

2.3. The Levy Collapse

Reminder: Given a regular cardinal λ and $S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$, the partial order $\text{Col}(\lambda, S)$ consists of partial functions p of cardinality less than λ with $\text{dom}(p) \subseteq S \times \lambda$ and $p(\alpha, \xi) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $(\alpha, \xi) \in \text{dom}(p)$ ordered by reversed inclusion.

2.3.1. Lemma: If κ is an uncountable regular cardinal, then $\text{Col}(\omega, \kappa)$ satisfies the κ -chain condition. In particular, forcing with $\text{Col}(\omega, \kappa)$ preserves ~~cardinals~~ cofinalities greater than or equal to κ .

Proof: Let $A_0 \in [\text{Col}(\omega, \kappa)]^\kappa$. By the Δ -system Lemma, there is an $A \in [A_0]^\kappa$ and a finite subset τ of $\omega \times \omega$ with $2 < \kappa$ such that $\tau = \text{dom}(p) \cap \text{dom}(q)$ for all $p, q \in A$ with $p \neq q$. Since there are less than κ -many functions from τ to 2 , there are $p, q \in A$ with $p \upharpoonright \tau = q \upharpoonright \tau$ and $p \neq q$. This shows that A_0 is not an antichain. \square

2.3.2. Corollary: If κ is an uncountable regular cardinal, then $\mathbb{1}_{\text{Col}(\omega, \kappa)} \Vdash \check{\kappa} = \omega_1$. \square

2.3.3. Proposition: If $S = S_0 \cup S_1 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_M$,

then the canonical map

$$i: \text{Col}(w, S) \rightarrow \text{Col}(w, S_0) \times \text{Col}(w, S_1)$$

$$p \mapsto (p \upharpoonright (S_0 \times w), p \upharpoonright (S_1 \times w))$$

is an isomorphism of partial orders.

In particular, $\text{Col}(w, S_i)$ is a complete subforcing of $\text{Col}(w, S)$. □

2.3.4. Lemma: Let K be a regular uncountable cardinal, $\lambda < K$ and \dot{x} be a $\text{Col}(w, K)$ -name for a subset of λ . Then there is a $\bar{K} < K$ and a $\text{Col}(w, \bar{K})$ -name \dot{y} with $\mathbb{1}_{\text{Col}(w, K)} \Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$.

Proof: Let $\dot{y} = \bigcup_{\lambda} \{\check{\alpha}\} \times A_\alpha$ be a $\text{Col}(w, K)$ -nice name for \dot{x} . Since $\text{Col}(w, K)$ satisfies the K -chain condition and K is regular, there is a $\bar{K} < K$ with $A_\alpha \in \text{Col}(w, \bar{K})$ for all $\alpha < \lambda$. Then \dot{y} is a $\text{Col}(w, \bar{K})$ -name. □

2.3.5 Corollary: If K is an inaccessible cardinal, G is $\text{Col}(w, K)$ -generic over V and $x: \lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M$ is a function in $V[G]$ with $\lambda < K$, then K is inaccessible in $V[x]$. □

2.3.6. Lemma: Let δ be ~~an infinite~~ ^{an \aleph_1 limit ordinal} and \mathbb{P} be a separative partial order of cardinality at most $|\delta|$ with $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}} \Vdash \exists f: \omega \rightarrow \delta$ [f is surjective $\wedge f \notin \check{V}$]. Then there is an injective dense embedding of a dense subset of $\text{Coll}(\omega, \{\delta\})$ into \mathbb{P} .

Proof: Note that below every condition in \mathbb{P} there is a maximal antichain of size $|\delta|$.

Given $n < \omega$, define

$$D_n = \{ p \in \text{Coll}(\omega, \{\delta\}) \mid \text{dom}(p) = \{\delta\} \times n \}$$

Then $D = \bigcup D_n$ is a dense subset of $\text{Coll}(\omega, \{\delta\})$.

Let f be a \mathbb{P} -name for a surjection of ω onto the \mathbb{P} -generic filter. We define

$e_n: D_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ by induction:

- $e_0(\emptyset) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}}$
- Assume that e_n is already constructed.

