

(I)

7. Stationary Reflection

7.1. Introduction

7.1.1. Definition: Let κ be an uncountable regular cardinal, S be a stationary subset of κ and $\lambda \in \kappa \cap \text{Lim}$. We say that S reflects at λ if $S \cap \lambda$ is a stationary subset of λ .

7.1.2. Proposition: Let $S \subseteq \kappa$ be a stationary subset of a regular uncountable cardinal κ that reflects at $\lambda \in \kappa \cap \text{Lim}$. If $S \subseteq \text{Lim}$, then $\text{cof}(\lambda) > \omega$.

Proof: Assume, towards a contradiction, that $\text{cof}(\lambda) = \omega$. Then there is a club subset C of λ of order-type ω that consists of successor ordinals. Hence $C \cap S = \emptyset$, a contradiction. □

7.1.3. Proposition: If $\kappa = \mu^+$ is the successor of a regular cardinal μ , then the stationary subset
$$S_\mu^\kappa = \{ \lambda < \kappa \mid \text{cof}(\lambda) = \mu \}$$
does not reflect.

(II).

Proof: Assume, towards a contradiction, that S_μ^k reflects at $\lambda \in K \cap \text{Lim}$. Then there is a club subset C of λ of order-type $\text{cof}(\lambda) \leq \mu$ such that every successor in the monoton enumeration of C is a successor ordinal. By our assumption, there is a $\beta \in C \cap S_\mu^k$ and our construction ensures $\beta \in \text{Lim}$. Then $\text{cof}(\beta) \leq \text{otp}(C, \beta) < \text{cof}(\lambda) \leq \mu$, a contradiction. \square

7.1.4. Corollary: If K is an uncountable regular cardinal such that every stationary subset of K reflects, then K is either weakly inaccessible or the successor of a singular cardinal. \square

7.1.5. Lemma: If K is a weakly compact cardinal, then every stationary subset of K reflects.

Proof: Let S be a stationary subset of K . Then the statement " S is stationary" can be expressed by a Π_1^1 -statement in the structure $\langle V_K, \in, S \rangle$. Since K is Π_1^1 -indescribable, there is an inaccessible cardinal $\lambda < K$ such that $S \cap \lambda$ is stationary in λ . \square

7.1.6. Lemma: Let κ be an uncountable regular cardinal such that every stationary subset of S_ω^κ reflects. If $\vec{C} = \langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ is a $\square(\kappa)$ -sequence, then the set

$$S = \{ \alpha \in S_\omega^\kappa \mid \text{otp}(C_\alpha) < \alpha \}$$

is not stationary.

Proof: Assume, towards a contradiction, that S is stationary. Define

$$\nu: S \rightarrow \kappa; \alpha \mapsto \text{otp}(C_\alpha).$$

Then ν is regressive and there is a stationary subset \bar{S} of S such that $\nu \upharpoonright \bar{S}$ is constant. By our assumptions, there is an $\alpha \in \kappa \cap \text{Lim}$ such that $\bar{S} \cap \alpha$ is stationary in α and Proposition 7.1.2.

implies $\text{cof}(\alpha) > \omega$. Then $\text{Lim}(C_\alpha)$ is a club subset of α and there are $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$ such that $\beta, \gamma \in \text{Lim}(C_\alpha) \cap \bar{S}$. In this situation, the coherency of \vec{C} implies

$C_\beta = C_\alpha \cap \beta$ and $C_\gamma = C_\alpha \cap \gamma = C_\beta \cap \gamma$. Hence C_γ is a proper initial segment of C_β and this implies $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) < \text{otp}(C_\beta)$. But $\beta, \gamma \in \bar{S}$ and this means $\text{otp}(C_\beta) = \text{otp}(C_\gamma)$, a contradiction. □

7.1.7. Theorem (Jensen): Assume $V=L$.

Let κ be an uncountable regular cardinal that is not weakly compact. Then there is a $\square(\kappa)$ -sequence $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ and a stationary subset $S \subseteq S_\omega^\kappa$ such that $\text{Lim}(C_\alpha) \cap S = \emptyset$ for every $\alpha \in \kappa \cap \text{Lim}$.

