# Aufgaben zur Topologie I

Prof. Dr. C.-F. Bödigheimer Wintersemester 2019/20

## Blatt 5

due by: 13.11.2019



Witold Hurewicz (1904 - 1956), polish-american mathematician, one of the pioniers of homotopy theory. He studied in Warsaw, Vienna, Amsterdam and became a professor at the MIT.

## Exercise 5.1 (Snake Lemma )

Consider the following commutative diagrame of modules and homomorphisms over K.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota} B \xrightarrow{\pi} C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \gamma \\ 0 \longrightarrow A' \xrightarrow{\iota'} B' \xrightarrow{\pi'} C' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We assume that the upper and lower sequence is exact.

- (1) Show that there is a connecting homomorphism  $d: \ker(\gamma) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\alpha)$ .
- (2) Show that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker(\alpha) \to \ker(\beta) \to \ker(\gamma) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{coker}(\alpha) \to \operatorname{coker}(\beta) \to \operatorname{coker}(\gamma) \to 0$$

where all unnamed maps are induced by  $\iota$  and  $\pi$  resp. by  $\iota'$  and  $\pi'$ .

1

#### **Exercise 5.2** (Normalized chains)

In the singular chain complex S(X) of a space X we call a singular simplex c degenerate, if it is of the form  $c = c' \circ s_i$  for a degeneracy map  $s_i \colon \Delta^n \to \Delta^{n-1}$  and some (n-1)-chain c'.

- (1) Show that the degenerate chains generate a subcomplex  $D(X) \subset S(X)$ .
- (2) Show that the subcomplex is *natural*, i.e., for any continuous map  $f: X \to Y$  the chain map S(f) sends the subcomplex D(X) to the subcomplex D(Y).

We call the quotient complex S(X)/D(X) the normalized chain complex  $\bar{S}(X)$ .

(3) We will see on the next exercise sheet that D(X) is contractible. Use this and the long exact homology sequence to prove: there is an isomorphism  $H_n(X) = H_n(S(X)) \longrightarrow H_n(\bar{S}(X))$  for each  $n \ge 0$ .

#### **Exercise 5.3** (Basic category theory)

Find and state the definitions of (1) a category, (2) of a functor, and (3) of a natural transformation betwen two functors. When is a morphism called isomorphism, when are two objects in a category called isomorphic? What is an automorphism (and the group of automorphisms) of an object in a category? What is a covariant functor, what is a contravariant functor? What is an equivalence of categories?

You can consult, for example, the following books:

S. MacLane: Categories for the working mathematician.<sup>1</sup>

A. Dold: Lectures on Algebraic Topology, Chap. I, §1.

A. Hatcher: Algebraic Topology, Chap. 2, inside Sect. 2.3. p. 162-165.

C. Weibel: An introduction to homological algebra, Appendix A.

P. J. Hilton - U. Stammbach: A Course in Homological Algebra, chap. II.

S. Lang: Algebra, chap. I §11.



Saunders MacLane (1909-2005), US-american mathematician. He was a Ph.D. student in Göttingen and later became professor in Chicago; jointly with Samual Eilenberg he is the founder of homological algebra and of category theory.

**Examples**: Spaces and continuous maps form a category TOP; based spaces and based maps form a category  $TOP_0$ . Forgetting the basepoint and sending  $(X, x_0)$  to X is a functor (called forgetful functor).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Or — imitating a famous joke by J. F. Adams in *Infinite Loop Spaces*, p. 204 — consult J. MacNab: *Categories for the idle mathematician - all you need to know*, Proc. Philharmonic Soc. Zanzibar 17 (1976), pp. 10-9.

Modules and homomorphisms over a ring  $\mathbb{K}$  form a category MOD –  $\mathbb{K}$ ; chain complexes over  $\mathbb{K}$  together with chain maps form a category ChMOD –  $\mathbb{K}$ ; they are a subcategory inside the category of all chain functions.

Exact sequences of modules or of chain complexes can be considered a category.

All coverings  $p: X \to B$  of a fixed spaces B can be considered as a category COV(B), the morphisms being all commutative triangles:



Show the following:

- (1) The Hurewicz homomorphism hur:  $\pi_1(X, x_0) \to H_1(X)$  is a natural transformation between which functors from which category to which ?
- (2) Sending a group G to its abelianization  $G^{ab}$  is a functor from the category GRP of groups to the category AbGRP of abelian groups. The Hurewicz isomorphism is a natural equivalence between which functors ?
- (3) The connecting homomorphism  $\partial_* \colon H_n(C) \to H_{n-1}(A)$  is a natural transformation between which functors from which category to which ?
- (4) The automorphism group of an object  $p: X \to B$  in the category COV(B) is the group of deck transformations of p.

**Exercise 5.4** (Cones and suspensions of chain complexes)

First, recall what the cone and the suspension of a space X is. The quotient  $CX := X \times [0,1]/X \times \{1\}$  is called the *cone* of X. The top layer  $X \times \{1\}$  of the product becomes the tip point of the cone; call it N like north pole. Obviously, CX is always contractible; do you see the contraction ?

