## Problem 1 (Complex numbers)

(a) The set A consists of the *open* annulus centered at the point 5i of inner radius 1 and outer radius 2, as depicted (together with the unit circle) in the following picture:



To determine the set B, start by noting that, if z = x + yi, then  $z^2 = (x^2 - y^2) + (2xy)i$ . It follows that the condition  $\Re(z^2) < 0$  is equivalent to  $x^2 - y^2 < 0$ , which in turns holds if and only if |x| < |y|. Thus B coincides with the *interior* of the colored region depicted in the following picture:



By the fundamental theorem of algebra, the set C consists of at most 3 points. We claim that C consists of exactly 3 points, which in turn can be determined by noting that  $z_1 := -1$  is a root of the polynomial

$$p(z) := z^3 + z^2 + 9z + 9.$$

By polynomial division,

$$\frac{p(z)}{z+1} = 9 + z^2,$$

and so the other two points  $z_2, z_3$  are solutions of the quadratic equation  $9 + z^2 = 0$ , and are thus given by  $z_2 = 3i$  and  $z_3 = -3i$ . The three points  $\{z_1, z_2, z_3\} = \{-1, 3i, -3i\}$  are represented in the following picture:



(b) The reader whose memory has survived the Christmas break will recognize that all the work has already been done in ÜB11, Problem 1(b). Namely, the identity

$$|1 - \overline{w}z|^2 - |w - z|^2 = (1 - |w|^2)(1 - |z|^2)$$

provides a quantitative version for the qualitative statement which we are trying to prove. Instead of finishing it here, let us instead offer an alternative solution, and sketch how yet another (higher-level) approach could be implemented:

Alternative solution. Let  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  with |w| < 1 be given. Given  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exist  $r \ge 0$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that  $z = re^{i\theta}$ . Having fixed  $\theta$ , we can now write  $w = \omega e^{i\theta}$  for some complex number  $\omega$  of the same modulus as w. In particular,  $\omega$  still lies inside the unit disc. It follows that

$$\left|\frac{z-w}{1-\overline{w}z}\right| = \left|\frac{re^{i\theta}-\omega e^{i\theta}}{1-\overline{\omega}e^{\cancel{\theta}}re^{i\theta}}\right| = \left|\frac{e^{i\theta}(r-\omega)}{1-\overline{\omega}r}\right| = \left|\frac{r-\omega}{1-\overline{\omega}r}\right|$$

Therefore, if  $|z| \leq 1$ , we lose no generality in assuming that z = r is a real number such that  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ . We want to show that  $|r - \omega| \leq |1 - \overline{\omega}r|$ , which happens if and only if

$$(r-\omega)(r-\overline{\omega}) \le (1-\overline{\omega}r)(1-\omega r).$$

Expanding both sides, making all the necessary cancellations and moving all the terms to the right-hand side, we see that this inequality is equivalent to

$$0 \le (1 - r^2)(1 - |\omega|^2),$$

which holds since  $r = |z| \le 1$  and  $|\omega| = |w| < 1$ . Incidentally, this concludes the exercise since the same argument applied to any |z| > 1 leads to the reversed inequality

$$0 \ge (1 - r^2)(1 - |\omega|^2)$$

Sketch of another alternative solution. Given  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  inside the open unit disc, define a map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \varphi_w : \mathbb{C} & \to & \mathbb{C} \\ z & \mapsto & \frac{z-w}{1-\overline{w}z} \end{array}$$

It is easy to check that  $\varphi_w$  is a continuous map that carries the unit circle onto itself and the origin into a complex number of modulus less than 1 (namely, -w). Moreover,  $\varphi_w$  is a bijection whose inverse is given by  $\varphi_w^{-1} = \varphi_{-w}$ . The result now follows from the maximum modulus principle of complex analysis, or from the more elementary fact (which still requires a short proof) that the continuous image of a (path-)connected set is still (path-)connected.

Maps like the ones given by the family  $\{\varphi_w\}$  are examples of the so-called *fractional linear transformations* (or Möbius transformations) and constitute an important starting point of the study of several more advanced areas of mathematics.