Fix $p \in D_n$ and fix an ^{injective} enumeration $\langle q_\gamma \mid \gamma < \delta \rangle$ of a maximal antichain in \mathbb{P} below $e_n(p)$ consisting of conditions that decide $f(n)$. Define

$$e_{n+1}(p \cup \{(\delta, n), \gamma\}) = q_\gamma.$$

Then $\text{ran}(e_{n+1})$ is a maximal antichain in \mathbb{P} for every $n < \omega$ and $e = \bigcup e_n: D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is injective and order-preserving.

Pick $q \in \mathbb{P}$. Since \mathbb{P} is separative, we have $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \check{q} \in \check{G}$ and there is an $r \leq_{\mathbb{P}} q$ and an $n < \omega$ with $r \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \check{q} = f(n)$.

By our construction, there is a $p \in D_{\mu_{\alpha}}$ such that $\|p\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq r$. Then $\|p\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq r$ and $\check{q} = f(\check{u}) \in \check{A}$ and this implies $\|p\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq r$, because \mathbb{P} is separative. \square

2.3.7. Corollary: Let δ be an infinite cardinal and \mathbb{P} be a partial order of cardinality δ . Then the partial orders $\text{Col}(\omega, \delta)$ and $\mathbb{P} \times \text{Col}(\omega, \delta)$ are forcing equivalent. \square

2.3.8. Corollary: Let κ be an uncountable cardinal and \mathbb{P} be a partial order of cardinality less than κ . Then the partial orders $\text{Col}(\omega, \kappa)$ and $\mathbb{P} \times \text{Col}(\omega, \kappa)$ are forcing equivalent.

Proof: This follows from 2.3.3. and 2.3.7. \square

2.3.9. Lemma: Let κ be an uncountable regular cardinal and $\lambda < \kappa$. If \check{A} is $\text{Col}(\omega, \kappa)$ -generic over V and $X: \lambda \rightarrow \text{On}$ is contained in $V[\check{A}]$, then κ is an uncountable regular cardinal in $V[X]$ and $V[\check{A}]$ is a $\text{Col}(\omega, \kappa)$ -generic extension of $V[X]$.

Proof: By 2.3.4., there is $\delta < \kappa$ and $G_0, G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{V}[G_0]$ such that the following statements hold:

- G_0 is $\text{Coll}(w, \delta)$ -gen. $|V$ and $X \in \mathcal{V}[G_0]$
- G_1 is $\text{Coll}(w, \{\delta\})$ -gen. $|V[G_0]$
- G_2 is $\text{Coll}(w, \kappa \setminus (\delta+1))$ -generic $|V$ and $\mathcal{V}[G_2] = \mathcal{V}[G_0][G_1][G_2]$.

Since $\text{Coll}(w, \delta)$ satisfies the κ -chain condition in V , κ is an uncountable regular cardinal in $\mathcal{V}[G_0]$ and hence also in $\mathcal{V}[X]$.

By 2.2.6., there is a partial order \mathbb{P} of cardinality ~~less than~~ ^{not} κ in $\mathcal{V}[X]$ such that $\mathcal{V}[G_0]$ is a ^{regularly} \mathbb{P} -generic extension of $\mathcal{V}[X]$.

Since 2.3.7 implies that the partial orders $\text{Coll}(w, \{\delta\})$, $\mathbb{P} \times \text{Coll}(w, \{\delta\})$ and $\text{Coll}(w, \delta+1)$ are all forcing equivalent in $\mathcal{V}[X]$, we can conclude that $\mathcal{V}[G_0][G_1]$ is a $\text{Coll}(w, \delta+1)$ -generic extension of $\mathcal{V}[X]$ and hence $\mathcal{V}[G_2]$ is a $\text{Coll}(w, \kappa)$ -generic extension of $\mathcal{V}[X]$. □

2.3.10. Definition: A partial order \mathbb{P} is warily homogeneous if for all $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$ there is an automorphism π of \mathbb{P} such that $\pi(p) \parallel_{\mathbb{P}} q$.

