

7.4. Simultaneous reflection

This section is devoted to the proof of the following result.

7.4.1. Theorem (Magidor): The following theories are equiconsistent:

- (i) ZFC + "there is a weakly compact cardinal".
- (ii) ZFC + "if $S_0, S_1 \subseteq S_\omega^{w^*}$ are stationary, then there is an $\lambda < \omega_2$ such that both $S_0 \cap \lambda$ and $S_1 \cap \lambda$ are stationary in λ ".

7.4.2. Lemma: Let $K > \omega_1$ be a regular cardinal and $\vec{C} = \langle C_\lambda \mid \lambda < K \rangle$ be a coherent C -sequence. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) \vec{C} is a $\square(K)$ -sequence.
- (ii) If S is a stationary subset of K , then there are stationary sets $S_0, S_1 \subseteq S$ such that for every $\lambda \in K \setminus \text{Lim}$ there is an $i < 2$ with $\text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \cap S_i = \emptyset$.

Proof: Assume (i) fails. Pick a club C in K that threads \vec{C} and let S_0 and S_1 be stationary subsets of K . Then there are $\gamma, \gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in \text{Lim}(C)$ such that $\gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma$ and $\gamma_i \in S_i$ for $i < 2$. Since $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in \text{Lim}(C \cap \gamma) = \text{Lim}(C_\gamma)$, we have $C_{\gamma_i} = \gamma_i \cap C_\gamma$ and $\gamma_i \in \text{Lim}(C_{\gamma_i}) \cap S_i$ for $i < 2$. Hence (ii) fails in this case.

Now, assume that (ii) fails for some stationary subset S of κ .

First, assume, towards a contradiction, that there is a $\gamma < \kappa$ such that the set

$$\{ \lambda \in S \mid \text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \cap (\gamma, \kappa) \neq \emptyset \}$$

is non-stationary. Then there are stationary subsets $S_0, S_1 \subseteq S$ such that the set

$$\bar{S} = \{ \lambda \in S \setminus (\gamma+1) \mid \text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \cap (\gamma, \kappa) = \emptyset \}$$

is equal to the disjoint union of S_0 and S_1 .

By our assumptions, there is an $\lambda \in \kappa \cap \text{Lim}$ and $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in \text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \cap \bar{S}$ with $\beta_0 < \beta_1$.

Then $\beta_0 \in \text{Lim}(C_{\beta_1}) \cap (\gamma, \kappa)$ and $\beta_1 \in S$, a contradiction.

This shows that for every $\gamma < \kappa$, the set

$$S_\gamma = \{ \lambda \in S \mid \text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \cap (\gamma, \kappa) \neq \emptyset \}$$

is stationary in κ . Given $\gamma \in \kappa \cap \text{Lim}$, define

$$S_\gamma^c = \{ \lambda \in S \setminus (\gamma+1) \mid \gamma \notin \text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \}$$

and

$$S_\gamma^i = \{ \lambda \in S \setminus (\gamma+1) \mid \gamma \in \text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \}.$$

Given $\lambda, \gamma \in \kappa \cap \text{Lim}$, $\text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \cap S_\gamma^c \neq \emptyset$ implies $\lambda \in S_\gamma^c$ and, since $S_\gamma^c \cap S_\gamma^i = \emptyset$, this implies that either $\text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \cap S_\gamma^i = \emptyset$ or $\text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \cap S_\gamma^i \neq \emptyset$.

By our assumption, this shows that for every $\gamma \in \kappa \cap \text{Lim}$ there is an $i < 2$ such that S_γ^i is non-stationary.

Define

$$A = \{ \gamma \in \kappa \cap \text{Lim} \mid S_\gamma^i \text{ is non-stationary} \}.$$

XXXX.

Claim: A is unbounded in K.

Proof of the Claim: Fix $\gamma < \kappa$. Then
 $\tau: S_\gamma \rightarrow K ; \zeta \mapsto \min(\text{Lim}(C_2) \cap (\gamma, \kappa))$
is a regressive function and hence it is
constant with value $\delta > \gamma$ on a stationary
subset of S_γ . Then S_δ° is stationary and,
by the above remarks, this shows that S_δ°
is non-stationary. Hence $\delta \in A \cap (\gamma, \kappa)$. \square (claim)

Claim: Given $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in A$ with $\gamma_0 < \gamma_1$, we
have $C_{\gamma_0} = C_{\gamma_1} \cap \gamma_0$.

Proof of the Claim: By our assumptions,
there are clubs C_0 and C_1 in K such that
 $C_i \cap S_{\gamma_i}^\circ = \emptyset$ for $i < 2$. Pick $\zeta \in \text{Lim}(C_0 \cap C_1) \cap S$.
Then $\gamma_i \in \text{Lim}(C_i)$ for $i < 2$ and this implies
 $C_{\gamma_0} = C_2 \cap \gamma_0 = C_{\gamma_1} \cap \gamma_0$. \square (claim).

