

## 2.2 Intermediate models of forcing extensions

2.2.1. Theorem: Let  $M$  be an inner model of ZFC and  $x$  be a set with  $x \in M$ . Then there is a unique <sup>minimal</sup> inner model  $M[x]$  of ZFC with  $x \in M[x]$  and  $M \subseteq M[x]$ .

Sketch of the proof: There are  $\gamma \in \text{On}$  and  $f, X \in M$  such that  $x \in X$  and  $f: \gamma \rightarrow X$  is a bijection. Define  $a = f^{-1}x$  and  $M[x] = \bigcup_{\alpha \in M, \beta \in \text{On}} L[a, b]$ . Then  $M[x]$  is transitive,  $\text{On} \subseteq M[x]$  and it can be shown that  $M[x]$  is a model of ZFC. Since every set of ordinals in  $M$  is contained in  $M[x]$ , we have  $M \subseteq M[x]$ . Moreover,  $a, f \in M[x]$  implies  $x \in M[x]$ .

Let  $N$  be an inner model with  $M \subseteq N$  and  $x \in N$ . ~~Then  $b \in N$  for every  $b \in M$  with  $b \leq \text{On}$~~  Then  $f, x \in N$  implies  $a \in N$  and hence  $L[a, b] \subseteq N$  for every  $b \in M$  with  $b \leq \text{On}$ . In particular, we have  $M[x] \subseteq N$ . □

Goal: Let  $V[G]$  be a  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic extension of  $V$  and  $x \in V[G]$  with  $x \in V$ . Then there is a partial order  $\mathbb{D}$  and a  $\mathbb{D}$ -name  $\dot{Q}_{\mathbb{D}}$  for a partial order such that  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{D} * \dot{Q}_{\mathbb{D}}$  are forcing equivalent,  $V[x] \text{ (minimal)} = V[H]$  for some  $H \in V[G]$  that is  $\mathbb{D}$ -generic over  $V$  and  $V[G]$  is a  $\dot{Q}_{\mathbb{D}}$ -generic extension of  $V[x]$ .

Recall that a complete subalgebra  $\mathbb{D}$  of a complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  is generated by  $X \subseteq \mathbb{B}$  if  $\mathbb{D}$  is the smallest complete subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}$  containing  $X$ . A complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  is  $\kappa$ -generated for some cardinal  $\kappa$  if there is an  $X \in [\mathbb{B}]^{\leq \kappa}$  that generates  $\mathbb{B}$ .

2.2.2. Lemma: Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a complete Boolean algebra and  $X \subseteq \mathbb{B}$  such that  $X$  generates  $\mathbb{B}$ . If  $G$  is  $\mathbb{B}$ -generic over  $V$ , then  $V[G] = V[G \cap X]$ .

Proof: It suffices to show that  $G \in V[G \cap X]$ .

Working in  $V$ , we inductively construct sequences  $\langle X_\alpha \mid \alpha < \theta \rangle$  and  $\langle \bar{X}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \theta \rangle$  with  $\theta = |\mathbb{B}|^+$  by the following clauses:

- $X_0 = X$
- $\bar{X}_\alpha = \{-a \mid a \in X_\alpha\}$
- $X_\alpha = \left\{ \sum z \mid z \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (X_\beta \cup \bar{X}_\beta) \right\}$  for  $\alpha > 0$

Then  $\mathbb{B} = \bigcup X_\alpha$ .

Working in  $V[G \cap X]$ , we inductively construct sequences  $\langle G_\alpha \mid \alpha < \theta \rangle$  and  $\langle \bar{G}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \theta \rangle$  by setting:

- $G_0 = G \cap X$
- $\bar{G}_\alpha = \{-a \mid a \in X_\alpha \setminus G_\alpha\}$
- $G_\alpha = \left\{ \sum z \mid z \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (X_\beta \cup \bar{X}_\beta) \text{ with } z \cap (G_\beta \cup \bar{G}_\beta) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } \beta < \alpha \right\}$  for  $\alpha > 0$ .

