

Pontryagin classes

[Milnor, Stasheff §15]

- I Complexification of a real vector bundle
- II Definition & Properties of Pontryagin classes
- III Application: Cohomology of the oriented Grassmannian

Convention:
 • real vector bundles are denoted by ξ
 • complex vector bundles are denoted by ξ_C

Reminder: For a complex v.b. ξ we have its underlying real v.b. S_ξ
 (Note: $\dim(S_\xi) = 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\xi)$)

We defined $c_n(S) := e(S_{\mathbb{R}})$
 ↗ has a preferred orientation

I Complexification

Def. For a real v.b. ξ we define its complexification $\xi_C = \xi \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ by applying the functor $-\otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ to each fiber.
 (Note: $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\xi_C) = \dim(\xi)$)

Proposition:
 (1) $(\xi_C)_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \xi \oplus \bar{\xi}$
 (2) $(S_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}} \cong S \oplus \bar{S}$

Proof: For (1): let F be the fiber of ξ .
 $(F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}} = F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}} = (F \otimes 1) \oplus (F \otimes i) \cong F \oplus F$
 internal direct sum

For (2): let F be the fiber of S .
 $F_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \oplus F$, $a \otimes z \mapsto (za, \bar{z}a)$
 which is a well-defined map of \mathbb{R} -v.b.
 Claim: φ is an iso. of \mathbb{C} -v.s.
 • φ is \mathbb{C} -linear
 $\varphi(w(a \otimes z)) = \varphi(a \otimes wz) = (wz a, \overline{wz} a) = w(za, \bar{z}a) = w\varphi(a \otimes z)$

• φ is bijective
 $\varphi(\frac{1}{2}(a+ib) \otimes 1 - \frac{1}{2}i(a-b) \otimes i) = (a, b)$ □

Proposition: If ξ is an oriented real v.b. of dim. n , then
 $(\xi_C)_{\mathbb{R}} \cong (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \xi \oplus \bar{\xi}$

Proof: let a_1, \dots, a_n be an ordered basis of F (of ξ).
 On the rhs: $\mapsto (a_1, 0), \dots, (a_n, 0), (0, a_1), \dots, (0, a_n)$ (1)

On the lhs: $\mapsto a_1 \otimes 1, \dots, a_n \otimes 1$ of $F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$
 $\mapsto a_1 \otimes 1, a_1 \otimes i, \dots, a_n \otimes 1, a_n \otimes i$ of $(F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$

$$(F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}} = F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}} = (F \otimes 1) \oplus (F \otimes i) \cong F \oplus F$$

$$a_k \otimes 1 \mapsto (a_k, 0)$$

$$a_k \otimes i \mapsto (0, a_k)$$

Have to compare (1) and (2)
 $(a_1, 0), (0, a_1), \dots, (a_n, 0), (0, a_n)$
 By induction you see that the sign of the permutation is $(-1)^{n(n-1)} = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ □

II Def. & Properties of the Pontryagin classes

Motivation: What is $w(S_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $c(\xi_C)$?
 • $w_{2k+1}(S_{\mathbb{R}}) = 0$ and $w_{2k}(S_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong$ the mod 2 reduction of $c_k(S)$
 • $c_{2k+1}(\xi_C) = \underbrace{c_{2k}(\xi) \cup w_{2k+1}(\xi)}_{=0}$

Definition: For a real v.b. ξ we define its k -th Pontryagin class
 $p_k(\xi) := (-1)^k c_{2k}(\xi_C) \in H^{4k}(B\xi; \mathbb{Z})$

The total Pontryagin class of ξ is
 $p(\xi) := p_0(\xi) + p_1(\xi) + \dots$

Proposition: If ξ is an oriented real v.b. of dim $2n$, then $p_n(\xi) = e(\xi)^2$.

Proof:
 $p_n(\xi) = (-1)^n c_{2n}(\xi_C)$
 $= (-1)^n e((\xi_C)_{\mathbb{R}})$
 $= (-1)^n e((-1)^{\frac{2n(2n-1)}{2}} \xi \oplus \bar{\xi})$
 $= \underbrace{(-1)^n (-1)^{n(2n-1)}}_{=+1} e(\xi \oplus \bar{\xi})$
 $= e(\xi)^2$ □

Theorem:

- (1) $p_k(\xi) = 1$ and $p_k(\xi) = 0$ if $k > \frac{\dim(\xi)}{2}$
- (2) $p(F \otimes \xi) = F^* p(\xi)$
- (3) $2 p(\xi \oplus \xi') = 2 p(\xi) \cup p(\xi')$

Part (1) and (2) are following from the properties of the Chern classes. For (3) we need the following

Lemma: For real v.b. ξ we have
 $2 c_{2k+1}(\xi_C) = 0$

Proof: Note $\xi_C \cong \bar{\xi}_C$, because $F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong \overline{F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}} = F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\mathbb{C}}$, $a \otimes z \mapsto a \otimes \bar{z}$.
 Now, we compute
 $c_{2k+1}(\xi_C) = c_{2k+1}(\bar{\xi}_C)$
 $= \underbrace{(-1)^{2k+1}}_{=-1} c_{2k+1}(\xi_C)$
 $\implies 2 c_{2k+1}(\xi_C) = 0$ □

Proof of Thm (3):

$$2 p(\xi \oplus \xi') = 2 p(\xi) \cup p(\xi')$$

We compute
 $2 p_k(\xi \oplus \xi') = 2 (-1)^k c_{2k}((\xi \oplus \xi')_C)$
 $= 2 (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^{2k} c_{2k-j}(\xi_C) \cup c_j(\xi'_C)$
 $\stackrel{\text{lemma}}{=} 2 (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^k c_{2k-2j}(\xi_C) \cup c_{2j}(\xi'_C)$
 $= 2 \sum_{j=0}^k \underbrace{(-1)^k (-1)^{2j}}_{=+1} c_{2k-2j}(\xi_C) \cup \underbrace{(-1)^{2j}}_{=+1} c_{2j}(\xi'_C)$
 $= 2 p_k(\xi) \cup p_k(\xi')$ □

