

## PROBLEM SET 11 - MODEL THEORY

*Philipp Schlicht and Philipp Lücke*  
 Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Winter 2017-18

**Problem 47** (4 points). Let  $\langle X, cl \rangle$  be a pregeometry and let  $A \subseteq X$ . Define

$$cl^A : \mathcal{P}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(A); Y \longmapsto A \cap cl(Y)$$

and

$$cl_A : \mathcal{P}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(X); Z \longmapsto cl(A \cup Z).$$

Prove the following statements:

- (1)  $\langle A, cl^A \rangle$  is a pregeometry.
- (2)  $\langle X, cl_A \rangle$  is a pregeometry.
- (3) If  $B_0$  is a basis of  $\langle A, cl^A \rangle$  and  $B_1$  is a basis of  $\langle X, cl_A \rangle$ , then  $B_0 \cap B_1 = \emptyset$  and  $B_0 \cup B_1$  is a basis of  $\langle X, cl \rangle$ .

**Problem 48** (8 points). Prove Lemma 5.6.4.: Let  $T$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory, let  $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, \dots \rangle$  be a model of  $T$ , let  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in M$  and let  $\mathcal{L}_*$  be the first-order language extending  $\mathcal{L}$  by constant symbols  $\dot{a}_0, \dots, \dot{a}_n$ . Set

$$T_* = T \cup \{ \psi(\dot{a}_0, \dots, \dot{a}_n) \mid \psi \in tp^{\mathcal{M}}(a_0, \dots, a_n) \}.$$

Then the following statements hold:

- (1)  $T_*$  is complete.
- (2) Given an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , the theory  $T$  is  $\kappa$ -stable if and only if the theory  $T_*$  is  $\kappa$ -stable.
- (3) If  $\varphi(v_0, \dots, v_{n+1})$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula with the property that there is an elementary extension  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  and the  $\mathcal{L}_M$ -formula  $\varphi(v, \dot{a}_0, \dots, \dot{a}_n)$  witness that  $T$  has a Vaughtian pair, then the canonical  $\mathcal{L}_*$ -expansions of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  together with the  $\mathcal{L}_*$ -formula  $\varphi(v, \dot{a}_0, \dots, \dot{a}_n)$  witness that  $T_*$  has a Vaughtian pair.
- (4)  $T$  has a Vaughtian pair if and only if  $T_*$  has a Vaughtian pair.

**Problem 49** (4 points). Prove Proposition 5.6.6.: Let  $\varphi(v)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure such that the following statements hold:

- (1)  $\varphi(\mathcal{M}) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi(\dot{c})$  for every constant symbol  $\dot{c}$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{M} \models \forall x_0, \dots, x_n [(\varphi(x_0) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi(x_n)) \longrightarrow \varphi(f(x_0, \dots, x_n))]$  for every  $(n+1)$ -ary function symbol  $f$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Then there is a unique substructure  $\mathcal{M}_\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  with domain  $\varphi(\mathcal{M})$  and

$$\mathcal{M}_\varphi \models \psi(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \iff \mathcal{M} \models \psi^{\varphi(v)}(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$$

holds for every  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\psi(v_0, \dots, v_{n-1})$  in which the variable  $v$  does not appear and all  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \varphi(\mathcal{M})$ .

**Problem 50** (4 points). Prove Lemma 5.6.7.: Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal, let  $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, \dots \rangle$  be a  $\kappa$ -saturated  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure and let  $\varphi(v)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}_M$ -formula. If  $\varphi(\mathcal{M})$  has cardinality less than  $\kappa$ , then  $\varphi(\mathcal{M})$  is finite.

Please submit your solutions in the lecture on January 18.