An easy induction shows  $G_\alpha = G \cap X_\alpha$  and  $\bar{G}_\alpha = G \cap \bar{X}_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \theta$ . Hence  $G = \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} G_\alpha \in V[G \cap X]$ . □

2.2.3. Lemma: Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a complete Boolean algebra and  $\kappa$  be a cardinal. If  $x$  is a  $\mathbb{B}^*$ -name for a subset of  $\kappa$ , then there is a  $\kappa$ -generated complete subalgebra  $\mathbb{D}$  of  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $V[x^G] = V[G \cap \mathbb{D}]$  holds whenever  $G$  is  $\mathbb{B}^*$ -generic over  $V$ .

Proof: Given  $2 < \kappa$ , define

$$b_\alpha = \sum \{ a \in \mathbb{B}^* \mid a \Vdash_{\mathbb{B}^*} \check{\alpha} \in \check{x} \}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  denote the complete subalgebra generated by  $X = \{ b_\alpha \mid 2 < \alpha < \kappa \}$ . Set

$$a = \{ \langle \check{\alpha}, b_\alpha \rangle \mid 2 < \alpha < \kappa, b_\alpha \neq 0_{\mathbb{B}} \}.$$

Let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{B}^*$ -generic over  $V$ . If  $2 \in x^G$ , then  $0_{\mathbb{B}} \neq b_2 \in G \cap \mathbb{D}$  and hence  $2 \in a^{G \cap \mathbb{D}}$ .

If  $2 \in a^{G \cap \mathbb{D}}$ , then there is an  $a \in G$  with  $a \Vdash_{\mathbb{B}^*} \check{2} \in \check{x}$  and hence  $2 \in x^G$ .

This shows  $x^G = a^{G \cap \mathbb{D}}$  and  $V[x^G] \subseteq V[G \cap \mathbb{D}]$ .

By Lemma 2.2.2, we have  $V[G \cap \mathbb{D}] = V[G \cap X]$ . Since  $G \cap X = \{ b_\alpha \mid 2 \in x^G \} \in V[x^G]$ , we also get  $V[G \cap \mathbb{D}] \subseteq V[x^G]$ .  $\square$

2.2.4. Lemma: Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a complete Boolean algebra,  $G$  be  $\mathbb{B}^*$ -generic over  $V$  and let  $M$

be ~~a transitive~~ <sup>a transitive</sup> model of ZFC with  $V \subseteq M \subseteq V[G]$

Then there is a complete subalgebra  $\mathbb{D}$  of  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $M = V[G \cap \mathbb{D}]$ .

Proof: Let  $a \in M$  with  $a \leq 0_M$  and  $P(\mathbb{R})^M \in V[a]$ .

By the above Lemma, it suffices to show  $M \in V[a]$ .

Let  $x \in M$  with  $x \leq 0_M$ . By Lemma 2.2.3, there is a complete subalgebra  $\mathbb{D}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $V$  with  $V[x] = V[G \cap \mathbb{D}]$ . Then  $G \cap \mathbb{D} \in P(\mathbb{R})^M \in V[a]$  and hence  $x \in V[a]$ . This shows  $M \in V[a]$ . □

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a separative partial order,  $i: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{P})$  be the canonical <sup>name</sup> embedding into the complete Boolean algebra of regular open subsets of  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{D}$  be a complete subalgebra of  $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{P})$ . Define  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{D}}$  to be the canonical  $\mathbb{D}^*$ -name with the property that whenever  $H$  is  $\mathbb{D}^*$ -generic over  $V$ , then  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{D}}^H$  is a partial order with domain

~~$\{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid \forall q \in H [i(p) \parallel_{\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{P})^*} q]\}$~~   
~~ordered by  $\leq_{\mathbb{P}}$ .~~

$$\{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid \forall q \in H \quad i(p) \parallel_{\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{P})^*} q\}$$

ordered by  $\leq_{\mathbb{P}}$ .

