

## 2 The Solovay Model

### 2.1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets of real numbers (i.e. Dedekind cuts of rational numbers).

2.1.1. Theorem (Lebesgue, 1901): There is a unique translation-invariant measure  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$  with  $\lambda([0, 1]) = 1$ .

2.1.2. Definition: Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. We say that  $Y \subseteq X$  is  $\mu$ -measurable if there are  $B, N \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $B \Delta Y \subseteq N$  and  $\mu(N) = 0$ .

2.13. Theorem (Vitali, 1905): There is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is not  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ -measurable.

Proof: Define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by setting:

$$x \sim y \iff x - y \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then there is a  $T \subseteq [0, 1]$  such that ~~the~~  $T$  contains exactly one element of every  $\sim$ -equivalence class. Assume, towards a contradiction, that  $B, N \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  witness that  $T$  is  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ -measurable.

(II)

Then  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(B) > 0$ , because otherwise

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}) &= \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} T+q\right) \leq \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} ((B \cup N)+q)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}((B \cup N)+q) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \lambda(B \cup N) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

But this implies

$$\begin{aligned}2 = \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}([0,2]) &\geq \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]} (B \cup N + q)\right) \\ &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]} \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}((B \cup N)+q) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]} \lambda(B \cup N) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]} \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(B) = +\infty,\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.  $\square$

The above argument uses the axiom of choice.

Naive Question: Is (AC) necessary to construct a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is not  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ -measurable?

Since the development of measure theory requires some amount of choice, we have to clarify the above question.

2.1.4. Definition: The Principle of Dependent Choice is the statement

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{DC}) \quad \forall X \neq \emptyset \quad \forall R \subseteq X \times X \\ [\forall x \in X \quad \exists y \in X \quad \langle x, y \rangle \in R \\ \rightarrow \exists f: \omega \rightarrow X \quad \forall n < \omega \quad \langle f(n), f(n+1) \rangle \in R].\end{aligned}$$

2.15. Proposition (ZF): (DC) implies (AC<sub>ω</sub>). □

Fact: (DC) suffices for the development of measure theory and the construction of  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Question: Con(ZF + (DC) + "all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  are  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ -measurable")?

2.16. Theorem (Solovay, 1964):

Con(ZFC + "there is an inaccessible cardinal")  
 $\rightarrow$  Con(ZF + (DC) + "all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  are  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ -measurable").

Remark: Shelah showed that these theories are equiconsistent.

2.1.7. Definition: Let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal and  $S \subseteq \text{On}$ . Define  $\text{Col}(\lambda, S)$  to be the partial order whose conditions are partial functions  $p$  of cardinality less than  $\lambda$  such that  $\text{dom}(p) \subseteq S \times \lambda$  and  $p(\alpha, \xi) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  for all  $\langle \alpha, \xi \rangle \in \text{dom}(p)$  ordered by reversed inclusion.

2.1.8. Definition: We let  $\text{HOD}({}^{\omega}\text{On})$  denote the class of all sets that are hereditarily definable over the class  ${}^{\omega}\text{On}$ , i.e. the class of all  $x$  such that for every  $y \in \text{tc}(\{x\})$  there is a formula  $\phi(u, v_0, \dots, v_{n-1})$  and  $z_0, \dots, z_{n-1} \in {}^{\omega}\text{On}$  such that  

$$y = \{a \mid \phi(a, z_0, \dots, z_{n-1})\}.$$

Then  $\text{HOD}({}^\omega\text{On})$  is an inner model of ZF containing all the reals.

2.1.9 Theorem (Solovay, 1964): Let  $\kappa$  be an inaccessible cardinal. If  $G$  is  $\text{Coll}(\omega, \kappa)$ -generic over  $V$ , then  ${}^*\text{HOD}({}^\omega\text{On})^{V[G]}$  is a model of ZF + (DC) + "all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  are  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ -measurable".

2.1.10 Definition: The Baire space is the topological space consisting of the set  ${}^\omega\omega$  of all functions from  $\omega$  to  $\omega$  equipped with the topology whose basic open sets are of the form

$$N_\sigma = \{x \in {}^\omega\omega \mid \sigma \subseteq x\}$$

for some  $\sigma: n \rightarrow \omega$  with  $n < \omega$ .

We let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  ${}^\omega\omega$ .

2.1.11 Theorem (ZF + (DC)): There is a unique measure  $\lambda$  on  $({}^\omega\omega, \mathcal{B})$  such that

$$\lambda(N_\sigma) = \prod_{i < \text{lh}(\sigma)} 2^{-(\sigma(i)+1)}$$

holds for every  $\sigma: n \rightarrow \omega$  with  $0 < n < \omega$ .

2.1.12 Theorem (ZF + (DC)): The measure spaces  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \lambda_{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $({}^\omega\omega, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$  are isomorphic.

\*! every subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $\text{HOD}({}^\omega\text{On})^{V[G]}$  is  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ -measurable in  $V[G]$  and...