## **Research Statement**

## Mayra Montalvo Ballesteros

I have been working on constructions of rigid structures. A structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *rigid* if its only automorphism is the identity. For instance, any finite linear ordering, or indeed any ordinal, is rigid. I also consider wider classes of maps on  $\mathcal{A}$  than automorphisms, viz. embeddings, endomorphisms, epimorphisms, monomorphisms, bimorphisms (which are all various weakenings of the notion of automorphism). I have mainly considered dense linear orders without endpoints (though graphs are another case of interest) and given a number of constructions to illustrate what can happen.

A classical construction due to Dushnik and Miller [?] shows (using the Axiom of Choice) that  $\mathbb{R}$  has a dense subset X of cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$  which is rigid with respect to automorphisms. It is easy to modify this example to make it also rigid with respect to embeddings and epimorphisms (the latter are maps  $f: X \to X$  which is surjective and such that  $x \leq y \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y)$ ). In [?] is shown how to find such an X which is rigid for automorphisms but which admits many embeddings. A more precise question is to enquire what the possible values are of the embedding monoid  $Emb(X, \leq)$  for an automorphism rigid  $(X, \leq)$ . For instance I can show that is can be isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ , with a similar result for the epimorphism monoid  $Epi(X, \leq)$ .

For chains, only four of the possible six monoids are distinct, namely  $Aut(X, \leq)$ ,  $Emb(X, \leq)$ ,  $Epi(X, \leq)$  and  $End(X, \leq)$ , and I can demonstrate all possible consistent combinations of equalities and inequalities between these. Work on the questions about what the moniods can actually be is still in progress.

## References

- DROSTE, M., TRUSS, J.K. Rigid chains admitting many embeddings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), pp. 1601-1608.
- [2] DUSHNIK, Ben and MILLER, E.W., Concerning similarity transformations of linearly ordered sets. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc, 46 (1940), pp. 322-326.