## Problem 2 (Euler-Mascheroni)

Start by noting that, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\int_{\frac{1}{k+1}}^{\frac{1}{k}} f(x)dx = \int_{\frac{1}{k+1}}^{\frac{1}{k}} \frac{1}{x}dx - \int_{\frac{1}{k+1}}^{\frac{1}{k}} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor dx.$$

Now, if  $\frac{1}{k+1} < x \le \frac{1}{k}$ , then  $k \le \frac{1}{x} < k+1$ , and so  $\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \rfloor = k$ . It follows that

$$\int_{\frac{1}{k+1}}^{\frac{1}{k}} f(x)dx = \int_{\frac{1}{k+1}}^{\frac{1}{k}} \frac{1}{x}dx - \int_{\frac{1}{k+1}}^{\frac{1}{k}} kdx$$
$$= \ln\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right) - k\left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1}\right)$$
$$= \ln\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right) - \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

The interval (0, 1] can be partitioned in the following way:

$$(0,1] = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}\right]$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0^+} \int_{\epsilon}^1 f(x) dx &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\frac{1}{k+1}}^{\frac{1}{k}} f(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right) - \frac{1}{k+1} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( -\ln\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k+1} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \ln(n) - \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k} \right). \end{split}$$

We will be done once we show that this limit exists (as a finite real number). With this goal in mind, compare the integral

$$\int_{1}^{n} \frac{dx}{x} = \ln(n)$$

with its lower and upper Riemann sums to conclude that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \le \ln(n) \le \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k}$$

It follows that

$$\gamma_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \ln(n)$$

satisfies

$$\frac{1}{n} \le \gamma_n \le 1,$$

and that the difference of two consecutive elements of the sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}$  satisfies

$$\gamma_{n-1} - \gamma_n = \ln(n) - \ln(n-1) - \frac{1}{n} = \int_{n-1}^n \frac{dx}{x} - \frac{1}{n} = \int_{n-1}^n \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{n}\right) dx > 0$$

The sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is therefore monotonically decreasing. Since it is bounded from below by 0, it converges to a finite real number, say  $\gamma$ . It can be shown that

$$\gamma := \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n \simeq 0.57721566490153286061$$

Going back to the original question, we finally conclude that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0^+} \int_{\epsilon}^1 f(x) dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \ln(n) - \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k} \right) = 1 - \gamma \simeq 0.42278$$

*Remark.* The constant  $\gamma$  is known in the literature as the *Euler-Mascheroni constant*. Despite its multiple appearances in different branches of mathematics, it remains a rather mysterious number. For instance, it is not even known whether  $\gamma$  is irrational or not!

## Problem 3 (Improper integrals)

(a) The integral  $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{s}}$  converges if s > 1. In this case, note that the function  $F(x) = \frac{x^{-s+1}}{-s+1}$  is such that

$$F'(x) = \frac{1}{x^s},$$

and compute: given a large R > 0,

$$\int_{1}^{R} \frac{dx}{x^{s}} = \int_{1}^{R} F'(x) dx = F(R) - F(1) = \frac{R^{-s+1}}{-s+1} - \frac{1^{-s+1}}{-s+1}.$$

Since  $\lim_{R\to\infty} R^{-s+1} = 0$ , it follows that

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x^s} = \frac{1}{s-1}. \quad (s>1)$$

On the other hand, if  $s \leq 1$ , then the integral  $\int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x^s}$  does not converge. Perhaps the easiest way to see this is to note that, for s = 1,

$$\int_{1}^{R} \frac{dx}{x} = \ln(R),$$

which blows up as  $R \to \infty$ . By comparison, it then follows that, for every s < 1,

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^s} \ge \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x} = \infty$$

diverges as well.

(b) The situation becomes reversed with respect to part (a) in terms of the ranges of s for which the integral converges/diverges. In more detail: if s < 1, choose a small  $\epsilon > 0$  and note that

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{dx}{x^{s}} = \frac{1}{1-s} \frac{1}{x^{s-1}} \Big|_{\epsilon}^{1} = \frac{1}{1-s} (1-\epsilon^{1-s}).$$

Since  $\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0^+} \epsilon^{1-s} = 0$ , it follows that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^s} = \frac{1}{1-s}. \quad (s < 1)$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} = \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0^+} \ln(x) |_{\epsilon}^1 = \ln(1) - \ln(0) = \infty$$

diverges, and so does  $\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^s}$  for any s > 1.