The bottom layer of the product is X, via the embedding  $\iota: x \mapsto (x, 0)$ . If we identify this bottom to a point S, like south pole, we get the suspension  $\Sigma X := CX/X = (X \times [0, 1])/X \times \{1\} \sim N, X \times \{0\} \sim S$ . It contains X as the equator  $x \mapsto (x, \frac{1}{2})$ .

Examples:  $C\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cong \mathbb{D}^n$  and  $\Sigma\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cong \mathbb{S}^n$ 

**Remark**: The sequence of spaces  $X \to CX \to \Sigma X$  is called a *cofibration sequence* in homotopy theory; it is something like a 'short exact sequence of spaces' and thus one of the basic notions of homotopy theory.

(1) Now let A be a chain complex over some ring K. Its cone C(A) is constructed as follows: We set  $C(A)_n := A_n \oplus A_{n-1}$  for all n and as differential  $\partial$  we take

$$\partial = \begin{pmatrix} \partial^A & (-1)^n \operatorname{id} \\ 0 & \partial^A \end{pmatrix} : A_n \oplus A_{n-1} \longrightarrow A_{n-1} \oplus A_{n-2}$$

in matrix block form. So for  $a \in A_n$  and  $a' \in A_{n-1}$  we have  $\partial(a, a') = (\partial(a) + (-1)^n a', \partial(a'))$ . Show that this is a chain complex and find a contraction.

- (2) The suspension  $\Sigma A$  of A is the shifted chain complex, so  $(\Sigma A)_n := A_{n-1}$  with the obvious shifted differential. Obviously,  $H_n(\Sigma A) = H_{n-1}(A)$  for all n.
- (3) Show: There is an obvious inclusion of A into C(A) with  $C(A)/A \cong \Sigma A$ .
- (4) So there is a short exact sequence

$$\mathcal{E}: 0 \to A \to C(A) \to \Sigma A \to 0$$

of chain complexes. Conclude that the connecting homomorphisms in the long exact homology sequence of  $\mathcal{E}$  are isomorphisms for all n.

**Exercise 5.5** (Naturality of the connecting homomorphism)

Formulate and prove the naturality of the connecting homomorphisms in the long exact homology sequence of a short exact sequence of chain complexes.

**Exercise 5.6**<sup>\*</sup> (Cubical homology) Recall the definitions of Exercise 4.4. We call a cubic *n*-chain  $c: \mathbb{I}^n \to X$  degenerate, if *c* factors, for some i = 1, ..., n, through the projection

$$p_i: \mathbb{I}^n \to \mathbb{I}^{n-1}, p_i(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, \hat{t_i}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n)$$

onto all variables except the *i*-th variable, so  $c = c' \circ p_i$  for some cubic (n-1)-chain c'.

a) Show: the degenerate cubic chains form a subcomplex  $D(X) \subset K(X)$ .

We call the quotient complex  $K^{\Box}(X) := K(X)/D(X)$  the cubical homology complex and call its homology  $H_n^{\Box}(X)$  the cubical homology of X.

- b) Compute the cubical homology of a point. Ah !!!! See, how wonderful, it has the homology we expect !
- c) How can we compare the singular with the cubical homology ? in other words, is there a transformation  $T: H_n(X) \longrightarrow H_n^{\square}(X)$  ? Use the functions  $\theta_n: \mathbb{I}^n \to \Delta^n, (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \mapsto (s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n)$  with  $s_0 = 1 t_1, s_1 = t_1(1 t_2), \ldots, s_{n-1} = t_1t_2 \cdots t_{n-1}(1 t_n), s_n = t_1t_2 \cdots t_{n-1}t_n$  to find a chain map  $\Theta: S(X) \to K^{\square}(X)$ , which induces a natural transformation T.

[If you want to see, why T is an isomorphism for all X, see F. Toenniessen: Topologie, p. 248-260.]

d)\* The tensor product  $C := A \otimes B$  of two chain complexes over a ring  $\mathbb{K}$  is defined by  $C_n := \bigoplus_{i+j=n} A_i \otimes B_j$ . The differential on the summand  $A_i \otimes B_j$  of  $C_n$  is

$$\partial^C(a \otimes b) = \partial^A(a) \otimes b + (-1)^j a \otimes \partial^B(b)$$

for an elementary tensor. (This is a kind of Leibniz rule.)

Show that this is indeed a chain complex, i.e.,  $\partial \circ \partial = 0$  holds.

e)\*\* For any two spaces we define a product

$$\times \colon K_p(X) \otimes K_q(Y) \longrightarrow K_{p+q}(X \times Y),$$

by sending the elementary tensor  $a \otimes b$  with  $a: \mathbb{I}^p \to X$  and  $b: \mathbb{I}^q \to Y$  to the cartesian product  $a \times b: \mathbb{I}^p \times \mathbb{I}^q \to X \times Y$ . Show that this is a chain map  $\times: K(X) \otimes K(Y) \to K(X \times Y)$ . It does descend to a chain map of quotient complexes

$$\times \colon K_p^{\Box}(X) \otimes K_q^{\Box}(Y) \longrightarrow K_{p+q}^{\Box}(X \times Y),$$

and so it induces a product in cubical homology

$$\times \colon H_p^{\square}(X) \otimes H_q^{\square}(Y) \longrightarrow H_{p+q}^{\square}(X \times Y).$$

Is this product associative ? Does it have a unit ? Is it commutative ?