdots \times \gamma^1) = (1 + a_1) \cdots (1 + a_n)$$

If a complex n -plane bundle ω splits as $\nu_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \nu_n$ a Whitney sum of line bundles, the formula

$1 + c_1(\omega) + \cdots + c_n(\omega) = (1 + c_1(\nu_1)) \cdots (1 + c_1(\nu_n))$ shows that

$$c_k(\omega) = \sigma_k(c_1(\nu_1), \dots, c_1(\nu_n))$$

Example: $\gamma^1 \times \cdots \times \gamma^1$ the n -fold cartesian product over $\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty$.

Note that $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty) \cong \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ with $\deg a_i = 2$ and

$$c(\gamma^1 \times \cdots \times \gamma^1) = (1 + a_1) \cdots (1 + a_n)$$

Hence $H^*(Gr_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{S}^n$ and our new basis of \mathcal{S}_k gives us a basis of $H^{2k}(Gr_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty); \mathbb{Z})$

Let ω be a complex n -plane bundle with paracompact base space B and total Chern class $c = 1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_n$. For $k > 0$ and I a partition of k we write

$$s_I(c) = s_I(c_1, \dots, c_k) \in H^{2k}(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

Let ω be a complex n -plane bundle with paracompact base space B and total Chern class $c = 1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_n$. For $k > 0$ and I a partition of k we write

$$s_I(c) = s_I(c_1, \dots, c_k) \in H^{2k}(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

Lemma - Thom

$$s_I(c(\omega \oplus \omega')) = \sum_{JK=I} s_J(c(\omega))s_K(c(\omega'))$$

where we sum over all partitions J, K with $JK = I$.

Let ω be a complex n -plane bundle with paracompact base space B and total Chern class $c = 1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_n$. For $k > 0$ and I a partition of k we write

$$s_I(c) = s_I(c_1, \dots, c_k) \in H^{2k}(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

Lemma - Thom

$$s_I(c(\omega \oplus \omega')) = \sum_{JK=I} s_J(c(\omega))s_K(c(\omega'))$$

where we sum over all partitions J, K with $JK = I$.

Taking the trivial partition $I = (k)$, we see that

$$s_k(c(\omega \oplus \omega')) = s_k(c(\omega)) + s_k(c(\omega'))$$

$$\text{Proof: } s_l(c(\omega \oplus \omega')) = \sum_{JK=l} s_J(c(\omega))s_K(c(\omega'))$$

Let σ_k be the elementary symmetric polynomial in t_1, \dots, t_n and σ'_k be the one in t_{n+1}, \dots, t_{2n} . Define $\sigma'' = \sum_{i=0}^k \sigma_i \sigma'_{k-i}$, which is just the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial in t_1, \dots, t_n

$$\text{Proof: } s_l(c(\omega \oplus \omega')) = \sum_{JK=l} s_J(c(\omega))s_K(c(\omega'))$$

Let σ_k be the elementary symmetric polynomial in t_1, \dots, t_n and σ'_k be the one in t_{n+1}, \dots, t_{2n} . Define $\sigma'' = \sum_{i=0}^k \sigma_i \sigma'_{k-i}$, which is just the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial in t_1, \dots, t_n

Claim

$$s_l(\sigma''_1, \dots, \sigma''_k) = \sum_{JK=l} s_J(\sigma_1, \dots) s_K(\sigma'_1, \dots)$$

$$\text{Proof: } s_l(c(\omega \oplus \omega')) = \sum_{JK=l} s_J(c(\omega))s_K(c(\omega'))$$

Let σ_k be the elementary symmetric polynomial in t_1, \dots, t_n and σ'_k be the one in t_{n+1}, \dots, t_{2n} . Define $\sigma'' = \sum_{i=0}^k \sigma_i \sigma'_{k-i}$, which is just the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial in t_1, \dots, t_n

Claim

$$s_l(\sigma''_1, \dots, \sigma''_k) = \sum_{JK=l} s_J(\sigma_1, \dots) s_K(\sigma'_1, \dots)$$

Once we have proven this, we can use that $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_n$ are algebraically independent to set $\sigma_i = c_i(\omega)$ and $\sigma'_i = c_i(\omega')$. The product formula for Chern classes (14.7) yields $\sigma''_i = c_i(\omega \oplus \omega')$.

Proof of Claim

$$s_I(\sigma''_1, \dots, \sigma''_k) = \sum_{JK=I} s_J(\sigma_1, \dots) s_K(\sigma'_1, \dots)$$

Proof of Claim

$$s_I(\sigma''_1, \dots, \sigma''_k) = \sum_{JK=I} s_J(\sigma_1, \dots) s_K(\sigma'_1, \dots)$$

By definition $s_I(\sigma''_1, \dots, \sigma''_k) = \sum_{\alpha} t_{\alpha_1}^{i_1} \dots t_{\alpha_r}^{i_r}$ where the $1 \leq \alpha_i \leq 2n$ are pairwise distinct.