7.1.8. Corollary (Jensen): Assume $V=L$.

Given an uncountable regular cardinal κ , the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) κ is weakly compact.
- (ii) Every stationary subset of κ reflects.
- (iii) Every stationary subset of S_ω^κ reflects.

Proof: The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) follow from Lemma 7.1.5.

Assume κ is not weakly compact. Let $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ and $S \subseteq S_\omega^\kappa$ be the objects obtained by an application of Theorem 7.1.7. Then there is a sequence $\bar{D} = \langle D_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ such that $C_\alpha = D_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \kappa \setminus S$ and D_α is a cofinal subset of α of order-type ω for every $\alpha \in S$. Then \bar{D} is a $\square(\kappa)$ -sequence and the set $\{ \alpha \in S_\omega^\kappa \mid \text{otp}(D_\alpha) < \alpha \}$ is stationary. By Lemma 7.1.6, this implies that there is a stationary subset of S_ω^κ that does not reflect. □

7.1.9. Definition: Let K be an infinite cardinal. A coherent C -sequence $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < K^+ \rangle$ is a \square_K -sequence if $\text{otp}(C_\alpha) \leq K$ holds for every $\alpha < K^+$.

7.1.10. Proposition: Let K be an infinite cardinal. Then every \square_K -sequence is a $\square(K^+)$ -sequence.

Proof: Assume that there is a \square_K -sequence $\vec{C} = \langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < K^+ \rangle$ and a club subset C of K^+ that threads \vec{C} . Pick $\alpha \in \text{Lim}(C)$ with $\text{otp}(C \cap \alpha) > K$. Then $K \leq \text{otp}(C \cap \alpha) = \text{otp}(C_\alpha) \leq K$, a contradiction. \square

7.1.11. Proposition: Let K be an uncountable regular cardinal such that every stationary subset of S_w^K reflects. Then there is no \square_K -sequence.

Proof: Assume, towards a contradiction, that there is a \square_K -sequence $\vec{C} = \langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < K^+ \rangle$. Then \vec{C} is a $\square(K^+)$ -sequence and $\text{otp}(C_\alpha) < \alpha$ for every $\alpha \in S_w^{K^+} \setminus K$. By Lemma 7.1.6, this yields a contradiction. \square

7.1.12. Definition: An inaccessible cardinal κ is a Mahlo cardinal if the set of regular cardinals smaller than κ form a stationary subset of κ .

7.1.13. Theorem (Jensen): If κ is an infinite cardinal such that κ^+ is not Mahlo in L , then there is a \square_κ -sequence.

7.1.14. Corollary (Jensen): If κ is an uncountable cardinal such that every stationary subset of $S_\omega^{\kappa^+}$ reflects, then κ^+ is a Mahlo cardinal in L . □

We will later prove the following theorem that shows that the above corollary yields the correct consistency strength for stationary reflection for stationary subsets of $S_\omega^{\kappa^+}$.

7.1.15. Theorem (Harrington - Shelah):
Let κ be an uncountable regular cardinal and $\delta \geq \kappa$ be a Mahlo cardinal. Then there is a partial order \mathbb{P} with the following properties.

(i) Forcing with \mathbb{P} preserves cofinalities $\leq \kappa$ and $\geq \delta$.

(ii) If $\kappa < \delta$, then \mathbb{P} forces " $\delta = \kappa^+$ ".

(iii) If G is \mathbb{P} -generic over V , then every stationary subset of S_{ω}^{δ} reflects in $V[G]$.

7.1.16. Corollary: Let κ be an uncountable regular cardinal and $\delta > \kappa$ be a Mahlo cardinal that is not weakly compact in L . Then there is a generic extension $V[G]$ of V such that there is a \square_{κ^+} -sequence in $V[G]$ and there is no \square_{κ} -sequence in $V[G]$. □