## Research statement – Martin Goldstern, TU Wien

At the beginning of my set-theoretic life I was very interested in cardinal characteristics of the continuum; these are (usually) cardinals of the form

$$\kappa_R := \min\{ X \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid \neg \exists y \, \forall x \, (x, y) \in R \}$$

i.e. cardinals that answer questions of the form

What is the smallest number of (real numbers) x that you need so that there is no y with  $(x, y) \in R$  for all x?

for some relation R; for example, for the relation  $\neq$  this number is the continuum  $\mathfrak{c}$  itself, while for the relation  $\leq^*$ , defined by

$$x \leq^* y \iff \exists n \,\forall k \geq n \, x(k) \leq y(k)$$

the number  $\kappa_{<*}$  is usually called  $\mathfrak{b}$ .

It turns out that many (but not all) ZFC-provable inequalities (such as  $\omega_1 \leq \mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{c}$ ) have trivial (or at least easy) proofs, the consistency of a strict inequality (such as  $\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{c}$ ) is often hard, and the consistency of combinations of strict inequalities is in many cases still open.

A standard tool for increasing a cardinal characteristic  $\kappa_R$  is iteration of forcing; the main difficulty in such proofs is to ensure that some other cardinal characteristic  $\kappa_S$  stays small in all intermediate models of the iteration.

In recent times I have become interested in problems in universal algebra that seem to require set-theoretical methods – descriptive set theory, partition theorems, and even forcing; as a typical example, consider the following structure:

Let  $\omega^{\omega}$  be the set of all unary functions from  $\omega$  to  $\omega$ ; with the pointwise order this set is a partial order and even a lattice (any two elements have a least upper and greatest lower bound), and with the operation of composition this set is a monoid (semigroup with neutral element).

Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the family of all subsemigroups which are also ideals (downward closed, and closed under the lub operation).

The set  $\mathfrak{S}$ , equipped with the subset relation, turns out to be a complete lattice with largest element  $\omega^{\omega}$ ; what more can be said about its structure? One can see that it has many coatoms (lower neighbors of  $\omega^{\omega}$ ); is every element of S other that  $\omega^{\omega}$  itself contained in a coatom?

The answer is "no", assuming CH (or MA); the proof uses an ultrafilter which describes a sufficiently generic object of a certain  $\sigma$ -closed forcing notion.