Proof of Claim

$$s_I(\sigma''_1, \dots, \sigma''_k) = \sum_{JK=I} s_J(\sigma_1, \dots) s_K(\sigma'_1, \dots)$$

By definition $s_I(\sigma''_1, \dots, \sigma''_k) = \sum_{\alpha} t_{\alpha_1}^{i_1} \dots t_{\alpha_r}^{i_r}$ where the $1 \leq \alpha_i \leq 2n$ are pairwise distinct.

Set the partitions $J = \{i_q \mid 1 \leq \alpha_q \leq n\}$ and $K = \{i_q \mid n+1 \leq \alpha_q \leq 2n\}$.

Proof of Claim

$$s_I(\sigma''_1, \dots, \sigma''_k) = \sum_{JK=I} s_J(\sigma_1, \dots) s_K(\sigma'_1, \dots)$$

By definition $s_I(\sigma''_1, \dots, \sigma''_k) = \sum_{\alpha} t_{\alpha_1}^{i_1} \dots t_{\alpha_r}^{i_r}$ where the $1 \leq \alpha_i \leq 2n$ are pairwise distinct.

Set the partitions $J = \{i_q \mid 1 \leq \alpha_q \leq n\}$ and $K = \{i_q \mid n+1 \leq \alpha_q \leq 2n\}$. Fixing $J = (j_1, \dots, j_s)$, $K = (k_1, \dots, k_{r-s})$ partitions with $JK = I$, and taking the sum over all α that induce them, yields

$$\underbrace{\sum t_1^{j_1} \dots t_s^{j_s}}_{\text{taken in } t_1, \dots, t_n} \cdot \underbrace{\sum t_{n+1}^{k_1} \dots t_{n+r-s}^{k_{r-s}}}_{\text{taken in } t_{n+1}, \dots, t_{2n}} = s_J(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s) s_K(\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_{r-s})$$

Consider K^n a complex manifold of complex dimension n . For a partition I of n define $s_I(c)[K^n] = \langle s_I(c(\tau^n)), \mu_{2n} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary

$$s_I[K^m \times L^n] = \sum_{JK=I} s_J[K^m] s_K[L^n]$$

where J is a partition of m and K one of n .

Consider K^n a complex manifold of complex dimension n . For a partition I of n define $s_I(c)[K^n] = \langle s_I(c(\tau^n)), \mu_{2n} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary

$$s_I[K^m \times L^n] = \sum_{JK=I} s_J[K^m] s_K[L^n]$$

where J is a partition of m and K one of n .

The tangent bundle of $K^m \times L^n$ splits $\tau \times \tau' \cong (\pi_1^* \tau) \oplus (\pi_2^* \tau')$ where π_i are the projection.

Consider K^n a complex manifold of complex dimension n . For a partition I of n define $s_I(c)[K^n] = \langle s_I(c(\tau^n)), \mu_{2n} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary

$$s_I[K^m \times L^n] = \sum_{JK=I} s_J[K^m] s_K[L^n]$$

where J is a partition of m and K one of n .

The tangent bundle of $K^m \times L^n$ splits $\tau \times \tau' \cong (\pi_1^* \tau) \oplus (\pi_2^* \tau')$ where π_i are the projection.

$$s_I[K^m \times L^n] = \langle s_I(\tau \times \tau'), \mu_{2m} \times \mu_{2n'} \rangle = \sum_{JK=I} \langle s_J(\tau), \mu_{2m} \rangle \langle s_K(\tau'), \mu_{2n'} \rangle$$

The signs die since all degrees are even.

Consider K^n a complex manifold of complex dimension n . For a partition I of n define $s_I(c)[K^n] = \langle s_I(c(\tau^n)), \mu_{2n} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary

$$s_I[K^m \times L^n] = \sum_{JK=I} s_J[K^m] s_K[L^n]$$

where J is a partition of m and K one of n .

The tangent bundle of $K^m \times L^n$ splits $\tau \times \tau' \cong (\pi_1^* \tau) \oplus (\pi_2^* \tau')$ where π_i are the projection.

$$s_I[K^m \times L^n] = \langle s_I(\tau \times \tau'), \mu_{2m} \times \mu_{2n'} \rangle = \sum_{JK=I} \langle s_J(\tau), \mu_{2m} \rangle \langle s_K(\tau'), \mu'_{2n} \rangle$$

The signs die since all degrees are even.

As a corollary, $s_{n+m}[K^m \times L^n] = 0$ if $m, n \neq 0$.

Example: $\mathbb{C}P^n$

Since $c(\tau) = (1 + a)^{n+1}$, we see that $c_k = \sigma_k(a, \dots, a)$ in $n + 1$ variables.

Example: $\mathbb{C}P^n$

Since $c(\tau) = (1 + a)^{n+1}$, we see that $c_k = \sigma_k(a, \dots, a)$ in $n + 1$ variables.

$$\implies s_k(c_1, \dots, c_k) = (n + 1)a^k$$

and taking $n = k$ yields $s_n[\mathbb{C}P^n] = n + 1 \neq 0$

Example: $\mathbb{C}P^n$

Since $c(\tau) = (1 + a)^{n+1}$, we see that $c_k = \sigma_k(a, \dots, a)$ in $n + 1$ variables.

$$\implies s_k(c_1, \dots, c_k) = (n + 1)a^k$$

and taking $n = k$ yields $s_n[\mathbb{C}P^n] = n + 1 \neq 0$

This means $\mathbb{C}P^n$ cannot be expressed as a (non-trivial) product of complex manifolds.

