

## 2.4. Borel Codes

Recall that the Baire space is the topological space consisting of the set  ${}^{\omega}\omega$  of all functions  $x: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  equipped with the topology whose basic open sets are of the form

$$N_s = \{x \in {}^{\omega}\omega \mid s \subseteq x\},$$

where  $s$  is an element of the set  ${}^{<\omega}\omega$  of all functions  $t: n \rightarrow \omega$  with  $n < \omega$ .

2.4.1. Definition: (i): We say that  $T \subseteq ({}^{<\omega}\omega)^{2+1}$  is a subtree of  $({}^{<\omega}\omega)^{2+1}$  if  $\text{lh}(t_0) = \dots = \text{lh}(t_2)$  and  $(t_0 \upharpoonright n_1, \dots, t_2 \upharpoonright n_1) \in T$  for all  $(t_0, \dots, t_2) \in T$  and  $n_1 \leq \text{lh}(t_0)$ .

(ii): Given a subtree  $T$  of  $({}^{<\omega}\omega)^{2+1}$ , we define  $[T] = \{ (x_0, \dots, x_2) \in ({}^{\omega}\omega)^{2+1} \mid \forall n < \omega (x_0 \upharpoonright n_1, \dots, x_2 \upharpoonright n_1) \in T \}$  to be the set of cofinal branches through  $T$ .

(iii): We say that a subtree  $T$  of  $({}^{<\omega}\omega)^{2+1}$  is well-founded if  $[T] = \emptyset$ .

2.4.2 Proposition (ZF-): A subtree  $T$  of  $({}^{<\omega}\omega)^{2+1}$  is well-founded if and only if  $(T, \preceq)$  is a well-founded relation.



Remark: Note that a subset  $A$  of  $({}^\omega\omega)^{\aleph_{\gamma+1}}$  is closed if and only if there is a subtree  $T$  of  $({}^{<\omega}\omega)^{\aleph_{\gamma+1}}$  with  $A = [T]$ .

2.4.3. Lemma: Let  $T$  be a subtree of  $({}^{<\omega}\omega)^{\aleph_{\gamma+1}}$  and  $M$  be a transitive model of  $ZF^-$  with  $T \in M$ . Then the statement " $T$  is well-founded" is absolute between  $M$  and  $V$ .

Proof: Assume that  $[T]^M = \emptyset$ . By 2.42,  $(T, \geq)$  is a well-founded relation in  $M$  and there is a rank-function  $r: T \rightarrow \text{On}$  with  
 ~~$r(t_0, \dots, t_k) = \text{lub} \{r(p_0, \dots, p_k) \mid (p_0, \dots, p_k) \in T, p_i \geq t_i \text{ for all } i \leq k\}$~~   
 $r(t_0, \dots, t_k) = \text{lub} \{r(p_0, \dots, p_k) \mid (p_0, \dots, p_k) \in T, p_i \geq t_i \text{ for all } i \leq k\}$   
 in  $M$ . Then  $r$  witnesses that  $(T, \geq)$  is a well-founded relation in  $V$  and we can conclude that  $[T]^V = \emptyset$ . Since we also have  $[T]^M \subseteq [T]^V$ , this shows the statement of the Lemma. □

2.4.4 Definition: Let  $T = (X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ .

- (i) We say that  $A$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $T$  if  $A$  is equal to the intersection of countably many open sets in  $T$ .
- (ii) We say that  $A$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $T$  if  $X \setminus A$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $T$ .

Fix a recursive enumeration  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  of  ${}^\omega\omega$  such that  $lh(\sigma_i) \leq i$ ,  $\sigma_i \leq \sigma_j \rightarrow i \leq j$  and  $i \neq j \rightarrow \sigma_i \neq \sigma_j$  holds for all  $i, j < \omega$ .

2.4.5 Definition: (i) We say that  $x \in {}^\omega\omega$  is a code for...

- ... an open subset if  $x(0) = 0$ .
- ... a closed subset if  $x(0) = 1$ .
- ... a  $G_\delta$ -subset if  $x(0) > 1$ .

(ii) Given  $x \in {}^\omega\omega$ , we define

- $A_x = \bigcup \{ N_{\sigma_i} \mid x(i+1) = 1 \}$  if  $x(0) = 0$ .
- $A_x = {}^\omega\omega \setminus \bigcup \{ N_{\sigma_i} \mid x(i+1) = 1 \}$  if  $x(0) = 1$ .
- $A_x = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \bigcup \{ N_{\sigma_i} \mid x(2^n \cdot 3^{n+1}) = 1 \}$  if  $x(0) > 1$ .



2.4.6. Lemma: Let  $M$  be a transitive model of  $ZF^-$  and  $c, d, e, x \in ({}^\omega\omega)^M$ . Then the following statements are absolute between  $M$  and  $V$ .