Example:

$$p(\mathbb{C}P^n) := p(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{R}}) = (1+a^2)^{n+1}$$

III Application

The oriented Grassmannian $\tilde{G}_n(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$ is the space of all oriented n -dim linear subspaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+k} with quotient topo.:

$\tilde{G}_n := \text{coker } \tilde{G}_n(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$
 $\downarrow \pi$
 G_n

and $\tilde{\gamma}^n := \pi^* \gamma^n$ is an oriented n -dim real v.b. \tilde{G}_n .

Remark:

- G_n is model for $BO(n)$
- \tilde{G}_n is model for $BSO(n)$

Theorem: Let R be an integral domain with $\frac{1}{2} \in R$. Then

$$H^*(\tilde{G}_n; R) \cong \begin{cases} R\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle & \text{if } n = 2m+1 \\ R\langle p_1, \dots, p_{m-1}, e \rangle & \text{if } n = 2m \end{cases}$$

where $p_k := p_k(\tilde{\gamma}^n)$.

Proof: By induction on n . For $n=1$, we have $\tilde{G}_1 \cong S^0$ (Note: $G_1 = \mathbb{P}S^0$). So for $n=1$ the statement holds.
 Suppose $n > 1$. Consider the Gysin-sequence

Claim: there exist iso. $H^i(E_0) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^i(\tilde{G}_{n-1})$ such that

- $\simeq: H^i(\tilde{G}_n) \rightarrow H^i(\tilde{G}_{n-1})$ is a ring-hom
- \simeq maps $p_k(\tilde{\gamma}^n)$ to $p_k(\tilde{\gamma}^{n-1})$

Case 1: $n = 2m$. By IH, $H^*(\tilde{G}_{2m-1})$ is generated by $p_1(\tilde{\gamma}^{2m-1}), \dots, p_{m-1}(\tilde{\gamma}^{2m-1})$.
 So, \simeq is surjective \implies the Gysin-sequence splits into s.e.s.s.:

$$0 \rightarrow H^{i-2m}(\tilde{G}_{2m}) \xrightarrow{\vee} H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{i-2m}(\tilde{G}_{2m-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

Inductively check that every element in $H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m})$ is (uniquely) a polynomial $p_1(\tilde{\gamma}^{2m}), \dots, p_{m-1}(\tilde{\gamma}^{2m}), e := e(\tilde{\gamma}^{2m})$

Case 2: $n = 2m+1$.

- (claim: $e(\tilde{\gamma}^{2m+1}) = 0$)
- [• In general: $2e(\xi) = 0$ if $\dim(\xi)$ odd
- We're working over R

Again, we get s.e.s.s.:

$$0 \rightarrow H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m+1}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m}) \rightarrow H^{i-2m}(\tilde{G}_{2m+1}) \rightarrow 0 \tag{+}$$

Now, consider the diagram

the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \rho_m \mapsto e^2 & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \rho_m(\tilde{\gamma}^{2m+1}) \\
 \downarrow & \searrow \rho & \downarrow \simeq \\
 e^2 & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & e^2 = \rho_m(\tilde{\gamma}^{2m})
 \end{array}$$

It follows that $R\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^*(\tilde{G}_{2m+1})$ is injection

By abuse of notation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A^* := R\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle \cong H^*(\tilde{G}_{2m+1}) & \cong & H^*(\tilde{G}_{2m}) \\
 & & \cong & R\langle p_1, \dots, p_{m-1}, e \rangle
 \end{array}$$

Want to show: $A^* = H^*(\tilde{G}_{2m+1})$

We compare ranks. We know $H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m}) = A^i \oplus e A^{i-2m}$
 \simeq
 (a) $\text{rank}(H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m})) = \text{rank}(A^i) + \text{rank}(A^{i-2m})$

By (+) we get

$$(a') \text{rank}(H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m+1})) = \text{rank}(H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m})) + \text{rank}(H^{i-2m}(\tilde{G}_{2m+1}))$$

By (a) & (a') and induction on i , we see $\text{rank}(A^i) = \text{rank}(H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m+1}))$
 Suppose $A^i \cong H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m+1})$, i.e. there is an element $x + ey \in H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m+1}) \setminus A^i$ for $x \in A^i$ and non-zero $y \in A^{i-2m}$
 $\implies \text{rank}(A^i) < \text{rank}(H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m+1}))$ ⊥
 $\implies A^i = H^i(\tilde{G}_{2m+1})$ □

Example:

$$p(\mathbb{C}P^n) = (1+a^2)^{n+1}$$

First, we use $c(\mathbb{C}P^n) = (1+a)^{n+1}$

Moreover: For a complex v.b. ξ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (-1)^k p_k(S_{\mathbb{R}}) &= c_{2k}((S_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}}) \\
 &= c_{2k}(S \oplus \bar{S}) \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{2k} c_{2k-j}(S) \cup c_j(\bar{S}) \\
 &= (-1)^j c_j(\bar{S})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \implies \left(\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k p_k(S_{\mathbb{R}}) \right) &= c(S) \cup \left(\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k c_k(S) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

So in our example

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k p_k(\mathbb{C}P^n) \right) &= (1+a)^{n+1} \cup (1-a)^{n+1} \\
 &= (1-a^2)^{n+1} \\
 \implies p(\mathbb{C}P^n) &= (1+a^2)^{n+1}
 \end{aligned}$$