

Characterizing Δ_2^1 via Ordinal Machines

Peter Koepke and Benjamin Seyffferth

University of Bonn, Mathematical Institute

18 February 2010

Ordinal Turing Machines

Classical properties of OTMs

- finite alphabet $\{0, 1\}$
- finite number of program states
- finitely many instructions

Nonclassical properties of OTMs

- tape length the ordinals
- the machine *diverges* iff it does not halt at time some ordinal time α
- lim inf-rule

The lim inf-Rule

Consider the set of program states to be a (finite) subset of ω .

Limit time β

- program state:

$$\liminf\{\text{program state at time } \gamma \mid \gamma < \beta\}$$

- content of a single cell:

$$\liminf\{\text{the cell's content at time } \gamma \mid \gamma < \beta\}$$

- position of the read-write head:

$$\liminf\{\text{headposition at time } \gamma \mid \gamma < \beta \text{ and state at time } \gamma \\ \text{is the same as state at time } \beta\}$$

Input and Output

Input

A real number $a \in \{0, 1\}^\omega$ written in the first ω -many tape cells
(remaining cells filled with 0s)

Output

yes / no

halt / diverge

OTM-(Semi-)Deciding Sets of Reals

Definition

- A set of reals $A \subset \{0, 1\}^\omega$ is called *OTM-semi-decidable* iff there is a program that, on input $a \in \{0, 1\}^\omega$, halts exactly if $a \in A$.
- A set of reals $A \subset \{0, 1\}^\omega$ is called *OTM-decidable* iff there is a program that, on input $a \in \{0, 1\}^\omega$, outputs 'yes' if $a \in A$ and 'no' otherwise.

The Characterization

Theorem

A set of reals is OTM-semi-decidable iff it is Σ_2^1 .

Corollary

A set of reals is OTM-decidable iff it is Δ_2^1 .

Quantifier Complexity

class	quantifier structure
Σ_1	$\exists \dots$
Π_1	$\forall \dots$
Σ_2	$\exists \forall \dots$
Π_2	$\forall \exists \dots$
\vdots	\vdots

Δ_n : Σ_n and Π_n

$\Sigma_1^1, \Pi_1^1, \Sigma_2^1, \dots$: quantifiers ranging over $\{0, 1\}^\omega$.

OTM-Semi-Decidable $\rightarrow \Sigma_2^1$

Fact

We can code an entire halting *OTM-computation* into a real.

Essential: Code the length of the computation (a countable ordinal) into a real.

Fact

To check whether a given real codes a halting OTM-computation is Π_1^1 .

Essential: Check that a given real codes a well-ordering.



Some Descriptive Set Theory

Theorem (Shoenfield Absoluteness)

Every Σ_2^1 relation is absolute for transitive Models of ZF.

Theorem (Descriptive set theory)

Every Σ_2^1 formula is equivalent to a Σ_1^1 formula of set theory.

$\Sigma_2^1 \rightarrow \text{OTM-Semi-Decidable}$

Let A be Σ_2^1 and we want to semi-decide the question whether for a given $a \in \{0, 1\}^\omega$ we have $a \in A$. There is a Σ_2^1 formula ϕ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} a \in A &\leftrightarrow \phi(a) \\ &\leftrightarrow L[a] \models \phi(a) \\ &\leftrightarrow L[a] \models \exists x \psi(x, a) \end{aligned}$$

for some bounded formula ψ .

We can employ an OTM that searches through $L[a]$ for a witness x with $L[a] \models \psi(x, a)$, halts if one exists, and diverges otherwise.

□

Computing truth in $L[a]$

Fact

Every element x of $L[a]$ can be coded into a finite sequence of ordinal numbers $\vec{\gamma}$.

$$\begin{aligned}x \in L[a] &\rightsquigarrow x \in L_{\alpha+1}[a] \\ &\rightsquigarrow x = \{y \in L_{\alpha}[a] \mid L_{\alpha}[a] \models \phi_n(y, \vec{z}), \vec{z} \in L_{\alpha}[a]\} \\ &\rightsquigarrow (\alpha, n, \dots) = \vec{\gamma}\end{aligned}$$

Computing truth in $L[a]$ (ctd.)

Theorem (Koepke 2005)

The function $W_a(\vec{\gamma}, \psi)$ with

$$W_a(\vec{\gamma}, \psi) = 1 \leftrightarrow L[a] \models \psi(\vec{\gamma}, a)$$

is computable by an OTM (with additional input a).

Algorithm for W_a

Tape 1: Successively build up the finite sequences $\vec{\gamma}_i$ of ordinals

Tape 2: $(\vec{\gamma}_0, \psi_0)$ $(\vec{\gamma}_0, \psi_1)$... $(\vec{\gamma}_1, \psi_0)$ $(\vec{\gamma}_1, \psi_1)$...

Tape 3: true false ... false true ...

Next Steps

- Refine the argument by computing the tree representations from Descriptive set theory
- New tree representations
- Apply the computability paradigm more widely in Descriptive set theory

Thank you!