RESEARCH PROPOSAL

DÁNIEL TAMÁS SOUKUP

I am a second year MSc student at Eötvös Loránd University, Hungary. I am mainly interested in set theoretical topology. Recently, I have been investigating the connections between *D*-spaces and covering properties.

Definition 0.1 (E. van Douwen). A space X is said to be a D-space (or has property D) iff for every open neighborhood assignment U, one can find a closed discrete $D \subseteq X$ such that $X = \bigcup_{d \in D} U(d) = \bigcup U[D]$.

I recommend G. Gruenhage's survey on D-spaces [3], which summarizes the facts and the work done in the topic, stating numerous fascinating open problems. One of the main problems with D-spaces, is that we lack theorems stating that a classical covering property weaker than compactness implies property D. As Gruenhage says, "... it is not known if a very strong covering property such as hereditarily Lindelöf implies D, and yet for all we know it could be that a very weak covering property such as submetacompact or submetalindelöf implies D!"

In a joint work with Xu Yuming [7], we examined the *D*-property of some generalized metric spaces: generalized stratifiable spaces, elastic spaces and the Collins-Roscoe mechanism.

Investigating *D*-spaces, Arhangel'skii introduced the class of *aD*-spaces.

Definition 0.2 (Arhangel'skii, [1]). A space X is said to be aD iff for each closed $F \subseteq X$ and for each open cover \mathcal{U} of X there is a closed discrete $D \subseteq F$ and $N: D \to \mathcal{U}$ with $x \in N(x)$ such that $F \subseteq \bigcup N[D]$.

Interestingly, aD-spaces are much more docile than D-spaces; Arhangel'skii showed, that every submetalindelöf space is aD [2]. Answering a question of Arhangel'skii [2], I proved that there exists an aD, non D-space [6]; the counterexamples use Shelah's club guessing theory. Nevertheless, the questions about main covering properties and D-spaces remain open.

In [5], I answered questions raised by Guo and Junnila [4] concerning characterization of linearly *D*-spaces; that is, in the definition of *D*-spaces, we only consider monotone neighborhood assignments. Also, I proved that the existence of certain "locally nice" aD, non *D*-spaces is independent.

Now, I am interested in getting a better insight on non D-spaces, which are linearly D and aD. I hope, that this will shed some light on the question, whether every Lindelöf space is D.

References

 A.V.Arhangel'skii and R. Buzyakova, Addition theorems and D-spaces, Comment. Mat. Univ. Car. 43(2002), 653-663.

^[2] A.V. Arhangel'skii, D-spaces and covering properties, Topology and Appl. 146-147(2005), 437-449.

DÁNIEL TAMÁS SOUKUP

- $[3] \ G. \ Gruenhage, \ A \ survey \ of \ D-spaces, \ to \ appear. \ (http://www.auburn.edu/~gruengf/papers/dsurv5.pdf)$
- [4] H. Guo and H.J.K. Junnila, On spaces which are linearly D, Topology and Appl., Volume 157, Issue 1, 1 January 2010, Pages 102-107.
- [5] D. T. Soukup, Properties D and aD Are Different, Top. Proc. 38 (2011) pp. 279-299.
- [6] D. T. Soukup, Guessing clubs for aD, non D-spaces, submitted to Topology and its Applications.
- [7] D. T. Soukup, Xu Yuming, The Collins-Roscoe mechanism and D-spaces, accepted at Acta Mathematica Hungarica.

EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY, HUNGARY *E-mail address*: daniel.t.soukup@gmail.com