Pontrjagin numbers

... have analogous results. Let ξ be a real vector bundle over the base space B and I a partition of n . Define

$$s_I(p(\xi)) = s_I(p_1(\xi), \dots, p_n(\xi)) \in H^{4n}(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

Pontrjagin numbers

... have analogous results. Let ξ be a real vector bundle over the base space B and I a partition of n . Define

$$s_I(p(\xi)) = s_I(p_1(\xi), \dots, p_n(\xi)) \in H^{4n}(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

Then we get another product formula, but only modulo 2 (see 16.2):

$$s_I(p(\xi \oplus \xi')) = \sum_{JK=I} s_J(p(\xi)) s_K(p(\xi'))$$

Pontrjagin numbers

... have analogous results. Let ξ be a real vector bundle over the base space B and I a partition of n . Define

$$s_I(p(\xi)) = s_I(p_1(\xi), \dots, p_n(\xi)) \in H^{4n}(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

Then we get another product formula, but only modulo 2 (see 16.2):

$$s_I(p(\xi \oplus \xi')) = \sum_{JK=I} s_J(p(\xi)) s_K(p(\xi'))$$

which implies $s_I(p)[M \times N] = \sum_{JK=I} s_J(p)[M] s_K(p)[N]$

Main Result

Theorem - Thom

For K_1, \dots, K^n complex manifolds with $s_k(c)[K^k] \neq 0$, we have that the matrix

$$[c_{i_1} \dots c_{i_r} [K^{j_1} \times \dots \times K^{j_s}]]_{I,J}$$

are partitions of n

is non-singular.

For example, $K^r = \mathbb{C}P^r$ has this property

Main Result

Theorem - Thom

For K_1, \dots, K^n complex manifolds with $s_k(c)[K^k] \neq 0$, we have that the matrix

$$[c_{i_1} \dots c_{i_r}[K^{j_1} \times \dots \times K^{j_s}]]_{I,J} \text{ are partitions of } n$$

is non-singular.

For example, $K^r = \mathbb{C}P^r$ has this property

Theorem

If M^4, \dots, M^{4n} are oriented manifolds and $s_k(p)[M^{4k}] \neq 0$ then

$$[p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_r}[M^{4j_1} \times \dots \times M^{4j_s}]]_{I,J} \text{ are partitions of } n$$

is non-singular.

Proof

We can easily generalize our product formula to

$$s_l[K^{j_1} \times \dots \times K^{j_q}] = \sum_{l_1 \dots l_q = l} s_{l_1}[K^{j_1}] \dots s_{l_q}[K^{j_q}]$$

where we sum over l_l partitions of j_l .

Proof

We can easily generalize our product formula to

$$s_l[K^{j_1} \times \cdots \times K^{j_q}] = \sum_{l_1 \dots l_q = l} s_{l_1}[K^{j_1}] \cdots s_{l_q}[K^{j_q}]$$

where we sum over l_l partitions of j_l .

We see that $s_l[K^{j_1} \times \cdots \times K^{j_q}] = 0$, unless $l = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ is a refinement of (j_1, \dots, j_q) .

Proof

We can easily generalize our product formula to

$$s_l[K^{j_1} \times \cdots \times K^{j_q}] = \sum_{l_1 \dots l_q = l} s_{l_1}[K^{j_1}] \cdots s_{l_q}[K^{j_q}]$$

where we sum over l_l partitions of j_l .

We see that $s_l[K^{j_1} \times \cdots \times K^{j_q}] = 0$, unless $l = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ is a refinement of (j_1, \dots, j_q) .

But that means we can arrange the partitions so that

$$[c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_r}[K^{j_1} \times \cdots \times K^{j_s}]]_{I,J} \text{ are partitions of } n$$

Is a triangular matrix with zeros above the diagonal.

Proof

We can easily generalize our product formula to

$$s_l[K^{j_1} \times \cdots \times K^{j_q}] = \sum_{l_1 \dots l_q = l} s_{l_1}[K^{j_1}] \cdots s_{l_q}[K^{j_q}]$$

where we sum over l_l partitions of j_l .

We see that $s_l[K^{j_1} \times \cdots \times K^{j_q}] = 0$, unless $l = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ is a refinement of (j_1, \dots, j_q) .

But that means we can arrange the partitions so that

$$[c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_r}[K^{j_1} \times \cdots \times K^{j_q}]]_{I, J} \text{ are partitions of } n$$

Is a triangular matrix with zeros above the diagonal.

The diagonal entries are $s_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}[K^{i_1} \times \cdots \times K^{i_r}] = \prod_{l=1}^r s_{i_l}[K^{i_l}] \neq 0$, so the determinant of the matrix is non-zero.