- (i)  $x \in A_c$     (ii)  $A_c = \emptyset$     (iii)  $A_c \subseteq A_d$   
 (iv)  $A_c \subseteq {}^\omega\omega \setminus A_d$     (v)  $A_c \cap A_d = A_e$

Proof: (i) If  $c(0) = 0$ , then

$$x \in A_c \Leftrightarrow \exists i < \omega [c(i+1) = 1 \wedge \rho_i \subseteq x]$$

If  $c(0) = 1$ , then

$$x \in A_c \Leftrightarrow \forall i < \omega [c(i+1) = 1 \rightarrow \rho_i \not\subseteq x]$$

If  $c(0) > 1$ , then

$$x \in A_c \Leftrightarrow \forall n < \omega \exists i < \omega [c(2^n \cdot 3^{i+1}) = 1 \wedge \rho_i \subseteq x]$$

This shows that the statement is absolute.

(ii) If  $c(0) = 0$ , then  $A_c = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \forall i < \omega c(i+1) = 0$ .

Now, assume  $c(0) = 1$  and define

$$T = \{t \in {}^\omega\omega \mid \forall i < \text{lh}(t) [c(i+1) = 1 \rightarrow \rho_i \not\subseteq t]\} \in M.$$

Then  $T$  is a subtree of  ${}^\omega\omega$  and

$$A_c^M = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow [T]^M = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow [T]^V = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow A_c^V = \emptyset.$$

Finally, assume  $c(0) > 1$  and define

~~$$T = \{ \langle t, u \rangle \in {}^\omega\omega \times {}^\omega\omega \mid \forall n < \text{lh}(t) [c(2^n \cdot 3^{n+1}) = 1 \wedge (\text{lh}(u) < \text{lh}(t) \rightarrow \rho_n \subseteq t)] \}$$~~

$$T = \{ \langle t, u \rangle \in {}^\omega\omega \times {}^\omega\omega \mid \text{lh}(t) = \text{lh}(u) \wedge \forall n < \text{lh}(t) [c(2^n \cdot 3^{n+1}) = 1 \wedge (\text{lh}(u) < \text{lh}(t) \rightarrow \rho_n \subseteq t)] \}$$

Then  $T \in M$  is a subtree of  ${}^\omega\omega \times {}^\omega\omega$  and

$$A_c^M = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow [T]^M = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow [T]^V = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow A_c^V = \emptyset.$$

The statements (iii) - (v) follow in the same way. □

Remember that we use  $\lambda$  to denote the unique measure on  $({}^\omega\omega, \mathcal{B})$  with  $\lambda(N_s) = \prod_{i \in \text{lh}(s)} 2^{-(n(i)+1)}$  for all  $s \neq \emptyset \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ .

2.4.7. Proposition ( $\mathcal{ZF} + (\text{DC})$ ): Let  $A \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  be  $\lambda$ -measurable.

(i): Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a closed subset  $C$  of  ${}^\omega\omega$  and an open subset  $U$  of  ${}^\omega\omega$  such that  $C \subseteq A \subseteq U$  and  $\lambda(U) - \lambda(C) < \varepsilon$ .

(ii): There is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -set  $F$  and a  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ -set  $G$  such that  $F \subseteq A \subseteq G$  and  $\lambda(F) = \lambda(G)$ .

2.4.8. Lemma: If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a transitive model of  $\mathcal{ZF} + (\text{DC})$  and  $C \in ({}^\omega\omega)^\mathcal{M}$ , then  $\lambda(A_C) = (\lambda(A_C))^\mathcal{M}$ .

Proof: (i) Assume  $C(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . Then there is a  $d \in ({}^\omega\omega)^\mathcal{M}$  such that  $d(1) = 0$  and  $A_C^\mathcal{M} = A_d^\mathcal{M} = \bigcup \{N_{d(i)}^\mathcal{M} \mid d(i+1) = 1\}$ .

Then  $A_C^\mathcal{V} = A_d^\mathcal{V} = \bigcup \{N_{d(i)}^\mathcal{V} \mid d(i+1) = 1\}$   
 $(\lambda(A_C^\mathcal{M}))^\mathcal{V} = (\lambda(A_d^\mathcal{M}))^\mathcal{V} = \sum_{i \in {}^\omega\omega, d(i+1)=1} \left( \prod_{n \in \text{lh}(d)} 2^{-(n(i)+1)} \right)$   
 $= (\lambda(A_d^\mathcal{V}))^\mathcal{V} = (\lambda(A_C^\mathcal{V}))^\mathcal{V}$ .

XXIII

(ii) Assume  $C(0) = 1$ . Then there is a  $d \in {}^\omega \omega$  with  $d(0) = 0$  and  $A_c^u = ({}^\omega \omega \setminus A_d)^u$ . This implies  $A_c^v = ({}^\omega \omega \setminus A_d)^v$  and  $(\lambda(A_c^u))^u = 1 - (\lambda(A_d))^u = 1 - \lambda(A_d) = \lambda(A_c)$ .

(iii) Assume  $C(0) > 1$ . In  $M$ , there is a sequence  $\langle c_m \in {}^\omega \omega \mid m < \omega \rangle$  such that  $c_m = 0$  and

(\*)  $A_{c_m} = \bigcap_{n < m} \bigcup \{ N_{s(i)} \mid C(2^n \cdot 3^{i+1}) = 1 \}$  for all  $m < \omega$ . Then (\*) also holds in  $V$  and we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda(A_c))^u &= \inf_{m < \omega} (\lambda(A_{c_m}))^u \\ &= \inf_{m < \omega} \lambda(A_{c_m}) = \lambda(A_c). \end{aligned}$$

□