2.3.11 Proposition: If \mathbb{P} is a warily homogeneous partial order, then

$$1_{\mathbb{P}} \Vdash \ulcorner \varphi(\check{x}_0, \dots, \check{x}_m) \urcorner \\ \iff \exists p \in \mathbb{P} \quad p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \ulcorner \varphi(\check{x}_0, \dots, \check{x}_m) \urcorner$$

for every formula $\varphi(v_0, \dots, v_m)$ and all $\check{x}_0, \dots, \check{x}_m$.

Proof: Let $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \ulcorner \varphi(\check{x}_0, \dots, \check{x}_m) \urcorner$ and assume that there is a $q \in \mathbb{P}$ with $q \Vdash \neg \ulcorner \varphi(\check{x}_0, \dots, \check{x}_m) \urcorner$. Then there is a $\pi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P})$ and an $r \in \mathbb{P}$ with $r \leq_{\mathbb{P}} \pi(p)$, q and hence $r \Vdash \ulcorner \varphi(\check{x}_0, \dots, \check{x}_m) \urcorner \wedge \neg \ulcorner \varphi(\check{x}_0, \dots, \check{x}_m) \urcorner$, a contradiction. □

2.3.12. Proposition: If λ is a regular cardinal and $S \in \text{On}$, then $\text{Coll}(\lambda, S)$ is warily homogeneous.

Proof: Fix $p, q \in \text{Coll}(\lambda, S)$. Then there is $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\lambda)$ with $\langle \beta, f(\xi) \rangle \notin \text{dom}(q)$ for all $\langle \alpha, \xi \rangle \in \text{dom}(p)$ and $\beta \in S$. There is $\pi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P})$ with $\text{dom}(\pi(r)) = \{ \langle \alpha, f(\xi) \rangle \mid \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle \in \text{dom}(p) \}$ and $\pi(w) \langle \alpha, f(\xi) \rangle = \pi(\alpha, \xi)$ for all $w \in \text{Coll}(\lambda, S)$ and $\langle \alpha, \xi \rangle \in \text{dom}(w)$. Then $\text{dom}(\pi(p)) \cap \text{dom}(q) = \emptyset$ and hence $\pi(p) \parallel_{\text{Coll}(\lambda, S)} q$. □

2.3.13. Definition: Let $A \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$ and M be an inner model of ZFC. We say that A is Solovay over M if there is a formula $\phi(x, y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})$ and $y_0, \dots, y_{n-1} \in M$ such that

$$A = \{x \in {}^\omega\omega \mid M[x] \models \phi(x, y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})\}$$

2.3.14 Theorem: Let κ be an inaccessible cardinal, \mathcal{C} be $\text{Coll}(\omega, \kappa)$ -generic over V and $A \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$ with $A \in \text{HOD}({}^\omega\text{On})^{V[\mathcal{C}]}$. Then there is an inner model M of ZFC such that A is Solovay over M in $V[\mathcal{C}]$ and $(2^{\aleph_1})^M$ is countable in $V[\mathcal{C}]$.

Proof: By definition, there is a formula $\phi(x, y)$ and $y \in ({}^\omega\text{On})^{V[\mathcal{C}]}$ such that

$$A = \{x \in ({}^\omega\omega)^{V[\mathcal{C}]} \mid V[\mathcal{C}] \models \phi(x, y)\}$$

Set $M = V[y]$. By 2.3.5 and 2.3.9, $(2^{\aleph_1})^M$ is countable in $V[\mathcal{C}]$. ~~...~~ Given $x \in ({}^\omega\omega)^{V[\mathcal{C}]}$, ~~...~~ pick $H_x \in V[\mathcal{C}]$ such that $V[\mathcal{C}] \models \text{Coll}(\omega, \kappa)$ -gen. $\{M[x]\}$ with $V[\mathcal{C}] = M[x] \cup H_x$. By 2.3.11 and 2.3.12, we have

$$x \in A \iff V[\mathcal{C}] \models \phi(x, y) \iff \exists p \in H_x \ M[x] \models (\forall q \in H_x \ \phi(x, y))$$

$$\iff M[x] \models (\exists q \in H_x \ \neg \phi(x, y))$$

This yields a formula that witnesses that A is Solovay over M in $V[\mathcal{C}]$. □