The above computations show that $C = \bigcup C_\gamma$
is a club in K that threads \vec{C} . Hence (ii) fails. \square

XXXI.

7.4.3. Corollary: Let $\kappa > \omega_1$ be a regular cardinal. If all pairs of stationary subsets of S_κ^{κ} reflect at a common point, then κ is a weakly compact cardinal in L .

Proof: Assume, towards a contradiction, that κ is not weakly compact in L . Then there is a $\square(\kappa)$ -sequence $\bar{C} = \langle C_\lambda \mid \lambda < \kappa \rangle$. By Lemma 7.4.2., there are stationary subsets $S_0, S_1 \subseteq S_\kappa^\kappa$ such that for every $\lambda \in \kappa \cap \text{Lim}$ there is an $\iota < 2$ with $\text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \cap S_\iota = \emptyset$. By our assumption, there is an $\lambda \in \kappa \cap \text{Lim}$ such that S_0 and S_1 both reflect at λ . This implies $\text{cof}(\lambda) > \omega$ and $\text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \cap S_0 \neq \emptyset \neq \text{Lim}(C_\lambda) \cap S_1$, a contradiction. \square

Next, we show how weakly compact cardinals can be used to produce models in which all pairs of stationary subsets of $S_\kappa^{\omega_2}$ reflect at a common point.

7.4.4. Lemma (Baumgartner): Let κ be an uncountable regular cardinal with $\kappa = \kappa^{<\kappa}$, $\delta = \kappa^+$ and $S \subseteq S_{<\kappa}^\delta$ be a stationary subset of δ . If \mathbb{P} is a $<\kappa$ -closed partial order, then

1_P If " \check{S} is stationary in $\check{\delta}$ ".

Proof: Let \dot{C} be a \dot{P} -name for a club in δ and p be a condition in \dot{P} . There is $\mu < \kappa$ regular such that $\dot{S} = S \cap S_\mu^\delta$ is stationary. Fix a sufficiently large regular cardinal θ and pick an elementary submodel M of $H(\theta)$ with $\lambda = M \cap \delta \in \dot{S}$, $|M| = \kappa$, $\kappa, \delta, p, \dot{C}, S, \dot{P} \in M$ and ${}^{<\kappa} M \subseteq M$.

We inductively construct a decreasing sequence $\langle p_\xi \mid \{\xi < \mu\}$ of conditions in $\dot{P} \cap M$ and an increasing sequence $\langle \lambda_\xi \mid \{\xi < \mu\}$ of ordinals that is cofinal in λ such that $p_{\xi+1} \Vdash " \lambda_\xi \in \dot{C}"$. Pick a condition p in \dot{P} with $p \leq_p p_\xi$ for all $\{\xi < \mu$. Then $p \Vdash " \lambda \in \text{Lim}(\dot{C})"$ and hence $p \Vdash " \lambda \in \dot{C} \cap \dot{S}"$. □

7.4.5. Theorem (Baumgartner): Assume GCH. Let κ be an uncountable regular cardinal, $\delta > \kappa$ be a weakly compact cardinal and G be $\text{Col}(\kappa, \delta)$ -generic over V . In $V[G]$, every pair of stationary subsets of $S_{<\kappa}^\delta$ reflects at a common point.

Proof: Let \dot{S}_0 and \dot{S}_1 be $\text{Col}(\kappa, \delta)$ -nice names for stationary subsets of $S_{<\kappa}^\delta$. Then $\text{Col}(\kappa, \delta), \dot{S}_0, \dot{S}_1 \leq V_\delta$ and the V_δ -inaccessibility of δ implies that there is an inaccessible cardinal $\kappa < \bar{\delta} < \delta$ such that ~~$\dot{S}_1 \cap V_\delta$~~ is a $\text{Col}(\kappa, \bar{\delta})$ -name for a

XXXIII.

stationary subset of $\bar{\delta}$. Set $S_i = \dot{S}_i^{\bar{a}}$ and $\bar{S}_i = (\dot{S}_i \cap V_{\bar{\delta}})^{\bar{a}}$, where \bar{a} is the filter on $\text{Col}(\kappa, \bar{\delta})$ induced by a . Since $V[a]$ is a $\text{Col}(\kappa, [\bar{\delta}, \delta])$ -generic extension of $V[\bar{a}]$, Lemma 7.4.4 implies that \bar{S}_i is a stationary subset of $\bar{\delta}$ in $V[a]$ for all $i < 2$. ~~thus~~ Moreover, we have $\bar{S}_i \subseteq S_i \cap \delta$ for $i < 2$ and this implies that the stationary subsets \bar{S}_0 and S_1 both reflect at $\bar{\delta}$. \square