2.2.5. Lemma: (i) The map

$L: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^*$ ;  $p \mapsto \Sigma \{ a \in \mathbb{D}^* \mid a \perp_{\mathbb{D}^*} \check{p} \in \check{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{D}} \}$   
is well-defined and order-preserving

(ii) The map

$d: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^* * \check{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{D}}$ ;  $p \mapsto (L(p), \check{p})$   
is a well-defined dense embedding.

Proof: Fix  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . If  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $V$  and  $H = i[G]$ , then  $p \in \check{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{D}}^{H, \mathbb{D}}$  and there is a  $q \in H \cap \mathbb{D}$  with  $q \perp_{\mathbb{D}^*} \check{p} \in \check{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{D}}$ .

This shows that  $\Sigma \{ a \in \mathbb{D}^* \mid a \perp_{\mathbb{D}^*} \check{p} \in \check{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{D}} \} \neq \emptyset_{\mathbb{D}^*}$ .

If  $p_0 \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p_1$  and  $q \in \mathbb{D}^*$  with  $q \perp_{\mathbb{D}^*} \check{p}_0 \in \check{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{D}}$ , then  $q \perp_{\mathbb{D}^*} \check{p}_1 \in \check{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{D}}$  and we can conclude that  $L(p_0) \leq_{\mathbb{D}^*} L(p_1)$ .

Pick  $(q_0, \check{p}) \in \mathbb{D}^* * \check{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{D}}$ . Then there is  $q \leq_{\mathbb{D}^*} q_0$  and  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  with  $q \perp_{\mathbb{D}^*} \check{p} = \check{p}$  and hence  $(q, \check{p}) \leq_{\mathbb{D}^* * \check{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{D}}} (q_0, \check{p})$ . Since  $q \perp_{\mathbb{D}^*} \check{p} \in \check{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{D}}$  we have  $i(p) \perp_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{P})^*} q$  and there is a  $p_* \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$  with  $i(p_*) \leq_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{P})^*} q$ .

Assume, towards a contradiction, that  $L(p_*) \not\leq_{\mathbb{D}^*} q$ . Then there is an  $r \leq_{\mathbb{D}^*} L(p_*)$  with  $q \perp_{\mathbb{D}^*} r$ .

But  $r \perp_{\mathbb{D}^*} \check{p}_* \in \check{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{D}}$  and hence  $i(p_*) \perp_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{P})^*} r$ . Since  $i(p_*) \leq_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{P})^*} q$ , this yields  $q \perp_{\mathbb{D}^*} r$ , a contradiction.

We can conclude  $d(p_*) \leq_{\mathbb{D}^* * \check{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{D}}} (q_0, \check{p})$ . □

(X)

2.2.6. Corollary: Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a partial order of cardinality  $\kappa$  in  $V$ ,  $G$  be  $\mathbb{P}$  generic over  $V$  and  $M$  be a transitive model of ZFC with  $V \subseteq M \subseteq V[G]$ . Then there is a partial order  $\mathbb{Q}$  of cardinality at most  $\kappa$  in  $M$  such that  $V[G]$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -generic extension of  $M$ .

Proof: By forming the separative quotient, we may assume that  $\mathbb{P}$  is separative. ~~By~~ Let  $H$  denote the filter on  $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{P})^*$  induced by  $G$ .

By Lemma 2.2.4, there is a complete subalgebra  $\mathbb{D}$  of  $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{P})^*$  such that  $M = V[H \cap \mathbb{D}]$ . In this situation, Lemma 2.2.5 shows that there is  $K \in V[G]$  that is  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{D}}^{H \cap \mathbb{D}}$ -generic over  $M$  with  $V[G] = M[K]$ .

By definition,  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{D}}^{H \cap \mathbb{D}}$  has cardinality at most  $\kappa$  in  $M$ .

□