## Problem 4 (Gamma function)

(a) Let x > 0. The strategy will be to break up the integral defining  $\Gamma(x)$  into two pieces,

$$\int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt = \int_0^{t_0} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt + \int_{t_0}^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$$

 $(t_0 < \infty$  will be chosen below) and to estimate each piece separately.

For the first piece, observe that  $e^{-t} \leq 1$  if  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ , and so

$$\int_0^{t_0} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt \le \int_0^{t_0} t^{x-1} dt = \frac{t^x}{x} \Big|_0^{t_0} = \frac{t_0^x}{x} < \infty.$$

Let  $t_0 > 0$  be chosen large enough such that

$$t^{x-1}e^{-t} \le \frac{1}{t^2}$$
 if  $t \ge t_0$ .

Then the second piece can be easily estimated as follows:

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt \le \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^2} = \frac{1}{t_0} < \infty.$$

The result follows. Below is a picture of the function  $\Gamma$  on the positive real axis:



(b) Let us start by establishing the functional equation

$$x\Gamma(x) = \Gamma(x+1), \text{ for every } x > 0.$$
 (1)

Integrating by parts, we have that

$$x\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty (xt^{x-1})e^{-t}dt = \int_0^\infty (t^x)'e^{-t}dt \stackrel{(!)}{=} -\int_0^\infty t^x (e^{-t})'dt = \int_0^\infty t^x e^{-t}dt = \Gamma(x+1).$$

Notice that no boundary terms are picked up in (!) because of the vanishing of the function  $t \mapsto t^x e^{-t}$  at t = 0 and at  $t = \infty$ . This establishes (1).

As a consequence,

$$n\Gamma(n) = \Gamma(n+1) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}.$$
(2)

The claimed formula  $\Gamma(n+1) = n!$ , valid for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , follows from (2) by induction, if one just realizes that

$$\Gamma(1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dt = -e^{-t} |_0^\infty = 0 - (-1) = 1$$

Thus  $\Gamma$  is a continuous extension of the factorial sequence to the whole interval  $(0, \infty)$ .

(c) Start by noting that  $\Gamma(x) > 0$  for every x > 0. This follows from the integral definition of  $\Gamma$ . Now, let  $x, y \in (0, \infty)$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . The goal is to show that

$$\ln\Gamma(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \le \lambda \ln\Gamma(x) + (1-\lambda)\ln\Gamma(y).$$
(3)

Set  $p = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  and  $q = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}$ , so that

It suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{p} + \frac{y}{q}\right) \le \Gamma(x)^{\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(y)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$
(4)

for then the desired inequality (3) follows by taking logarithms on both sides:

$$\ln\Gamma(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) = \ln\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{p} + \frac{y}{q}\right)\right) \le \ln\left(\Gamma(x)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Gamma(y)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right) = \frac{1}{p}\ln\Gamma(x) + \frac{1}{q}\ln\Gamma(y) = \lambda\ln\Gamma(x) + (1-\lambda)\ln\Gamma(y).$$

To verify (4), consider the auxiliary functions

$$f(t) := t^{\frac{x-1}{p}} e^{-\frac{t}{p}}$$
 and  $g(t) := t^{\frac{y-1}{q}} e^{-\frac{t}{q}}$ ,

which satisfy

$$f(t)g(t) = t^{\frac{x}{p} + \frac{y}{q} - 1}e^{-t}, \quad f(t)^p = t^{x-1}e^{-t}, \text{ and } g(t)^q = t^{y-1}e^{-t}.$$
 (5)

Choose a small  $\epsilon > 0$  and a large  $R < \infty$ . Then Hölder's inequality on the bounded interval  $[\epsilon, R]$  implies that

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{R} f(t)g(t)dt \leq \left(\int_{\epsilon}^{R} f(t)^{p}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\epsilon}^{R} g(t)^{q}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Letting  $\epsilon \searrow 0^+$  and  $R \nearrow \infty$ , we have that

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)g(t)dt \le \Big(\int_0^\infty f(t)^p dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \Big(\int_0^\infty g(t)^q dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

which in light of (5) translates into

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{x}{p} + \frac{y}{q} - 1} e^{-t} dt \le \left(\int_0^\infty t^{x - 1} e^{-t} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty t^{y - 1} e^{-t} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Recalling the integral definition of  $\Gamma$ , we see that is exactly our desired estimate (4). The proof is complete, and we complement it with the following illustration of the function  $\ln \Gamma$ :

